



Agro-Waste Biochar to Reduce the Leaching of Heavy Metals from Coal Fly Ash Amended Soil

Ukwattage N. L.^{a, b++*}, Li Y.^b, Lakmalie U. V.^c and Gan Y.^{b, d}

^a Department of Environmental Technology, University of Colombo, Sri Lanka.

^b Tropical Research and Education Centre, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida, USA.

^c Department of Chemistry, Latrobe University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia.

^d School of Life Sciences, Qufu Normal University, Qufu, Shandong, China.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author UNL research idea, funding, research design, testing, analysis, writing. Author LY supervision. Author LUV analysis and writing. Author GY testing, analysis. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/ASRJ/2023/v7i3135

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105522>

Original Research Article

Received: 09/07/2023

Accepted: 12/09/2023

Published: 19/09/2023

ABSTRACT

The soils added with coal fly ash may contain heavy metals potentially up to the levels of toxicity. Biochars have been successfully used in the past to reduce the bioavailability and leaching of heavy metals in contaminated soils. Therefore, the co-application of biochar together with coal fly ash could positively change the bioavailability and leaching characteristics of heavy metals in sandy soils through various fixing mechanisms. In the present study, the authors investigated the effect of agro-waste biochar in modifying the leaching loss of heavy metals from coal fly ash contaminated soils. Column leaching tests with simulated rainfall conditions were conducted for sandy Ultisol soils mixed with coal fly ash and avocado branch cut biomass biochar at 5 tons/ha rate. The results

++ Senior Lecturer;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: nadeesha.ukwattage@gmail.com, nadeesha@et.cmb.ac.lk;

showed the presence of heavy metals in the leachate of fly ash added soil. Application of biochar significantly reduced the leachability of all the tested heavy metals in the study. The reduction rates were up to 55% compared to non-amended samples. Therefore, the agro-waste biochar can effectively be used in stabilizing the heavy metals in coal fly ash contaminated soils, to minimize the risk of environmental contamination.

Keywords: Biochar; heavy metals leaching; coal fly ash; soil amendment; ultisol.

1. INTRODUCTION

“Soil amendment capacity of coal combustion fly ash has been extensively researched over the past three decades across a wide range of soil types. Accordingly, coal fly ash has been found as useful in improving the physico-chemical properties of loose structured sandy Ultisols occur in southeastern agricultural regions of USA, through diverse mechanisms. Yet, as the research literature states coal fly ash contains some biologically toxic elements in concentrations that greatly exceed their concentrations in soil” [1,2]. Hence continuous loading of soils with fly ash tends to accumulate these elements in the soils and end up in food chains and ground water, to cause serious animal and human health risks [3]. Especially, the soils being sandy and loose structured plus high rainfall of the region aggravate the situation, to account for a large leaching loss of toxic elements from added coal fly ash [4].

“Biochars have been successfully used to reduce bioavailability and leaching of heavy metals in contaminated soils. Studies revealed that biochar assists in reducing the bioavailability of heavy metals through a variety of mechanisms, thus helping to control the toxicity of heavy metals in the soil and the environment” [5]. “The porous structure and high surface area of biochar allows it to adsorb and retain heavy metals in the soil. The elements such as Pb, Cd, and Cu can bind to the surface of biochar particles, reducing their mobility and potential for leaching into groundwater” [6]. Further, biochar increases the cation exchange capacity of soil which is the ability of soil particles to retain and exchange positively charged ions including heavy metal ions [7]. The enhanced cation exchange capacity can help immobilize heavy metals in the soil. Moreover, biochar acts as a pH buffer in soil by helping to maintain a neutral to slightly alkaline pH range [5]. This can reduce the solubility and mobility of certain heavy metals in soil that are more mobile in acidic conditions. Enhanced microbial immobilization of heavy metals through

processes, such as microbial sorption and precipitation is also evident in biochar amended soils [8]. With this background the authors hypothesized that co-application of biochar would help attenuate the toxic heavy metal contamination in Ultisol soils due to the application of coal fly ash. The main aim of the present study was to investigate the effect of biochar on the heavy metal leaching from Ultisol soils amended with coal fly ash, under simulated rainfall conditions.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Soil, Biochar and Coal Fly Ash

Ultisol soil was collected from a crop field of Georgia (southeastern USA) at a depth of 0–30 cm. The soil was air-dried for 48 hours and passed through 2 mm sieve. The initial physicochemical properties: soil structure, particle size distribution, soil pH, EC, organic matter content were determined with respective standard soil testing methods prior to column leaching experiment [4]. Biochar was produced with a locally plentifully available agro waste; avocado branch cut biomass (abundantly available in the region due to hurricanes). “The branches were cut to reduce the size and oven dried at 80 °C. Dried biomass was subjected to slow pyrolysis using a furnace (Olympic 1823HE) in N₂ environment at a temperature of 600 °C for 6 hours. After cooling down, biochar was crushed to pass through 2 mm sieve to obtain a uniform size fraction” [4]. Class C type coal fly ash collected from a power plant in North Florida was used in the study. They were collected fresh from electrostatic precipitators. Chemical properties and the composition of soil, biochar and coal fly ash are listed in Table 1.

