## Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International



33(3): 13-26, 2021; Article no.JPRI.64842 ISSN: 2456-9119 (Past name: British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research, Past ISSN: 2231-2919, NLM ID: 101631759)

# Knowledge and Attitude of Dental Students and Interns in Saudi Arabia (Riyadh Region) among Hepatitis C Virus Infection

Faisal Suliman Alhedyan<sup>1\*</sup>, Nasser Alqhtani<sup>1</sup>, Abdulaziz Rabah Alharbi<sup>2</sup>, Khalid Saud Alasimi<sup>2</sup>, Ahmad Ibrahim Alomran<sup>2</sup>, Ali Othman Aldibas<sup>2</sup> and Abdulrhman Motlaq Alotibi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery and Diagnostic Science, College of Dentistry, Prince Sattam Bin Abdul Aziz University, AlKharj, Saudi Arabia. <sup>2</sup>College of Dentistry, Prince Sattam bin Abdul Aziz University, AlKharj, Saudi Arabia.

#### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Authors FSA, NA, ARA and KSA designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors AIA and AOA managed the analyses of the study. Author AMA managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JPRI/2021/v33i331155 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Dr. Francisco Cruz-Sosa, Metropolitan Autonomous University Iztapalapa Campus, México. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Yessica Pontet, Universidad de la Repúbica, Uruguay. (2) Azamat Boymirzaev, Institute of Engineering and Technology, Uzbekistan. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/64842</u>

**Original Research Article** 

Received 01 December 2020 Accepted 04 February 2021 Published 19 February 2021

## ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** Hepatitis C virus (HCV) is considered one of the leading causes of chronic liver conditions in the world. The primary route of transmission of HCV can be by exposure of infected blood or sharing a contamination syringe during the injection of drugs. the purpose of this research to evaluate and assess the knowledge and attitude of HCV infection among dental students and interns in Saudi Arabia population specially Riyadh region.

**Materials and Methods:** This is a cross sectional-based survey, using a questionnaire which was divided into two parts, first covering sociodemographic information of the participant regards gender, demographic variable, academic level of the participant and the University. Second part of the questionnaire was established based on the knowledge and attitude of the participant in regard to HCV.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: researchpub19@gmail.com;

**Results:** A total of 218 students participated in this study. The responses of participants differed in various academic levels with a statistically significant difference in only two questions; in question 10 when they were asked whether or not they knew that a vaccine for HCV exists (p = 0.02) and question 20, if they believed that dental staff would be afraid to treat a patient if they found out his/her positive HCV status (p = 0.02).

**Conclusions:** The present study showed that knowledge, among the dental students and interns in the Riyadh region was not adequate in regard to HCV, and their attitude toward HCV patients was inequitable.

Keywords: Hepatitis C virus; dental student; HCV vaccine; Riyadh region; Saudi Arabia.

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Hepatitis can be defined as an inflammation of the liver that can cause a variety of health problems and can eventually cause death. Hepatitis mainly have different five types that include type A, B.C.D and E that have a distinct mode of transmission; however, all can lead to liver diseases [1]. Hepatitis C virus (HCV) is considered one of the leading causes of chronic liver conditions in the world [2]. The prevalence of HCV based on antibodies HCV positive test globally is estimated at 1.6%, which ranges between 90 -145 million individuals [3]. People infected by HCV can later develop severe form of liver diseases such as cirrhosis and cancer [4].

The primary route of transmission of HCV is through exposure of infected blood or sharing a contaminated syringe during the administration of drugs [2]. Another way of HCV transmission is either through sexual transmission or maternal HCV transmission, but it is considered to be less common compared to other modes of transmission [5,6]. Dentists have one of the highest risks of HCV transmission among health care workers [7]. Several researches examined the knowledge and attitude toward the infection control protocols with the student, lab technician and dentist. The outcome of those investigations revealed that dentists have poor knowledge of infection control that increases the risk of infection depending on use of protective aids [7,8].

For instance, Okasha et al. (2015) published a study that aimed to document the prevalence and incidence of HCV between health care workers in Cairo, Egypt. This study revealed 7.3% per 1000 people per year incidence of HCV infection, which raised the risk of mortality and morbidity among dentists and health care workers in general [9]. A study was also conducted by Peeran et al. (2016) that aimed to understand and evaluate the knowledge and

attitude toward HCV infection among undergraduate dental students and interns [10].

