

International Research Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology

Volume 6, Issue 1, Page 1-10, 2023; Article no.IRJGH.96237

# Current Updates on *in vitro* Dissolution Testing for Immediate Release Oral Dosage Forms

Mehedi Mala Mitu<sup>a++</sup> and Tirtha Nandi<sup>b#\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> International Business, Jenphar Bangladesh Limited, Dhaka, Bangladesh. <sup>b</sup> Alnylam Pharmaceuticals, Cambridge, MA, USA.

#### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/96237

**Opinion Article** 

Received: 29/01/2022 Accepted: 02/02/2023 Published: 07/02/2023

#### ABSTRACT

Immediate-release oral dosage forms, also known as IR dosage forms, have become a popular choice for administering medication due to their ability to dissolve at an accelerated rate. This means that the medication is quickly released into the bloodstream, providing fast relief to patients. In the formulation development process, dissolution is a crucial aspect to consider as it can provide valuable information for quality control. *In vitro* dissolution testing, a laboratory method that simulates the dissolution of medication in the body, can offer insight into various stages of drug development.

From a regulatory perspective, the review of preclinical and clinical data is greatly facilitated by the validation of dissolution for the product. In some cases, it may even be appropriate to use dissolution test results to evaluate the biopharmaceutical implications of a product change. This type of testing helps to ensure that the medication is not only effective, but also safe and reliable for patients.

<sup>++</sup>Executive:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>#</sup>Scientist;

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: tirthanandi1989@gmail.com;

Int. Res. J. Gastroent. Hepatol., vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 1-10, 2023

*In vitro* dissolution testing can be used to evaluate a variety of factors including the rate of dissolution, the amount of medication released, and the effect of different formulations on dissolution. This can help researchers and manufacturers to optimize the formulation of the medication and ensure that it meets the necessary standards for efficacy and safety. In conclusion, *in vitro* dissolution testing is an essential tool for evaluating the quality and performance of immediate-release oral dosage forms. It provides valuable information for the development and regulatory approval of medication, and can help to ensure that patients receive safe and effective treatment.

Keywords: BCS class; in vitro dissolution; FDA; EMA; immediate release dosage forms.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Therapeutic impact of a drug largely depends on its absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion- which are summed up to be called as pharmacokinetics (PK) [1,2]. While oral drug administration is the most widely used route of drug-intake, there are so many variables that impact oral drug absorption. The gastrointestinal variables including intestine structure, blood flow to the different parts of intestine, surface area, pH, etc. are some of them [3,4]. Orally administered drugs experience a lot of harsh conditions before being available in the systemic circulation. Where immediate effect is desired with the most convenient route of administration. which is oral, immediate release drug product is the first choice.

"Immediate drua release dosage forms disintegrate rapidly after administration with an increased rate of dissolution" [5]. In the formulation of the tablet, super disintegrants such as croscarmellose, sodium starch glycolate, crospovidone, etc., play a fundamental role [6,7]. These super disintegrants allow immediate disintegration of the tablet after administration in the stomach [6]. In this dosage form, 70-85% of the indicated dose dissolves within 30 minutes [8]. This class is beneficial when an immediate onset of action is needed for therapeutic effect [9]. Usually, immediate-release dosage forms dissolve or disperse in a single action according to a first-order kinetics profile [10]. "This demonstrates that the drug is initially released very rapidly and then travels through the mucosal membrane into the body, reaching its maximum plasma concentration (termed C<sub>max</sub>) in a relatively short amount of time (termed t<sub>max</sub>)" [3, 111.

"The drug product release rates depend on the high solubility of the drug substance" [12]. "For immediate-release dosage form, drug products containing highly soluble drug substances may release at least 70% (preferably 80%) of the active ingredient within 30 minutes" [13]. "Immediate-release dosage forms formulated with poorly soluble active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) frequently require the use of surfactants to ensure complete release within 60 minutes" [14].

Immediate-release dosage forms are designed to disintegrate quickly into smaller granules and then disaggregate into fine particles. The dissolution media is exposed to a larger surface area, resulting in a faster dissolution rate [15]. For poorly soluble immediate-release drug substances, excipients are used to facilitate disintegration (disintegrants) and disaggregation (surfactants) [16].

"Dissolution is the method by which a solid drug enters the solvent phase to form a solution" [17]. "In vitro dissolution testing is performed throughout drug product development to facilitate formulation process development and to control product quality" [14,18-22]. "The purpose of dissolution testing can provide information regarding the rate and extent of drug absorption in the body, and it can also examine the impact of drug substance biological properties and formulation principles on the release qualities of a drug product" [23]. "For the development and approval of generic dosage forms, in vitro testing is a key dissolution necessity" [24]. Bioavailability and therapeutic effectiveness depend on how well a drug dissolves [25]. The purpose of in vitro dissolution testing is to determine the variables that influence the rate and extent of drug substance release from the finished dosage form [18-22,24]. Dissolution testing is rigorously used for stability and quality control purposes for different dosage forms [26]. It is employed to assist formulations and thereby select excipients for the formulations with the aim of selecting the most suitable and reproducible release profile [27]. If the dissolution test is not conducted under the required conditions, it may be difficult to predict the in vivo performance of the drug product [28].

The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) issued guidance documents about in vitro four dissolution and the regulatory implications of its application [29]. The first guidance outlines FDA requirements for immediate release dosage form dissolution and statistical methods for comparing dissolution characteristics [30]. FDA issued two guidelines scale-up and postapproval on modifications for immediate-release dosage forms [31]. These guidelines explain the types of required for manufacturing information modification approval [29]. "The publication of these quidelines highlighted the FDA's substantial reliance on in vitro dissolution to rule out bioequivalence and confirmed the use of in vitro dissolution as a replacement for in vivo bioequivalence" [32].

The European Medicines Agency (EMA) has published specific guidelines for immediaterelease (IR) dosage forms [33]. This guideline is known as "the IR guideline" since it focuses on active chemical components in oral immediaterelease (IR) formulations with systemic action [33,34]. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) also issued a guideline for in vitro dissolution testing where the suitability of the dissolution method and the specifications for in vitro dissolution of orally administered generic drug products with immediate release characteristics are discussed [35]. The most recent approach by both the FDA and the EMA is to provide productspecific recommendations on the design of bioequivalence studies [36].

## 2. TEST CONDITIONS

## 2.1 Dissolution Apparatus and Agitation Rate

"Generally, there are seven types of USP apparatuses that can be used within a regulatory environment to analyze the release of drug substances from a finished dosage form" [23, 37]. "USP Apparatus 1, the basket, and USP Apparatus 2, the paddle, are more commonly used for the evaluation of *in vitro* dissolution of immediate-release solid oral dosage forms" [38, 39]. Table 1 describes the comparison between these two USP apparatuses [40,41].

Table 1 shows the agitation speeds recommended by FDA. During the method development, the appropriateness of the agitation speed should be examined for each drug product formulation. An excessive agitation rate might result in foaming, which can lead to a failure to differentiate between formulations that are not equivalent [42].

"But in some cases, USP apparatus three may also be used for the dissolution testing of IR products for highly soluble drugs, for example, metoprolol and ranitidine, as well as for some IR products of poorly soluble drugs, such as acyclovir. It is demonstrated that, with the proper agitation rate, USP apparatus three can generate dissolution profiles comparable to USP apparatus 2" [43].

### 2.2 Dissolution Media

The selection of dissolution medium in the development of dissolution methods can be arbitrary at times [44]. The selection of dissolution media depends on the solubility and stability of the drug substance, formulation, and component interactions [44]. "In the case of dissolution studies, the media consist of acidic or buffers, surfactants, basic solutions, and surfactants with acid or buffers [45]. Media bile salts and other relevant containing physiologically based components, commonly referred to as relevant media, can be applied in regulatory tests but are mainly used as research tools or in vitro-in vivo correlation studies" [46].

"During the development of dissolution testing the BCS (Biopharmaceutical methods. Classification System) classification system can assist in determining the process/formulation variations" [47]. "Immediate-release solid oral dosage forms consisting of highly soluble compounds such as BCS class I (high solubility, high permeability) or BCS class III (High solubility and low permeability), which achieve ≥85% dissolved in 500 mL of 0.01 N HCl and agitation rate is either 30 min (BCS class I) or 15 min (BCS class III)" [14]. BCS class II (low solubility, high permeability) and BCS class IV (low solubility, low permeability) drugs are mainly induced by pH and the type or nature of surfactant [44]. The use of various excipients may affect the solubility and permeability of these drugs [48]. The use of suitable surfactants, such as sodium lauryl sulfate (SLS), is believed to increase the solubility of the drug substance [48]. Classification of drug substances based on the Biopharmaceutics Classification System is shown in Table 2 [49], and The requirements of a dissolution media are shown in Table 3.