The presence of eight heavy metals of concern in fly ash were determined by acid digestion of the samples followed by inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopic (ICPMS) analysis. The results are shown in Table 2.

Table 1. Characteristics of soil, coal fly ash and biochar

Property	Soil	Coal fly ash	Biochar
Texture	Sandy	-	-
Density	1.24 gcm ⁻³	-	-
Organic matter %	2.5%	-	-
pH	4.9	11.8	10.3
EC	47.2 μ S/cm	6.45mS/cm	34.2 dS/cm
Specific surface area	-	3.9 m ² g ⁻¹	610 m ² g ⁻¹
C	0.27 %	1.9 %	73.13%
N	0.02 %	0.04 %	0.67 %
S	0.37 %	0.5 %	0.71 %
P	0.01 %	0.01 %	0.09 %
Ca	0.03 %	20.25 %	1.8 %
Fe	6.78 %	7.73 %	2.65 %
Al	8.12 %	27.39 %	0.93 %
Mg	0.02%	2.32 %	4.62 %
K	0.01%	1.15 %	8.25%

Table 2. Heavy metal content in fly ash (μ g/g)

Zn	Pb	As	Ni	Cd	Cr	Se	Mo
263	5.5	21	28	14	96	36	456

2.2 Soil Column Preparation and Incubation

Clear acrylic columns of 16 cm height and 7 cm inner diameter fitted with a bottom plate with 5 mm diameter drainage holes covered with a nylon mesh of 60 μ m pore size were used in the experiment. Test columns were prepared with soil only, soil + coal fly ash and soil+coal fly ash+ biochar treatments with triplicates. "Columns were packed with soil in layers up to a height of 10 cm, applying weight at each layer to achieve a density of 1.2 g/cm³. After that, another 5 cm layer was filled with the treatment in which biochar or/and fly ash mixed soil was packed to achieve the same density. Soil amendments mixing rate was 5 tons/ha, based on the column surface area" [4].

A filter paper was placed on top of each column. The columns were wetted uniformly by dipping in a shallow deionized water layer. Capillary rise of water through the soil column was allowed until the filter paper is just wetted. Then, the columns were drained for 24 hours and incubated for 10 days at room temperature.

2.3 Column Leaching Tests and Analysis

Incubated columns were leached by applying a simulated rainfall using a peristaltic pump.

Deionized water was applied to the top of the column at the rate of 1.2 mL/min. The leachate was collected at each half pore volume of the soil column, until a total of five pore volumes are collected. This was equivalent to the half a year of rainfall of the area. The leachate fractions were filtered through Whatman 42 (2.5 μ m pore size) filter paper and analyzed for the heavy metal concentration using inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopic (ICP MS) analysis. The leachates collected at each half pore volume and the soil samples collected from the top layer of the column were also tested for pH.

2.4 Statistical Analysis

Differences among treatments and the interaction effect of the treatments were analysed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) statistical technique.

3. RESULTS

The pH of each treatment layer prior the experiment, after incubation and after leaching is compared in Fig. 1.

Fig. 2 shows the total of heavy metals leached out at each half pore volume over the leaching event up to a total of 5 pore volumes.





Fig. 1. pH of each treatment during different stages of the experiment






Fig. 2. Total metal content of leachate at each half pore volume

4. DISCUSSION

With their high alkalinity, both fly ash and biochar soil amendments significantly modified the pH of the surface soil layer of the Ultisol. The high concentration of K^+ , Mg^{2+} , and Ca^{2+} content in the biochar form alkaline oxides and carbonates during the pyrolysis process shows a liming effect when mixed with the soil. According to the chemical composition, coal fly ash also contains a significant amount of Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} . The alkalinity created by these ions has elevated the pH of the Ultisol soil from strongly acidic range to close to neutral ranges after the incubation period. This suggests that both the amendments, coal fly ash and biochar could be good liming agents for acid soils. pH drop observed in all the treatments after leaching, compared with values after incubation, is attributed to the leaching loss of alkalinity causing metals with the rainwater. This loss was greater in fly ash treated soil over fly ash and biochar treated soil. This shows a resistance effect on ion leaching in biochar added soil compared to fly ash only treatment.

Analysis of leachate for heavy metal concentration showed the presence of heavy metals in the leachate collected over five soil pore volumes. The comparison of amount of heavy metals been added to soil initially, (estimated based on the amount of fly ash added and the composition of fly ash), with the cumulative amount of heavy metals leached out, shows up to 50% of leaching trend in some of the heavy metals. Zn, Pd and Ni showed the greatest percentages of leached out. These metals can be considered as the most loosely bound and easily available, of the tested metals in the fly ash matrix.