A recent study conducted by Rostamzadeh et al. (2018) to evaluate the basic infection control knowledge, attitudes and practices of dentists in the Iranian population revealed that there is an acceptepal knowledge and attitude of dentists towards different infections such as HIV,HBV and HCV. However, some gap in infection control knowledge and applications are observed and increasing awareness of dental practitioners is recommended to have good infection control protocols to prevent any possible risk [11].

Accordingly, the purpose of this research is to evaluate and assess the knowledge and attitudes among dental students and interns regarding hepatitis c virus infection in Saudi Arabian population especially in Riyadh region. This study will provide an insight into the current knowledge and practices of dental students and interns with regards to HCV and help dental educators and policy rethink education and training policies and incorporate changes in HCV infection control training if needed, based on the results of this research.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1 Study Design

The present study is a cross-sectional, survey based study. The survey was distributed through different social media platforms include, Twitter, Telegram, and WhatsApp among dental students and interns enrolled in the following eight dental colleges: Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University (PSAU), King Saud University (KSU), King Saud bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences (KSAU-HS), Riyadh Elm University (REU), Princess Nora bint Abdulrahman University (PNU), DAU University, Majmaah University, and Al-Farabi Colleges in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

#### 2.2 Study Instrument

A self-designed, close ended questionnair was used for the survey. The questionnaire was written in the English language. It was converted to an electronic format using Google Forms. The integrity of the questionnaire was maintained by keeping the options and answering fields as they would appear in paper format. The validity of questionnare was measured first among dental students and interns in Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University to ensure the feasibility of the study before distributing the questionnaire to the partcipants.

The questionnaire was divided into two parts; the first part assessed general information of the demographic participant like information. academic level of the participant and the University they belonged to. The second part of the questionnaire assessed the knowledge and attitude of the participants with regards to HCV and including items that asked about the route of transmission of HCV infection, HCV infection signs and symptom, the vaccination of HCV infection, patient thoughts toward HCV infection, and the treatment modalities of HCV patients.

#### 2.3 Sampling and Sample Size

A stratified random sampling technique was used to obtain the study sample from among dental students and interns in the chosen dental schools. Sample size calculation was performed using the following formula:

Sample size calculation was performed using the following formula:  $n = Z1-\alpha/2^2[p(1-p)]/d^2$ 

Where,

n is the sample size,  $Z1-\alpha/2^2$  is the standard normal variate (at 5% Type 1 error and 95% CI [p<0.05] it is 1.96), p is the expected proportion in population based on previous studies and, d is the absolute error or precision.

According to this formula, with a present knowledge level of 75% based on previous studies and a precision of 5%, a minimum sample of 198 participants were needed to produce statistically accurate results.

#### 2.4 Statistical Analysis

Data was collected, tabulated and analyzed using SPSS software (IBM Corp. Released 2012. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 26.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.). Frequency distribution of demographic variables like gender, university academic level of participants and was descriptive calculated using statistics. Comparisons were made between knowledgebased variables and academic level, University and gender using Pearson's Chi-Square tests. Variables with non-binary responses were reported individually for better visualization.

### 3. RESULTS

A total of 218 students participated in this study. Table 1 represents the distribution of respondents with respect to demographic variables. Majority of the respondents were males (56.8%), from Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University (PSAU; 29.3%) and studying in 2nd year (38.9%). The least number of respondents were from Dar Al Uloom University (DAU; 2.7%). Similarly, only 1.3% of respondents studied in 1st year and were the least with respect to academic level.

Table 2 depicts frequency distribution of responses of participants and chi-squared p values with respect to academic level of study. The responses of participants differed in various academic levels with a statistically significant difference in only two questions; in question 10 when they were asked whether or not they knew that a vaccine for HCV exists (p = 0.02) and question 20, if they believed that dental staff would be afraid to treat a patient if they found out his/her positive HCV status (p = 0.02). Participant responses to the rest of the questions did not differ significantly.