| USP Apparatus | Apparatus Name | Agitation<br>Rate      | Dosage Form  |
|---------------|----------------|------------------------|--|
| Ι             | Basket Method  | 50-100 rpm             | Solid oral dosages forms like capsules or tablets              |
| II            | Paddle Method  | 50-75 rpm<br>25-50 rpm | Solid oral dosages forms like capsules or tablets, Suspensions |

#### Table 1. Comparison of USP dissolution apparatuses

## Table 2. Classification of drug substances based on the Biopharmaceutics Classification System

|                   | High Solubility | Low Solubility |  |
|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|--|
| High Permeability | BCS Class 1     | BCS Class 2    |  |
| Low Permeability  | BCS Class 3     | BCS Class 4    |  |

#### Table 3. The requirements of a dissolution media

| Requirements      | Description   |
|-------------------|---|
| Buffer selection  | The selected buffer should have the ability to maintain a constant PH   |
|                   | throughout the dissolution test duration. In the case of weak acids or weak   |
|                   | bases drug substance, the pH of the media can change as the drug  |
|                   | substance dissolves. it is necessary to select the types of buffer and the  |
|                   | amount of buffer to ensure the necessary buffer capacity [50].  |
| рН                | pH influences drug solubility and dissolution rate. The selection of pH   |
|                   | depends on the drug substance. If the drug is weak acids, then the  |
|                   | dissolution rate increases with an increase in pH, and if the drug is weak  |
|                   | bases, the dissolution rate increases with a decrease in pH [51].   |
| Media Volume      | Typical media volumes are 500 ml, 900 ml, or 1000 ml for USP apparatus 1 and 2 [52].  |
| Sink conditions   | It is the ability of the dissolution media to dissolve at least three times the   |
|                   | amount of drug that is present in the dosage form. Using sink conditions in   |
|                   | the in-vitro dissolution test makes the test more robust and biologically relevant [53].  |
| Surfactant        | Surfactants are used to enhance the solubility or wettability of a drug.  |
|                   | Surfactants minimize solution and surface interfacial tension by replacing  |
|                   | water molecules on the surface [54]. For acidic drugs, media with cationic  |
|                   | surfactants are better able to separate dissolution rates than media with   |
|                   | other types of surfactants [55]. In addition, it is crucial to carefully consider   |
|                   | the interactions among the ions and the type of surfactant used when  |
|                   | dissolution media are selected. For example, potassium ions can react with  |
| <u></u>           | SLS, making an insoluble product [56].  |
| Common ion effect | The presence of a common ion can decrease the solubility of the drug  |
|                   | substance. So the absence of a common ion should be ensured in  |
| Descretion        | dissolution media [57].   |
| Deaeration        | Gases dissolved in the dissolution media impact dissolution results.<br>Degassing the media before use is required in this case. However, there are |
|                   | many methods and techniques available for removing air bubbles from the   |
|                   | many methods and techniques available for removing all bubbles from the media [58,59].  |
|                   | וויטות [טט,טט].   |

### 2.3 Dissolution Temperature

Generally, dissolution tests are conducted at  $37^{\circ}$ C to replicate normal human body temperature [60]. For immediate-release dosage forms, dissolution tests should be carried out at  $37\pm0.5^{\circ}$ C [61].

#### 2.4 Sampling Time Points / Volume / Replenishment

For immediate release, the sampling time points will typically be 15, 30, 45, and 60 minutes. When disintegration is rapid, the sampling time

points are considered as 5 minutes and 10 minutes [62].

### 3. HOW TO VALIDATE *In vitro* DISSOLUTION TESTING FOR A CERTAIN PRODUCT?

Validation is performed to ensure that a method or procedure achieves its intended purpose [63]. For immediate release, dosage form method validation was performed according to the USP International Conference and the on (ICH) requirements Harmonization [64-66]. Validation of the dissolution method includes the dissolution steps and the analytical endpoint. Dissolution steps are the release of a drug substance from the formulation of the product into the dissolution medium like performance parameters such as evaluation precision. linearity, repeatability, reproducibility, the limit of quantitation, etc., and the analytical endpoint includes the sample handling and the analytical methods that are used to determine the substance amount of drug dissolved during the dissolution step such as spectroscopic or chromatographic (HPLC and/or GC) [67-69]. Furthermore, the dissolution method should be capable of distinguishing between acceptable and unacceptable batches [70].

### 4. HOW TO SET AN *In vitro* SPECIFICATION FOR *In vitro* DISSOLUTION TESTING FOR A CERTAIN PRODUCT?

"The in vitro dissolution test is thought to be a surrogate for the in vivo dissolution test. It will affect pharmacokinetic behavior, which is a substitute for clinical effectiveness" [49]. "The dissolution specification is specified in terms of the quantity (Q) of active substance dissolved in a specified time, represented as a percentage of the content stated on the product label" [71]. "When the test conditions for dissolution have been determined. a suitable dissolution specification should be established. The specification should be written in such a way that compliance with batches may be expected during routine production and testing" [72]. "Establishing appropriate dissolution specifications will ensure dosage form is manufactured that the consistently and successfully throughout the product's life cycle and that each dosage unit within a batch has the same pharmaceutical qualities that relate to those that have been shown to have an adequate safety and efficacy profile" [29].

## 5. THE FUNCTION OF THE RELEASE SPECIFICATION

"The dissolution specification for product release or shelf-life stability of a pharmaceutical product includes both the validated test method and the acceptance criteria for the test" [73]. "The expected acceptability criteria vary depending on the dosage form and must be justified in relation to in vivo performance, processing factors, and the outcomes of long-term stability tests" [52,67]. "The obiective to assure consistent is performance from lot to lot over the life of the product, and it may be beneficial for showing product equivalence during scale-up or justifying specific postapproval adjustments" [52]. "The acceptance criteria are established based on a comprehensive examination of the dissolution data collected during development, including data from stability tests, with a focus on the testing of products used in clinical investigations" [74].

### 5.1 Method Validation

The validation of a dissolution test technique requires assessing the test's operational parameters as well as the acceptability of the analytical finish. The purpose is to demonstrate the method's capability to detect variations in the test product, which is significant enough to impact in vivo performance. The level of validation may vary depending on the stage of development. When the product is planned for commercialization, new elements or stricter criteria may be implemented [74,75].

## 5.2 Acceptance Criteria

For immediate-release drugs, the acceptance criterion is usually a minimum amount of drug released (Q) at a single time point (usually less than or equal to 60 minutes). Typical release times for fast-acting dosage forms are 15 or 30 minutes. Products that release more slowly may need a second-time point, like an initial time point of 15 minutes and a second-time point at a later time, to make sure they release everything they are required to. The acceptance criteria chosen must be able to distinguish between batches that are non-bioequivalent and batches that expose the limits of acceptable process parameters [76-78].

#### 6. CONSIDERATIONS FOR GENERIC PRODUCTS

For generic products, the dissolution specifications depend on some parameters, for example, dissolution profiles and data of the batches of the dosage form that shows similar acceptance criteria during in vivo bioequivalence studies. Once a dissolution specification has been established for the generic product based on the batch employed in the in vivo bioequivalence study, the generic product must adhere to that specification throughout its shelf life [24,79,80].

## 7. SCALE-UP AND POSTAPPROVAL CHANGES

When a new drug product is developed, the batch sizes that are used in early human studies are generally small. With time the batch sizes are increased, which is called scale-up. The scale-up process and the changes that are made after approval of the manufacturing process, formulation of the drua. batch size. manufacturing equipment, or change of site have known as Scale-Up and Postapproval Changes or SUPAC [81.82]. The first SUPAC guidelines for immediate-release oral solid dosage formulations were issued in 1995. (SUPAC-IR) [83]. Primarily, SUPAC-IR was intended to reduce the regulatory burden of the industry when making postapproval changes while still maintaining formulation quality and therapeutic product performance. Among the postapproval modifications authorized by SUPAC-IR were changes in the formulation's ingredients and composition, manufacturing site, batch size, manufacturing equipment, and manufacturing method [84]. The guidance set levels of changes based on susceptible risk are to happen and how postapproval changes could affect the safety and effectiveness of a drug product [85-87].

#### 8. BIOWAIVER CONSIDERATIONS

A biowaiver explains that in vivo bioavailability and/or bioequivalence studies may be waived [88]. Instead of doing expensive and timeconsuming "in vivo" studies, a "dissolution test" could be used to decide if the two pharmaceutical products are the same. Even if a complete clinical investigation is conducted, the likelihood of therapeutic inequivalence between immediate-release drugs two cannot be minimized. The objective of biowaiver guidance

is to decrease bioequivalence risk to a tolerable level [12.89]. In August 2000, the FDA provided industry guidelines on waivers of in vivo bioavailability and bioequivalence studies for IR solid oral dosage forms based on the BCS [36]. BCS guidance suggests that sponsors may obtain biowaivers for highly soluble and highly permeable drug compounds (Class I) in IR solid oral dosage forms with rapid in vitro dissolution if the following conditions are met: 1) The medicine must be gastrointestinally stable, 2) excipients used in the IR solid oral dosage forms have no major effect on the rate and extent of oral drug absorption; 3) the medication must not have a narrow therapeutic index; and 4) the product is not developed for oral absorption [90-92].

#### 9. CONCLUSION

In vitro dissolution is gaining importance in a varietv of regulatory aspects. Recently. regulatory agencies in the European Union (EU) and the United States (US) have been at the forefront of dissolution guidelines. However, recent trends indicate a rise in dissolution similarity criteria from regulatory authorities around the world. This results in different dissolution profile standards and a substantial amount of unnecessary and duplicated work that does not contribute to the safety or efficacy of the product.

#### CONSENT AND ETHICAL APPROVAL

It is not applicable.

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

- Nandi T. Importance of sufficient timepoints for efficient pharmacokinetic (PK) compartmental modeling. International Journal of Applied Pharmaceutics. 2023; 15(1):87-92.
- Ghosh R, Nayan MIH, Mitu MM, Nandi T. Common Approaches of Cytochrome P450 (CYP) Induction Assays. International Blood Research & Reviews. 2023;14(1): 6-14.
- 3. Nandi T. Time-dependent inactivation of intestinal cytochrome P450S and its impact on systemic bioavailability; 2022.

- 4. Nandi T, Korzekwa K, Nagar S, editors. Inclusion of intestinal metabolism in a continuous intestinal absorption model to predict clinical pharmacokinetics of oral midazolam 2022.
- 5. Nyol S, Gupta MJJoDD, Therapeutics. Immediate drug release dosage form: a review. 2013;3(2).
- Sharma N, Pahuja S, Sharma NJIJPSR. Immediate release tablets: A review. 2019;11:3607-18.
- Neha D, Kamini KM, Neeraj P, Manmohan S, Kamal V, Neeraj SJWJoP, et al. Immediate release tablets: A review. 2017;6(4):595-611.
- Rediguieri CF, Porta V, Nunes DS, Nunes TM, Junginger HE, Kopp S, et al. Biowaiver monographs for immediate release solid oral dosage forms: metronidazole. 2011;100(5):1618-27.
- Buwade P, Jadiya S, Shukla T, Upmanyu DNJWJoPR. Advantages of immediate release tablets over the other tablet forms. 2015;4(11):757-80.
- Rahul M, Patil S, Shetkar M, Chavan D, Bhagwat PJP. A review on immediate release drug delivery systems. 2014; 2(8):95-109.
- 11. Perrie Y, Rades T. FASTtrack Pharmaceutics: Drug Delivery and Targeting: Pharmaceutical press; 2012.
- Gupta E, Barends D, Yamashita E, Lentz K, Harmsze A, Shah V, et al. Review of global regulations concerning biowaivers for immediate release solid oral dosage forms. 2006;29(3-4):315-24.
- 13. GL19 VJCfVM. Guidance for Industry; 2002.
- Hermans A, Abend AM, Kesisoglou F, Flanagan T, Cohen MJ, Diaz DA, et al. Approaches for establishing clinically relevant dissolution specifications for immediate release solid oral dosage forms. 2017;19(6):1537-49.
- 15. Fortunato DJDT. Dissolution method development for immediate release solid oral dosage forms. 2005;12(3):11.
- 16. Bredael GM, Liang S, Hahn DJDT. A strategy for quality control dissolution method development for immediate-release solid oral dosage forms. 2015;22:10-6.
- 17. Banakar UV. Pharmaceutical dissolution testing: CRC Press; 1991.
- 18. Nandi T, Rahman A, Jahan N, Islam R, Pavel O. Determination of *in vitro* release

kinetics of metformin hydrochloride from six brands of metformin hydrochloride tablets available in Bangladesh using water media: A UV spectroscopic analysis. Spec J Med Res Health Sci. 2017;2(2): 8-16.

- Nandi T, Rahman MA, Hossain MK, Pavel OF, Sultana R. In-vitro comparative dissolution study of different brands of Ranitidine hydrochloride tablets available in Bangladesh. International Journal of Sciences and Applied Research. 2016; 3(7):14-20.
- 20. Nandi T, Rahman MA, Islam RS, Mozumder SH, Hasan KS, Rahman M, et al. *In-vitro* release kinetics of clonazepam from five brands of clonazepam available in Bangladesh Using UV Spectroscopic Analysis in Deionized Water Media; 2017.
- Nandi T. Rahman MA. Pavel OF. Liza SA. 21. Hug MA, Hague MJ, et al. Impact of Calcium-Vitamin D Supplements on the Dissolution Pattern of Ranitidine Hvdrochloride Tablets: An vitro in Dissolution Study using UV Spectroscopy. Journal of Biomedical and Pharmaceutical Research. 2016;5(5):17-21.
- 22. Tamanna S, Nandi T, Shahriar R, Barek MA, Zamsad M. *In-vitro* comparative dissolution study of different brands of levocetirizine dihydrochloride available in Bangladesh. International Journal of Innovation and Applied Studies. 2019; 26(2):556-61.
- 23. Lee SL, Raw AS, Yu L. Dissolution testing. Biopharmaceutics applications in drug development: Springer. 2008;47-74.
- Anand O, Yu LX, Conner DP, Davit BMJTAj. Dissolution testing for generic drugs: An FDA Perspective. 2011;13(3): 328-35.
- 25. Dressman JB, Krämer J. Pharmaceutical dissolution testing: Taylor & Francis Boca Raton, FL; 2005.
- 26. Kraemer J, Gajendran J, Guillot A, Schichtel J, Tuereli AJJoP, Pharmacology. Dissolution testing of orally disintegrating tablets. 2012;64(7):911-8.
- 27. Storey DEJDIJ. The role of dissolution testing in the design of immediate release dosage forms. 1996;30(4):1039-44.
- 28. Dressman JB, Amidon GL, Reppas C, Shah VPJPr. Dissolution testing as a prognostic tool for oral drug absorption: immediate release dosage forms. 1998; 15(1):11-22.

- 29. Marroum PJJDT. History and evolution of the dissolution test. 2014;21(3):11-6.
- Food, Administration D, Food, Evaluation DAJCfD, Research UDoH, Services H. Guidance for industry: dissolution testing of immediate release solid oral dosage forms; 1997.
- 31. Forms IRSODJVDT, Documentation IVB. Scale-Up and Postapproval Changes: Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls. 1995;415.
- SUPAC-MR FJVDT, IVBD. Modified Release Solid Oral Dosage Forms: Scale-Up and Post-Approval Changes: Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls; 1997.
- Verbeeck RK, Musuamba FTJJoP, Sciences P. The revised EMA guideline for the investigation of bioequivalence for immediate release oral formulations with systemic action. 2012;15(3):376-88.
- Morais JAG, Lobato MdRJB, pharmacology c, toxicology. The new European Medicines Agency guideline on the investigation of bioequivalence. 2010; 106(3):221-5.
- 35. Kanojiya S, Patel N, Patel RJ, Patel AAJIJODRA. Comparison of dissolution profile for immediate-release dosage form for US and Europe. 2022;10(2):35-8.
- Davit BM, Kanfer I, Tsang YC, Cardot J-MJTAj. BCS biowaivers: similarities and differences among EMA, FDA, and WHO requirements. 2016;18(3):612-8.
- Shah VP, Gurbarg M, Noory A, Dighe S, Skelly JPJJops. Influence of higher rates of agitation on release patterns of immediate-release drug products. 1992; 81(6):500-3.
- Shiu GKJDIJ. Dissolution methodology: apparatus and conditions. 1996;30(4): 1045-54.
- 39. Qiu S, Wang K, Li MJDT. *In vitro* dissolution studies of immediate-release and extended-release formulations using flow-through cell apparatus 4. 2014;21: 6-16.
- 40. Guidance FJF, Drug Administration CfDE, Research. Guidance for industry: Dissolution testing of immediate release solid oral dosage forms. US Department of Health and Human Services; 1997.
- 41. Hasan MM, Rahman MM, Islam MR, Hasan H, Hasan MM, Rashid HAJTPIJ. A key approach on dissolution of pharmaceutical dosage forms. 2017; 6(9):168-80.

- 42. Salve PM, Sonawane SV, Patil MB, Surawase RK. Dissolution and Dissolution Test Apparatus: A Review; 2021.
- 43. Yu LX, Wang JT, Hussain ASJAP. Evaluation of USP apparatus 3 for dissolution testing of immediate-release products. 2002;4(1):1-5.
- 44. Fotaki N, Brown W, Kochling J, Chokshi H, Miao H, Tang K, et al. Rationale for selection of dissolution media: Three case studies. 2013;20:6-13.
- 45. Pharmacopeia UJW, DC. 32-NF-27, the United States Pharmacopeial Convention. 2009;2701.
- 46. Fotaki N, Vertzoni MJTODDJ. Biorelevant dissolution methods and their applications in *in vitro-in vivo* correlations for oral formulations. 2010;4(1).
- 47. Saxena S, Jain SJAJoP, Technology. A review on biopharmaceutical classification system. 2019;9(4).
- 48. Farah K, Syed MFH, Madiha M, Rabia N, Sana G, Iyad NM, et al. Comparative analysis of biopharmaceutic classification system (BCS) based biowaiver protocols to validate equivalence of a multisource product. 2020;14(7):212-20.
- 49. Limberg J, Potthast HJB, disposition d. Regulatory status on the role of *in vitro* dissolution testing in quality control and biopharmaceutics in Europe. 2013;34(5): 247-53.
- 50. Mauger JWJDT. Physicochemical properties of buffers used in simulated biological fluids with potential application for *in vitro* dissolution testing: A minireview. 2017;24(3):38-51.
- Scott N, Patel K, Sithole T, Xenofontos K, Mohylyuk V, Liu FJIJoP. Regulating the pH of bicarbonate solutions without purging gases: Application to dissolution testing of enteric coated tablets, pellets and microparticles. 2020;585:119562.
- 52. Nissankararao S, Kallam V, Bhimavarapu RJIJPRD. Dissolution method development and validation: a review. 2013;5:106-12.
- 53. Polli JEJTAJ. A Simple One-Parameter Percent Dissolved Versus Time Dissolution Equation that Accommodates Sink and Non-sink Conditions via Drug Solubility and Dissolution Volume. 2023;25(1):1-16.
- 54. Balakrishnan A, Rege BD, Amidon GL, Polli JEJJops. Surfactant-mediated dissolution: contributions of solubility enhancement and relatively low micelle diffusivity. 2004;93(8):2064-75.

- Park S-H, Choi H-KJIjop. The effects of surfactants on the dissolution profiles of poorly water-soluble acidic drugs. 2006; 321(1-2):35-41.
- 56. Ropers M, Czichocki G, Brezesinski GJTJoPCB. Counterion effect on the thermodynamics of micellization of alkyl sulfates. 2003;107(22):5281-8.
- 57. Shin ES, Kim K, Oh SH, Cho WIJCc. Polysulfide dissolution control: the common ion effect. 2013;49(20):2004-6.
- 58. Gao Z, Moore TW, Doub WH, Westenberger B, Buhse LFJJops. Effects of deaeration methods on dissolution testing in aqueous media: a study using a total dissolved gas pressure meter. 2006;95(7):1606-13.
- 59. Qureshi S, McGilveray IJDd, pharmacy i. A critical assessment of the USP dissolution apparatus suitability test criteria. 1995; 21(8):905-24.
- Riley T, Christopher D, Arp J, Casazza A, Colombani A, Cooper A, et al. Challenges with developing *in vitro* dissolution tests for orally inhaled products (OIPs). 2012; 13(3):978-89.
- 61. Shohin I, Grebenkin DY, Malashenko E, Stanishevskii YM, Ramenskaya GJDT. A brief review of the FDA dissolution methods database. 2016;23(3):6-10.
- 62. Gray VA, Zheng JY, Sesi NNJF, Products ADfL-DOD. *In vitro* dissolution testing and method development. 2009:265.
- 63. Likar MD, Mansour HL, Harwood JWJJop, analysis b. Development and validation of a dissolution test for a once-a-day combination tablet of immediate-release cetirizine dihydrochloride and extendedrelease pseudoephedrine hydrochloride. 2005;39(3-4):543-51.
- 64. Marles RJ, Barrett ML, Barnes J, Chavez ML, Gardiner P, Ko R, et al. United States pharmacopeia safety evaluation of Spirulina. 2011;51(7):593-604.
- Dayyih WA, Hamad M, Mallah E, Abu Dayyih A, Awad RJI. METHOD Development and Validation of Vildagliptin and Metformin HCI in Pharmaceutical Dosage form by Reversed Phase-High Performance Liquid Chromatography (RP-HPLC). 2018;9(7):2965-72.
- 66. Rambla-Alegre M, Esteve-Romero J, Carda-Broch SJJoCA. Is it really necessary to validate an analytical method or not? That is the Question. 2012; 1232:101-9.