Biochar addition significantly reduced the leaching trend of heavy metals from soil added with coal fly ash. Leaching reduction rate was 39% for Zn and 55% for Pb, 50% for As, 45% for Ni and 54% for Cd. It was around 41% and 45% for Cr and Se respectively. In heavy metals contaminated soils, the biochar can adsorb and immobilize metal ions due to its porous structure, large surface area, high surface charge density, and pH values [9,10]. Previous studies have shown the effect of above physicochemical properties of biochars in controlling their effects in soil. Biochar is found to have a net negative surface charge which is more effective at removing cationic species from soil solution than anions. The high surface area ($610\text{ m}^2\text{g}^{-1}$) of biochar we observed, suggest a greater potential

to sorb abundant positively charged heavy metals added by coal fly ash into the soil.

In addition to the surface charges, the precipitation reactions at elevated pH levels also contributes to reduce the leaching of metal ions from soils added with alkaline soil amendments including biochar and coal fly ash. Chen et al [11]. showed that in the presence of biochar Cd precipitates as $Cd(OH)_2$ and Pb likely as $Pb(OH)_2$ when the solution pH is greater than 8. Similarly, biochar application to acidic mine soils raised the pH causing Pb to precipitate as hydroxide phases. Additionally, oxygen containing functional groups present in biochar further enhances positively charged heavy metal iron sorption. Also, workers have observed the conversion of exchangeable Cd and Pb fractions into residual Cd and residual Pb with the addition of biochar into sandy soil which effectively reduces the down migration of heavy metals through the soil profile [12].

“Studies also indicated that the effectiveness of biochar in the immobilization of heavy metals strongly depends on the metal contaminant type, the biomass feed stocks used and the pyrolysis conditions” [13]. Microstructural level analysis are underway to investigate the mechanism of metal ion retention in Ultisol soils added with coal fly ash and avocado branch cut biochar [14].

5. CONCLUSIONS

Coal fly ash contains heavy metals that is prone to leach out when added to sandy soil, under subtropical rainfall conditions. Avacado branch cut biochar tested in the study significantly reduced the leaching of heavy metals from coal fly ash in sandy Ultisol. The lachability reduction rates were upto 55%. pH shift in acidic soils after biochar addition, high porosity, high specific surface area and negative surface charges of biochar are believed to be the causes of the metal ion retention. The exact mechanism of metal stabilization is yet to be discovered.

DECLARATIONS

Availability of data and materials: Data and materials are available on request.

HIGHLIGHTS

1. Heavy metal contamination is a major constraint of using coal fly ash as a soil amendment.
2. Co-application of agro-waste biochar with coal fly ash was tested using column leaching experiments.

3. The leachate concentration of Zn, Pb, As, Cd, Ni, Cr, Se and Mo were measured under simulated rainfall conditions.
4. The concentration of above elements in the leachates of fly ash added soils were notable.
5. Biochar significantly reduced the leaching trend of heavy metals from coal fly ash amended soil.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge the analytical support extended by the technical staff of the Soil and Water Sciences division in the Tropical Research and Education Centre of University of Florida.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Sikka R, Kansal BD, Characterization of thermal power-plant fly ash for agronomic purposes and identify pollution hazards. *Bio Resource Technology*. 1994;50: 269–73.
2. Pandey VC, Singh N. Impact of fly ash incorporation in soil systems. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*. 2010; 136(1–2):16–27.
3. Shaheen SM, Hooda PS, Tsadilas CD. Opportunities and challenges in the use of coal fly ash for soil improvements: A review. *Journal of Environmental Management*. 2014;145(1): 249-267.
4. Ukwattage NL, Li Y, Gan Y, Li T, Gamage RP. . Effect of Biochar and Coal Fly Ash Soil Amendments on the Leaching Loss of Phosphorus in Subtropical Sandy Ultisols. *Water Air Soil Pollution*. 2020; 231(56) :4393-4395.
5. Park JH, Choppala GK, Bolan NS, Chung JW, Chuasavathi T. Biochar reduces the bioavailability and phytotoxicity of heavy metals. *Plant and Soil*. 2011;348:439–451.
6. He L, Zhong H, Liu G, Dai Z, Brookes PC, Xu J.. Remediation of heavy metal contaminated soils by biochar: Mechanisms, potential risks and applications in China, *Environmental Pollution*. 2019;252(A):846-855.
7. Al Wabel MI, Hussain Q, Usman ARA, Ahmad M, Abduljabbar A, Sallam AS, Ok YS. Impact of biochar properties on soil conditions and agricultural sustainability: A review. *Land Degradation and Development*. 2018;29(7):2124-2161.
8. Lehmann J, Matthias C. Rillig MC, Thies J, Masiello CA, Hockaday WC., Crowley D. Biochar effects on soil biota – A review, *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*. 2011; 43(9):1812-1836.
9. Hossain MK, Strezov V, Chan KY, Nelson PF. Agronomic properties of wastewater sludge biochar and bioavailability of metals in production of cherry tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*). *Chemosphere*. 2010;78(9):1167-1171.
10. Zwieten LV, Kimber S, Morris S, Chan KY, Downie A, Rust J, Joseph S, Cowie A., Effects of biochar from slow pyrolysis of papermill waste on agronomic performance and soil fertility, *Plant and Soil*. 2010;327:(1/2):235-246.
11. Chen T, Zhou Z, Han R, Meng R, