



Physico-chemical Evaluation of Different Cultivars of Guava under Gird Region of Madhya Pradesh, India

Poonam^{a*}, K. V. Singh^a, Shubham Singh Rathour^a,
Intzar Singh Dawar^a, Ashwini Uikey^a and Asha Kushwah^b

^a Department of Horticulture, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa vidhyalaya, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh-474002, India.

^b Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, RVSKVV, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i12745

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/94415>

Original Research Article

Received: 27/10/2022
Accepted: 30/12/2022
Published: 01/02/2023

ABSTRACT

The present investigation was conducted in the University Laboratory, RVSKVV, Gwalior, MP, India during the period of February, 2020 to March, 2021. Guava fruits were randomly collected and cleaned in tap water to remove surface dust and leaves before weighing, sorting. This study was limited to five guava varieties viz., Allahabad Safeda, Gwalior-27, Lucknow- 49, Sheopur local and Lalit. The maximum fruit weight (292.14 gm) and volume of fruit (296.4ml) was found in the Sheopur local varieties. The maximum acidity (1.02%) was found in Allahabad safeda and no. of seeds/100gm of guava fruit (183.79) was found under lalit. The maximum TSS: acid ratio (21.76) and vitamin-C (ascorbic acid, 230.44mg/100gm) was found under Gwalior-27.

** Ph.D. Scholar;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: poonambhadouriyahorti@gmail.com;

Keywords: Guava; variety; physical; chemical parameters.

1. INTRODUCTION

“Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) is an important commercial fruit crop grown in the tropical and sub-tropical region of India. It is a member of the Myrtaceae family and likely originated in tropical America between Mexico and Peru. Early in the 17th century, the Portuguese brought it to India” [1]. “Tropical fruit trees with guavas are the hardiest, and they outperform most other fruit crops in productivity and adaptability. In terms of ascorbic acid, pectin, and other mineral contents, it outperforms other fruits. After mango, banana, and citrus, it has the fourth-largest area under cultivation in India. India's largest guava grower is Uttar Pradesh, and Allahabad is known for producing the best guavas in the world” [2]. Because it is the main location of a species' origin and in order to safeguard the biological resources, fingerprint technology is urgently needed. “Guava is sometimes referred to as a super fruit due to its high nutritional value and antioxidant capabilities” [3-5]. It is also known as the "Apple of the Tropics," "Poor man's Apple," and other names. In addition to being one of the most popular and delectable fruits, guavas are also high in nutrients like vitamin A, vitamin C, niacin, riboflavin, thiamine, phosphorus, calcium, iron, and edible fibre [6-8]. With the exception of the summer, it is accessible all year long.

“Every year, the guava crop bears twice, once in the winter (Mrig bahar) and once in the rainy season (Ambe bahar). Fruits are used both as table purpose and other in the processing like jam, jelly, and nectar industries. In the winter, guava fruit quality is thought to be superior. Guava can grow in a variety of soil types and can tolerate harsh weather conditions. The five guava varieties in Madhya Pradesh's Gird Zone were evaluated in the current study. The soil and climate in Madhya Pradesh's Gird region are ideally suited for the effective cultivation of guava under irrigation and even rainfed conditions” [9,10]. Guava is grown commercially in Madhya Pradesh at Jabalpur, Katani, Betul, Satana, Ujjain, Sheopur, Balaghat, Rewa, Shivpuri, Gwalior, Bhind, Chhindwara, and Morena, all of which have favourable soil and weather conditions for the guava crop. Guava output and cultivation combined in India total 408 MT and around 2.51 lakh hectares, respectively. Madhya Pradesh leads all other states in guava output, with a 37.4 MT/ha average. Among fruits, guava accounts for 3.3 percent of production and 4.5 percent of area in India.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experiment was conducted in the University Laboratory, RVSKVV, Gwalior, MP, India during the period of February, 2020 to March, 2021. The experiment site, College of Agriculture, Gwalior is situated at 26° 13' North latitude and 78° 14' East longitudes at an altitude of 208.5 meters from mean sea level (MLS) in Gird region of Madhya Pradesh. Fresh ripened guavas of similar maturation grade were procured from the orchard. Guava fruits were randomly collected and cleaned in tap water to remove surface dust and leaves before weighing, sorting. This study was limited to five guava varieties viz., Lucknow-49, Allahabad Safeda, Gwalior-27, Lalit and Bharafkhan. The age of the trees under study was 8-10 years. During the month of January-february 2020, matured and ripen fruits of guava were collected randomly from selected plants in college orchard. The sample was taken in cotton bag allotted with varieties number/treatment and then brought to departmental laboratory for analysis and then stored in cool place until the measurement (ten fruits per replication from each treatment) had taken. The quality characters of plant includes fruit physical characters such as Fruit weight (gm), Fruit length (cm), Diameter of fruits (cm), No.of seeds/100gm fruit weight, Volume of fruit (ml), Specific gravity of fruit (ml) and chemical characters such as TSS(°Brix), Ph, acidity(%), TSS: acid ratio, pectin (%), vitamin-C (mg/100gm), total sugar (%).