- 67. Vaghela B, Kayastha R, Bhatt N, Pathak N, Rathod DJJoaps. Development and validation of dissolution procedures. 2011;Issue:50-6.
- Frick A, Möller H, Wirbitzki EJEjop, biopharmaceutics. Biopharmaceutical characterization of oral immediate release drug products. *In vitro/*in vivo comparison of phenoxymethylpenicillin potassium, glimepiride and levofloxacin. 1998;46(3): 305-11.
- 69. Medina JR, Salazar DK, Hurtado M, Cortes AR, Domínguez-Ramírez AMJSPJ. Comparative *in vitro* dissolution study of carbamazepine immediate-release products using the USP paddles method and the flow-through cell system. 2014;22(2):141-7.
- Chan CC, Pearson N, Rebelo-Cameirao A, Lee YJAMV, Verification IP. Dissolution method validation. 2004;51-66.
- 71. Suarez-Sharp S, Cohen M, Kesisoglou F, Abend A, Marroum P, Delvadia P, et al. Applications of clinically relevant dissolution testing: workshop summary report. Springer; 2018.
- Hayes S, Dunne A, Smart T, Davis JJJops. Interpretation and optimization of the dissolution specifications for a modified release product with an in vivo-*in vitro* correlation (IVIVC). 2004;93(3):571-81.
- 73. Pepin XJ, Flanagan TR, Holt DJ, Eidelman A, Treacy D, Rowlings CEJMp. Justification of drug product dissolution rate and drug substance particle size specifications based on absorption PBPK modeling for lesinurad immediate release tablets. 2016;13(9):3256-69.
- Long M, Chen Y. Dissolution testing of solid products. Developing solid oral dosage forms: Elsevier. 2009;319-40.
- 75. Boudreau SP, McElvain JS, Martin LD, Dowling T, Fields SMJPT. Method validation by phase of development. 2004;28(11):54-66.
- 76. FDA UJCfDE, Research. Guidance for Industry, Waiver of in vivo bioavailability and bioequivalence studies for immediate release solid oral dosage forms based on a biopharmaceutics classification system; 2000.
- Hofer JD, Gray VAJDT. Examination of selection of immediate release dissolution acceptance criteria. 2003; 10(1):16-20.

- 78. Bioavailability FJCfDE, Research. bioequivalence studies for orally administered drug products—general considerations: guidance for industry. Rockville: FDA; 2003.
- 79. Meredith PAJDS. Generic drugs. 1996; 15(4):233-42.
- Kaur P, Jiang X, Duan J, Stier EJTAj. Applications of *in vitro–in vivo* correlations in generic drug development: case studies. 2015;17(4):1035-9.
- 81. Schwartz JBJPJoPS, Technology. SUPAC. 1996;50(2):67.
- Sharma P, Ganta S, Garg S. Scale-Up and Postapproval Changes (SUPAC) Regulations: John Wiley & Sons Limited; 2008.
- Mendapara VP, Purohit P, Ashara KJIRPT. SUPAC of immediate release solid oral dosage form-eplerenone. 2013;2013(3): 1-7.
- 84. Sah H-K. Park S. Yun M-O. Kang S-JJJoPI. Scrutiny Made to SUPAC-IR Dealing with Postapproval Immediate Changes Release in Sold Oral Dosage Forms. 2004;34(1): 57-71.
- 85. Waterland NH, Kowtna CCJPPV. Change control and SUPAC. 2003;742-91.

- Nvn M. Scale up and postapproval changes (Supac) guidance for industry: A regulatory note; 2017.
- 87. Augsburger LL, Hoag SW. Pharmaceutical dosage forms-tablets: CRC Press; 2016.
- 88. Karam RJPbDMK. Biowaivers: Criteria and requirements. 2015;1-11.
- Faassen F, Vromans HJCp. Biowaivers for oral immediate-release products. 2004; 43(15):1117-26.
- 90. Yu LX, Amidon GL, Polli JE, Zhao H, Mehta MU, Conner DP, et al. Biopharmaceutics classification system: the scientific basis for biowaiver extensions. 2002;19(7):921-5.
- 91. Van Oudtshoorn JE, García-Arieta A, Santos GML, Crane C, Rodrigues C, Simon C, et al. A survey of the regulatory requirements for BCS-based biowaivers for solid oral dosage forms by participating regulators and organisations of the international generic drug regulators programme. 2018;21:27-37.
- 92. Kalantzi L, Reppas C, Dressman J, Amidon G, Junginger H, Midha K, et al. Biowaiver monographs for immediate release solid oral dosage forms: Acetaminophen (paracetamol). 2006; 95(1):4-14.

© 2023 Mitu and Nandi; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/96237