Fig. 1 depicts the distribution of "yes" responses among males and females. It was observed that in all questions, a greater number of females responded with a yes than males except in question 25 (males =47%, females = 41%). Similarly, Fig. 2 depicts the frequency distribution of responses of male and female participants. When responses of participants were compared on the basis of gender, it was found that there was no statistically significant difference between responses of males and females (Table 3).

Demographic variable		N	%
Gender	Males	124	56.8
	Females	94	43.1
University	PSAU	64	29.3
	PNU	36	16.5
	Majmaah University	8	3.6
	KSÜ	41	18.8
	KSU-HS	16	7.3
	DAU University	6	2.7
	REU	29	13.3
	Al Farabi Colleges	18	8.2
Academic level	1st year	3	1.3
	2nd year	85	38.9
	3rd year	44	20.1
	4th year	52	23.8
	5th year	34	15.5

 

 Table 1. Distribution of the sample according to gender, university and academic level (N= Number of participants, % = Percentage)

Analyses were also done to compare knowledge of participants based on the university they studied in. Frequency distribution of responses are depicted in Table 4. There were differences that were statistically significant in eight of the total binary response questions in the questionnaire. These differences were found in Q.10 (p = 0), Q.13 (p=0.03), Q.14 (p=0.017), Q.15 (p=0.04), Q. 21 (p=0.007), Q.22 (p=0.01), Q.24 (p=0.001) and Q.25 (p=0.03). Distribution of participants who responded with "yes" to every question are presented in Fig. 3.

Responses to questions 2 and 3 were non-binary and are presented in Table 5, Fig. 4.and Fig. 5. When asked about the preferred method of seeking more knowledge about HCV (Q.2), majority of the males (47.5%) chose books while majority of the females (40.4%) chose visual media. This difference in responses was statistically significant (p=0.03). Similarly, majority of 2nd year students (38.8%) and 4th year students (44.2%) also chose books as their preferred source of additional HCV knowledge but the difference between academic level was not statistically significant (p=0.13). On the contrary, responses were significantly different among students of different universities (p=0.018). Additionally, when asked about the major route of transmission of Hepatitis C (Q.3), majority of the all the respondents with respect to gender, academic level and university responded chose blood (Table 5) but there were no significant differences in the responses between any variable (gender, academic level or university).



Fig. 1. Shows the % of males and females that responded "yes" to every question

le 2. Display the distribution and comparison of the students' knowledge of HCV based on academic level composed of 26 qu	estions.
$(X^2 \text{ and } P = \text{Statistical values})$	

Question	Response	2nd y	ear	3rd ye	ear	4th ye	ar	5th ye	ar	Total s	tudents	X <sup>2</sup>
		stude	nts	stude	nts	stude	nts	stude	nts	(n = 21	5)	Ρ
		(n = 8	5)	(n = 44	4)	(n = 5	2)	(n = 3	4)			
		(N)	(%)	(N)	(%)	(N)	(%)	(N)	(%)	(N)	(%)	
1. Do you consider yourself having	Yes	47	55.29	28	63.64	35	67.31	24	70.59	134	62.33	χ2 = 3.36
adequate knowledge about HCV infection?	No	38	44.71	16	36.36	17	32.69	10	29.41	81	37.67	<i>P</i> = 0.3393
4. Can dentists get	Yes	77	90.59	41	93.18	47	90.38	33	97.06	198	92.09	χ2 = 1.70
hepatitis C from their patient if	No	8	9.41	3	6.82	5	9.62	1	2.94	17	7.91	P = 0.6379
he/she does not use a proper barrier technique?												
5. Can a dentist transmit	Yes	77	90.59	37	84.09	43	82.69	29	85.29	186	86.51	x2 = 2.13
hepatitis C to their patients if	No	8	9.41	7	15.91	9	17.31	5	14.71	29	13.49	<i>P</i> = 0.5469
he/she doesn't use proper barrier												
techniques?												
6. Hepatitis C can cause chronic	Yes	70	82.35	37	84.09	42	80.77	30	88.24	179	83.26	χ2 = 0.91
hepatitis?	No	15	17.65	7	15.91	10	19.23	4	11.76	36	16.74	P = 0.8237
7. Hepatitis C can lead to	Yes	64	75.29	33	75.00	44	84.62	30	88.24	171	79.53	χ2 = 3.90
cirrhosis?	No	21	24.71	11	25.00	8	15.38	4	11.76	44	20.47	<i>P</i> = 0.2724
8. HCV is associated with an	Yes	57	67.06	33	75.00	40	76.92	25	73.53	155	72.09	χ2 = 1.89
increased risk of liver cancer?	No	28	32.94	11	25.00	12	23.08	9	26.47	60	27.91	<i>P</i> = 0.5948
9. Dose HCV lead to jaundice?	Yes	58	68.24	34	77.27	36	69.23	28	82.35	156	72.56	χ2 = 3.22
	No	27	31.76	10	22.73	16	30.77	6	17.65	59	27.44	P = 0.3595
10. Is there a vaccine against HCV	Yes	52	61.18	32	72.73	23	44.23	17	50.00	124	57.67	χ2 = 9.18
exists?	No	33	38.82	12	27.27	29	55.77	17	50.00	91	42.33	<i>P</i> = 0.0270
11. Can a dentist treat	Yes	45	52.94	18	40.91	27	51.92	18	52.94	108	50.23	χ2 = 1.939
hepatitis C positive	No	40	47.06	26	59.09	25	48.08	16	47.06	107	49.77	P = 0.5852
patients in a normal dental												
setting?												
12. Do you consider that your	Yes	55	64.71	23	52.27	23	44.23	19	55.88	120	55.81	χ2 = 5.778
current curriculum will make you fit	No	30	35.29	21	47.73	29	55.77	15	44.12	95	44.19	<i>P</i> = 0.1229
to manage patient with HCV?												

Alhedyan et al.; JPRI, 33(3): 13-26, 2021; Article no.JPRI.64842

Question	Response	2nd y stude (n = 8	ear nts 5)	3rd ye stude (n = 4	ear ents 4)	4th y stude (n = 5	ear ents 52)	5th y stude (n = 3	ear ents 94)	Total s (n = 2′	students 15)	X <sup>2</sup> P
13. Do you feel confident that with the standard precaution taken, there will be no transmission of HCV?	Yes No	60 25	70.59 29.41	29 15	65.91 34.09	35 17	67.31 32.69	22 12	64.71 35.29	146 69	67.91 32.09	χ2 = 0.5294 <i>P</i> = 0.9124
14. Would you treat a patient who is at high risk of hepatitis C, such as injecting drug user?	Yes No	46 39	54.12 45.88	28 16	63.64 36.36	22 30	42.31 57.69	17 17	50.00 50.00	113 102	52.56 47.44	χ2 = 4.529 <i>P</i> = 0.2097
15. Would you be stressed while treating a known HCV-positive patient or the risk groups?	Yes No	67 18	78.82 21.18	37 7	84.09 15.91	47 5	90.38 9.62	26 8	76.47 23.53	177 38	82.33 17.67	χ2 = 3.933 <i>P</i> = 0.2688
16. Are you ethically/morally responsible to treat hepatitis C-positive patients?	Yes No	72 13	84.71 15.29	35 9	79.55 20.45	43 9	82.69 17.31	26 8	76.47 23.53	176 39	81.86 18.14	χ2 = 1.312 <i>P</i> = 0.7264
17. Do you think that the patient should inform you correctly about his/her HCV positive status?	Yes No	74 11	87.06 12.94	37 7	84.09 15.91	47 5	90.38 9.62	30 4	88.24 11.76	188 27	87.44 12.56	χ2 = 0.8909 P = 0.8276
18. Is it necessary that hepatitis C- positive dentists should inform their patients about his status?	Yes No	64 21	75.29 24.71	33 11	75.00 25.00	38 14	73.08 26.92	22 12	64.71 35.29	157 58	73.02 26.98	χ2 = 1.504 <i>P</i> = 0.6814
19. Do you think that treating HCV-positive patients will increase personal risk for the disease?	Yes No	59 26	69.41 30.59	27 17	61.36 38.64	41 11	78.85 21.15	20 14	58.82 41.18	147 68	68.37 31.63	χ2 = 5.113 <i>P</i> = 0.1637
20. Do you think that dental staff will be afraid if they know about the HCV positive status of the patient?	Yes No	76 9	89.41 10.59	32 12	72.73 27.27	47 5	90.38 9.62	31 3	91.18 8.82	186 29	86.51 13.49	$\chi^2 = 9.08$ <i>P</i> = 0.0282
21. Do you think regular HCV testing for dentists and dental health care workers is necessary to protect the patient?	Yes No	79 6	92.94 7.06	41 3	93.18 6.82	47 5	90.38 9.62	31 3	91.18 8.82	198 17	92.09 7.91	χ2 = 0.4033 <i>P</i> = 0.9396

Alhedyan et al.; JPRI, 33(3): 13-26, 2021; Article no.JPRI.64842

Question	Response	2nd stud (n = 3	year ents 85)	3rd y stud (n =	/ear ents 44)	4th y stud (n =	vear ents 52)	5th y stud (n = :	vear ents 34)	Total (n = 2	students 15)	X <sup>2</sup> P
22. Should regular	Yes	67	78.82	25	56.82	38	73.08	26	76.47	156	72.56	χ2 = 7.419
made mandatory before any surgical procedure is carried out?	NO	18	21.18	19	43.18	14	26.92	8	23.53	59	27.44	P = 0.0597
23. Do you think that dentists have	Yes	52	61.18	21	47.73	35	67.31	20	58.82	128	59.53	χ2 = 3.953
the right to reject treating hepatitis C-positive patients?	No	33	38.82	23	52.27	17	32.69	14	41.18	87	40.47	<i>P</i> = 0.2666
24. Do you think that government	Yes	56	65.88	31	70.45	36	69.23	23	67.65	146	67.91	χ2 = 0.3338
should construct/manage separate hospitals/clinics for HCV-positive individuals?	No	29	34.12	13	29.55	16	30.77	11	32.35	69	32.09	<i>P</i> = 0.9536
25. In case of an	Yes	41	48.24	21	47.73	17	32.69	15	44.12	94	43.72	χ2 = 3.564
emergency, would you be ready to perform mouth to mouth resuscitation (CPR) in HCV positive patient?	No	44	51.76	23	52.27	35	67.31	19	55.88	121	56.28	<i>P</i> = 0.3126
26. Do you think that you have to	Yes	62	72.94	30	68.18	35	67.31	25	73.53	152	70.70	χ2 = 0.761
uphold the confidentiality of a patient with hepatitis C-positive status?	No	23	27.06	14	31.82	17	32.69	9	26.47	63	29.30	<i>P</i> = 0.8588





Fig. 2. Frequency distribution of participant responses on the basis of gender

Fig. 3. Shows the % of participants that responded "yes" to every question based on university

Questions	Gender	YES	NO	χ2	P value
Q1	Male	74	50	0.617	0.432
	Female	61	33		
Q4	Male	116	8	1.237	0.266
	Female	84	10		
Q5	Male	103	21	1.169	0.28
	Female	83	11		
Q6	Male	100	24	1.684	0.194
	Female	82	12		
Q7	Male	94	30	2.214	0.137
	Female	79	15		
Q8	Male	90	34	0.002	0.969
	Female	68	26		
Q9	Male	85	39	2.225	0.136
0.40	Female	73	21	0.470	
Q10	Male	()	4/	2.179	0.14
011	Female	49	45	0.404	0.000
QTT	Male	01	63 45	0.184	0.008
012	Female	49	40	2 200	0 127
QIZ	Fomolo	04 59	26	2.200	0.137
012	Mala	90	30	1 1 1 2	0.201
Q15	Female	67	44 27	1.115	0.291
014	Mala	65	50	0.072	0 788
	Female	51	43	0.072	0.700
015	Male	99	25	1 489	0 222
QIU	Female	81	13	1.400	0.222
Q16	Male	97	27	2 252	0 133
aro	Female	81	13	2.202	0.100
Q17	Male	106	18	0.718	0.397
	Female	84	10		
Q18	Male	86	38	1.405	0.236
	Female	72	22		
Q19	Male	78	46	3.28	0.07
	Female	70	24		
Q20	Male	106	18	0.367	0.545
	Female	83	11		
Q21	Male	112	12	1.413	0.235
	Female	89	5		
Q22	Male	83	41	3.687	0.055
	Female	74	20		
Q23	Male	77	47	0.482	0.487
_	Female	54	40		
Q24	Male	83	41	0.12	0.729
0.05	Female	65	29	0.005	0.407
Q25		58	66	0.605	0.437
000	Female	39	55	0.400	0.440
Q26	Male	83	41	2.428	0.119
	⊦emale	72	22		