2.1 Data Analysis

The observational data were noted and analyzed as per standard Completely Randomized Design (CRD) technique suggested by Panse and Sukhatme. “F” test for significant treatment differences and critical differences were worked out at 5% significance level.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The findings (Table 1) revealed to the physical attributes of different guava varieties are discussed under the subheadings. The maximum weight of fruit (292.14g) was found in the cultivar sheopur local varieties and minimum fruit weight (141.66g) was found in Lalit variety of guava. Diameter of fruit was found highest in Sheopur local (7.96 cm) and minimum was in Gwalior-27 (5.23cm). Pandey et al. [11] reported that among 11 guava cultivars, Pant Prabhat showed higher

fruit diameter (7.13cm), followed by IIHR Hybrid-21 (6.75cm). Length of fruit was highest in Sheopur local (7.82cm) and lowest was found in Allahabad safeda (4.79cm). Singh [12] evaluated 25 guava cultivars under Basti (U.P.) conditions and found that the Fruit Volume ranged z was highest in (296.4ml) and lowest was in Lalit (125.89ml). The maximum number of seed per 100 gm is found in Lalit (183.79) and minimum was found in Sheopur local (136.73) and highest specific gravity was in Allahabad safeda (1.75) and lowest was in (0.84) in Gwalior-27. Similar findings of Deshmukh et al. [13] in guava, also S.K. Mehta et al. [14] find the similar findings. The maximum no. of seeds/100gm of guava fruit (195.61gm) was found under T5 (Sangam). The maximum TSS: acid ratio (44.29%) and vitamin-c (ascorbic acid) 230.44mg/100gm) was found under T3 (Pant Prabhat. Dolkar et al. [15] noticed the highest number of seeds per fruit in Arka Amulya (380.25) followed by Pant Prabhat (300.50), whereas the seed number was lowest in Lalit (205.75). The findings of present study are similar to the findings of Aulakh [16] and Raghav and Tiwari [17] in guava.

The maximum total soluble solid (11.91°Brix) was recorded under (SL) guava fruits, while minimum total soluble solid was found in the (8.78°Brix) Lalit variety. Babu et al. (2002) reported TSS in RCG-11 (11.88%) followed by RCGH-7 (10.20%) and the lowest in Lalit (9.35%). The maximum pH was recorded in (4.83) in AS while minimum was in (4.15) G-27

variety. The data of acidity content in Guava fruit showed difference among all the varieties. The maximum acidity (1.02%) was found under SL variety, while minimum acidity (0.44%) was recorded inG-27variety. Gupta et al. (1979) observed the acidity in guava fruits increased up to 4 days of storage under room temperature condition and decreased thereafter. The findings of Aslam et al. (2014) in guava match these results with respect to acidity. The maximum vitamin-C (ascorbic acid) in guava fruits (289.73mg/100gm) was found in the G-27 variety, while minimum (183.53mg/100gm) was found in Lalit variety. The data pertaining to ascorbic acid indicated that there were differences in guava fruits from different varieties and their genetic makeup. Bisen et al. [18] noticed that the ascorbic acid (mg/ 100gm) of the fruit pulp was increased at the time of harvesting to 2 DAH and thereafter, it decreased during further storage period in 2009-10 and 2010-11, respectively. The above findings also agree with the finding of Bashir and Abu-Goukh [19] in guava. The data of pectin content in Guava fruits juice showed significant difference among all the cultivars. The maximum pectin content (1.03%) under SL variety, while the minimum (0.88%) was recorded in Lalit variety. The above findings also agrees with the finding of Deshmukh et al. [13] in guava. The data of total sugar content in guava fruit juice showed difference among all the varieties. The maximum total sugar content (11.07%) was recorded underG-27 variety, while the minimum (6.74%) was recorded in Lalit variety.

Table 1. Physical attributes of different guava varieties

| Varieties | Fruit weight(g) | Fruit Diameter(cm) | Fruit length(cm) | Fruit Volume(ml) | No. of seed/100g of fruit weight | Specific gravity |
|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|
| Allahabad safeda | 279.8 | 6.22 | 4.79 | 159.57 | 156.71 | 1.75 |
| Gwalior-27 | 205.73 | 5.23 | 4.98 | 247.25 | 176.5 | 0.84 |
| Lucknow-49 | 196.95 | 6.21 | 6.3 | 164.03 | 167.8 | 1.17 |
| Sheopur local | 292.14 | 7.96 | 7.82 | 296.4 | 136.73 | 0.98 |
| Lalit | 141.66 | 5.58 | 5.37 | 125.89 | 183.79 | 1.12 |
| SEd± | 19.614 | 0.138 | 0.062 | 7.286 | 1.506 | 0.103 |
| C.D.(P=0.05) | 43.699 | 0.307 | 0.139 | 16.233 | 3.356 | 0.231 |

Table 2. Biochemical attributes of different guava varieties

| Varieties | TSS(°B) | pH | Acidity (%) | Vitamin-C (mg/100g) | Pectin (%) | Total (%) | Sugar (%) | TSS:Acid ratio |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|----------------|
| Allahabad safeda | 10.45 | 4.83 | 0.65 | 267.80 | 0.93 | 8.32 | | 16.03 |
| Gwalior-27 | 9.57 | 4.15 | 0.44 | 289.73 | 0.97 | 11.07 | | 21.76 |
| Lucknow-49 | 10.15 | 4.72 | 0.48 | 194.74 | 0.98 | 7.57 | | 20.89 |
| Sheopur local | 11.91 | 4.54 | 1.02 | 239.14 | 1.03 | 9.76 | | 11.64 |
| Lalit | 8.78 | 4.35 | 0.64 | 183.53 | 0.88 | 6.74 | | 13.6 |
| SEd± | 0.207 | 0.201 | 0.016 | 3.555 | 0.023 | 0.521 | | 0.656 |
| C.D.(P=0.05) | 0.461 | 0.449 | 0.037 | 7.921 | 0.051 | 1.161 | | 1.461 |

The data of TSS: acid ratio in guava fruits showed difference among all the varieties. The maximum TSS: acid ratio (21.76) was found under Gwalior-27 variety while minimum acidity (11.64) was recorded in Sheopur local variety. "The phenotypic and genetic constituents of the hybrids and cultivars might have enhanced the utilization of nutrients and accumulation of more carbohydrates into the fruits, which may be responsible for developing high value for quality traits" [20-22]. The present study substantiated the earlier findings of Aslam et al. [19] in guava. The prevailing agro-climatic conditions of mid-hills are more favorable for quality fruit development.