Table 3. Distribution and comparison of the students' knowledge of HCV based on gender.  $(X^2 \text{ and } P = \text{Statistical values})$ 

University	Q	1	Q	4	Q	5	G	16	Q	7	C	8	Q	9	Q	10
	YES	NO														
PSAU	34	30	57	7	51	13	52	12	45	19	42	22	43	21	46	18
PNU	25	11	30	6	33	3	28	8	29	7	26	10	24	12	24	12
Majmaah	8	0	8	0	5	3	7	1	7	1	6	2	7	1	7	1
KSU	25	16	39	2	37	4	37	4	32	9	33	8	34	7	24	17
KSAU-HS	11	5	15	1	14	2	14	2	15	1	13	3	12	4	5	11
DAU	3	3	6	0	6	0	6	0	5	1	3	3	4	2	1	5
REU	16	13	27	2	27	2	25	4	26	3	25	4	24	5	9	20
Alfarabi Colleges	: 13	5	18	0	13	5	13	5	14	4	10	8	10	8	10	8
χ2	9.948	3	7.622	2	11.86	61	5.721	1	7.58		10.31	13	8.93		26.60	1
P value	0.192	2	0.367	7	0.105	5	0.573	3	0.371		0.172	2	0.258	3	0	
University	Q1	11	Q	12	Q1	3	Q	14	Q	15	Q	16	Q	17	Q	18
-	YES	NO														
PSAU	33	31	28	36	38	26	31	33	57	7	51	13	56	8	44	20
PNU	17	19	23	13	28	8	19	17	32	4	30	6	29	7	29	7
Majmaah	5	3	6	2	8	0	8	0	8	0	6	2	6	2	8	0
KSU	23	18	25	16	32	9	23	18	32	9	31	10	37	4	26	15
KSAU-HS	8	8	11	5	11	5	9	7	13	3	15	1	16	0	12	4
DAU	2	4	5	1	2	4	5	1	5	1	6	0	6	0	4	2
REU	10	19	12	17	16	13	9	20	23	6	25	4	26	3	23	6
Alfarabi Colleges	:12	6	12	6	12	6	12	6	10	8	14	4	14	4	12	6
χ2	6.725	5	12.61	1	14.79	93	17.04	14	14.50	)7	4.96	1	7.631	1	7.486	
P value	0.458	3	0.082	2	0.039	9	0.017	7	0.043	3	0.665	5	0.366	3	0.38	
University	Q1	19	Q	20	Q2	21	Q	22	Q	23	Q	24	Q	25	Q	26
-	YES	NO														
PSAU	36	28	54	10	58	6	46	18	38	26	44	20	25	39	39	25
PNU	30	6	30	6	35	1	32	4	21	15	28	8	16	20	29	7
Majmaah	8	0	8	0	8	0	8	0	8	0	8	0	8	0	6	2
KSU	26	15	35	6	39	2	22	19	20	21	28	13	19	22	27	14
KSAU-HS	11	5	14	2	14	2	9	7	11	5	3	13	7	9	16	0
DAU	5	1	6	0	6	0	5	1	5	1	5	1	2	4	4	2
REU	19	10	27	2	29	0	23	6	17	12	20	9	9	20	21	8
Alfarabi Colleges	:13	5	15	3	12	6	12	6	11	7	12	6	11	7	13	5
χ2	12.96	69	4.081	I	22.42	22	18.42	28	9.446	6	23.83	31	15.24	17	11.98	8
P value	0.073	3	0.77		0.007	7	0.01		0.222	2	0.001	1	0.033	3	0.101	

Table 4. Distribution and comparison of the students' knowledge of HCV based on university.  $(X^2 \text{ and } P= \text{Statistical values})$ 

Table 5. Shows distribution and comparison of the students' knowledge of HCV in non-binary response-based questions. ( $X^2$  and P = Statistical values)

Q.2-Which of the following do you prefer to improve your knowledge about HCV?										
Demographic variables		Meetings Books		Journals	Visual	χ2	Р			
		_			media		value			
Gender	Male	26	59	8	31	8.945	0.03			
	Female	19	28	9	38					
University	PSAU	13	30	4	17	36.74	0.018			
-	PNU	8	11	3	14					
	Majmaah	6	1	1	0					
	KSŪ	7	13	3	18					
	KSAU-HS	2	3	3	8					
	DAU	1	3	0	2					
	REU	6	14	1	8					
	Alfarabi	2	12	2	2					

Q.2-Which of the following do you prefer to improve your knowledge about HCV?										
Demographic v	variables	Meetings	Books	Journals	Visual	χ2	Р			
		_			media		value			
Academic	1st Year	1	1	1	0	17.54	0.13			
Level	2nd Year	18	33	4	30					
	3rd Year	12	13	1	18					
	4th Year	9	23	8	12					
	5th Year	5	17	3	9					
Q.3-Which of the	ne following	is the majo	r route of tr	ansmission	of Hepatiti	s C?				
Demographic v	variables	Blood	Fecooral	Sexual	$\chi^2$	P value				
Gender	Male	96	19	9	0.365	0.833				
	Female	74	12	8						
University	PSAU	49	10	5	18.77	0.174				
	PNU	24	10	2						
	Majmaah	7	1	0						
	KSÜ	34	3	4						
	KSAU-HS	14	2	0						
	DAU	4	0	2						
	REU	22	5	2						
	Alfarabi	16	0	2						
Academic	1st Year	2	0	1	9.21	0.324				
Level	2nd Year	68	9	8						
	3rd Year	35	8	1						
	4th Year	37	11	4						
	5th Year	28	3	3						

#### Alhedyan et al.; JPRI, 33(3): 13-26, 2021; Article no.JPRI.64842

## 4. DISCUSSION

Very few studies in literature discuss the knowledge and attitude of dental student and intern towards HCV infection especially in Saudi Arabia.

Therefore, the main aim of this research was to understand the level of understanding regards the HCV infection, which in turn improves the awareness regards the implication of the infection control guidelines among dental students and interns.

A total of 218 respondents from both genders participated in this study from different academic levels and institutions in Saudi Arabia. There was no significant difference in knowledge between males and females, and between different academic levels. However, a similar study found that female practitioners have more negative attitudes towards infection compared to their male counterparts [12].

To the best of the authors' knowledge, this is the first study that investigates the knowledge and attitude of dental students and interns in Saudi Arabia. Other studies from different countries have the same aims but differ in the aspect of their samples. Regarding knowledge of HCV infection, our findings show that most of the students in our sample lack basic knowledge of HCV infection that could help them to manage the patients diagnosed with HCV. A study published by Mtengezo et al. (2016) in Malawi that aimed to understand the knowledge and attitude of HIV, HBV and HCV virus infection among health care workers, showed that the majority of participants had less knowledge with regards to HCV infection [13]. The authors of this study recommend an educational program to improve this shortage in their knowledge. In our study we aim to understand the early knowledge of the students to overcome the weakness in early stage. Another study by Peeran et al. (2016) similar to our study investigated the knowledge and attitude of dental students from Libva. The result of that study indicates a gap in knowledge of HCV infection among the students [10]. These results show to be similar to findings in this study. The knowledge of participants is found to be equal between different academic levels and this lack of knowledge can elevate the stress level of students and interns when they plan to treat HCV patients. Furthermore, the rejection of treatment of HCV patients is not a positive attitude for the patients and can reflect a negative action that harms the patients. The students and interns should always follow universal infection control guidelines to treat any patients.

As for the attitude towards HCV infection, our results show that there is no clear answer from

the participants with regards to the best approach to manage these kinds of patients. These results were also present in different studies that investigate the attitude toward HCV patients [10,14,15].







Fig. 5. Frequency distribution of responses to question 3

## 5. CONCLUSION

The present study demonstrated that the knowledge of HCV among dental students and interns in Riyadh region was not adequate, and their attitude toward HCV patients was not favorable. However, this research paper clearly shows that the student knowledge and attitude for HCV patient can be improved if further improvements are made in education and training to allow students and interns to handle HCV patients without discriminating against these types of patients.