4. CONCLUSION

There is a need to create and discover the promising cultivars either through selection or hybridization among the existing cultivars of the superior genotypes by including the suitable cultivars, given the economic and nutritional value of the guava under the belt region of Madhya Pradesh. With regard to physical and chemical criteria, the variants Sheopur local and Gwalior-27 have been proven to be superior in the current experiment.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Hayes W B. Fruit Growing in India. Edn 3, Kitabistan Allahabad. 1957;283-299.
2. Mitra SK, Bose T. Guava. In: Fruits Tropical and Subtropical. Bose, T.K. and Mitra, S.K., Eds., Naya Prakash, Calcutta. 1990;278-303.
3. Chiveu J, Naumann M, Kehlenbeck K, Pawelzik E. Variation in fruit chemical and mineral composition of Kenyan guava (*Psidium guajava* L.): inferences from climatic conditions, and fruits morphological traits, J. Appl. Bot. Food Qual. 2019;92:151–159.
4. Murmu SB, Mishra HN. The effect of edible coating based on Arabic gum, sodium caseinate and essential oil of cinnamon and lemon grass on guava. Food Chem. 2018;245:820–8.
5. Murmu SB, Mishra HN. Selection of the best active modified atmosphere packaging with ethylene and moisture scavengers to maintain quality of guava during low-temperature storage. Food Chem. 2018;253: 55–62.
6. Mercadante AZ, Steck A, Pander H. Carotenoids from guava (*Psidium guajava* L.): isolation and structure elucidation, J. Agric. Food Chem. 1999; 47(1):145–151.
7. Rojas-Garbanzo C, Winter J, Montero ML, Zimmermann BF, Schieber A. Characterization of phytochemicals in Costa Rican guava (*Psidiumfrie-drichsthalianum-Nied.*) fruit and stability of main compounds during juiceprocessing-(U) HPLC-DAD-ESI-TQD-MSn, J. Food Compos. Anal. 2019;75 :26–42.
8. Araújo HM, Rodrigues FF, Costa WD, de Fa Nonato C, Rodrigues FF, Boligon AA, Athayde ML, Costa JG. Chemical profile and antioxidant capacity verification of *Psidium guajava* (Myrtaceae) fruits at different stages of maturation, Excli J. 2015;14 :1020–1030.
9. Nakasone HY, Paull RE. Tropical fruits. Cab International; 1998.
10. Moon P, Fu Y, Bai J, Plotto A, Crane J, Chambers A. Assessment of fruit aromafor twenty-seven guava (*Psidium guajava*) accessions through three fruitdevelopmental stages, Sci. Hortic. 2018;238:375–383.
11. Pandey D, Shukla SK, Yadav RC and Nagar AK. Promising guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cultivars for North Indian condition. In: Proceedings of the first International guava Symposium (eds. G. Singh, R. Kishun and R. Chandra). Acta Hort., 2007;735:91-94.
12. Singh J. Consumer complaint intentions and behavior: definitional and taxonomical issues. Journal of marketing. 1988;52(1):93-107.
13. Deshmukh RK, Vivancos J, Guérin V, Sonah H, Labbé C, Belzile F, Bélanger RR. Identification and functional characterization of silicon transporters in soybean using comparative genomics of major intrinsic proteins in Arabidopsis and rice. Plant molecular biology. 2013;83(4):303-15.
14. Mehta SK, Singh KK, Dev Kishan Jat, Rana DK. Comparative studies of physico-chemical characteristics of various cultivars of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) under sub tropical valley condition of garhwal himalaya (Uttarakhand), India. Plant Archives. 2016; 16(1):361-364.
15. Dolkar D, Bakshi P, Wali VK, Bhushan B, Sharma A. Growth and yield attributes of commercial guava (*Psidium guajava* L.)

- cultivars under sub-tropical condition. Indian Journal of Plant Physiology. 2014;19(1):79-82.
16. Aulakh MS, Malhi SS. Interactions of nitrogen with other nutrients and water: effect on crop yield and quality, nutrient use efficiency, carbon sequestration, and environmental pollution. *Advances in agronomy*. 2005;86:341-409.
 17. Raghav M, Tiwari JP. Genetic variability and correlation analysis in guava. *Indian J. Hort*. 2008;65(3): 263-270.
 18. Bashir HA, Abu-Goukh AB. Compositional changes during guava fruit ripening. *Food Chemistry*. 2003;80(4):557-63.
 19. Aslam M, Saxena P, Sarma AK. Green technology for biodiesel production from *Mesua ferrea* L. seed oil. *Energy Environ. Res*. 2014;4(2):11-21.
 20. Patel RK, Maiti CS, Deka Bidyut C, Deshmukh NA and Roy D. Variability studies in guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) genotypes for growth, yield and quality attributes at mid-hills of Meghalaya. *Indian Journal of Hill Farming* 2011;24(1): 25-29.
 21. Pedapati A, Tiwari RB, Singh AK. Effect of different osmotic pre-treatment on sensory quality of osmotically dehydrated guava slices. *HortFlora Res. Spectrum*. 2014;3: 21–28.
 22. Sanda KA, Grema HA, Geidam YA, Bukar-Kolo YM. Pharmacological aspects of *Psidium guajava*: An update. *Int. J. Pharmacol*. 2011;7(3):316–24.

© 2023 Poonam et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/94415>