## 6. LIMITATIONS

This study primary evaluates the knowledge and attitude of HCV infection among the students and interns in Riyadh region, Saudi Arabia. Response bias is intrinsic to all surveybased research and limits the application of results of such studies to the broader population and this is also a limitation in this study. Furthermore, a non-validated instrument was used in this study which hampers reproducibility of results on other similar samples. We recommend future researchers to develop standardized, validated instruments to assess self-reported measures of knowledge and attitudes.

## CONSENT

As per international standard or university standard, Participants' written consent has been collected and preserved by the authors.

## ETHICAL APPROVAL

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Ethical Committee of Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank the Deanship for Scientific Research, Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University, Al-Kharj, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia for the guidance and providing financial support towards publication of this article.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Razavi H. Global Epidemiology of Viral Hepatitis. Gastroenterology Clinics. 2020;49:179-189.
- Manns MP, Buti M, Gane E, Pawlotsky JM, Razavi H, Terrault N, Younossi Z. Hepatitis C virus infection. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2017;3:17006.
- 3. Gower E, Estes C, Blach S, Razavi-Shearer K, Razavi H. Global epidemiology and genotype distribution of the hepatitis C virus infection. J Hepatol. 2014;61:S45-57.
- Jacobson IM, Davis GL, El–Serag H, Negro F, Trépo C. Prevalence and challenges of liver diseases in patients with chronic hepatitis C virus infection. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology. 2010;8:924-933.
- Lopata SM, McNeer E, Dudley JA, Wester C, Cooper WO, Carlucci JG, Espinosa CM, Dupont W, Patrick SW. Hepatitis C testing among perinatally exposed infants. Pediatrics. 2020; 145.
- Schmidt AJ, Falcato L, Zahno B, Burri A, Regenass S, Müllhaupt B, Bruggmann P. Prevalence of hepatitis C in a Swiss sample of men who have sex with men: whom to screen for HCV infection? BMC Public Health. 2014;14:3.
- Al-Dwairi ZN. Infection control procedures in commercial dental laboratories in Jordan. Journal of Dental Education. 2007;71:1223-1227.
- Khanghahi BM, Jamali Z, Azar FP, Behzad MN, Azami-Aghdash S. Knowledge, attitude, practice, and status of infection control among Iranian dentists and dental students: A systematic review. Journal of Dental Research, Dental Clinics, Dental Prospects. 2013;7:55.
- Okasha O, Munier A, Delarocque-Astagneau E, Houssinie EI, M., Rafik M, Bassim H, Hamid MA, Mohamed MK, Fontanet A. Hepatitis C virus infection and risk factors in health-care workers at Ain Shams University Hospitals, Cairo, Egypt. East Mediterr Health J. 2015;21199-212.
- Peeran S, Peeran S, Alsaid F, Murugan M, ElHasan A, Ahmed M. Hepatitis C: Knowledge and attitude of graduating dentist from Faculty of Dentistry, Sebha, Libya. Dentistry and Medical Research. 2016;4:18-23.

- 11. Rostamzadeh M, Afkhamzadeh A, Afrooz S, Mohamadi K, Rasouli MA. Dentists' knowledge, attitudes and practices regarding Hepatitis B and C and HIV/AIDS in Sanandaj, Iran. BMC oral health. 2018;18:220.
- 12. Rabiee M, Kazennezhad E. Knowledge and attitude of general dentists regarding HIV and hepatitis infections in rasht. Research in Medical Education. 2012;4:58-67.
- 13. Mtengezo J, Lee H, Ngoma J, Kim S, Aronowitz T, DeMarco R, Shi, L. Knowledge and attitudes toward HIV, hepatitis B virus, and hepatitis C virus infection among health-care workers in

Malawi. Asia-Pacific Journal of Oncology Nursing. 2016;3:344.

- Souza N, Villar L, Moimaz S, Garbin AÍ, Garbin C. Knowledge, attitude and behaviour regarding hepatitis C virus infection amongst Brazilian dental students. European Journal of Dental Education. 2017;21:e76-e82.
- 15. Todorova TT, Tsankova G, Tsankova D, Kostadinova T, Lodozova N. Knowledge and attitude towards hepatitis B and hepatitis C among dental medicine students. Journal of IMAB–Annual Proceeding Scientific Papers. 2015;21: 810-813.

© 2021 Alhedyan et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

> Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/64842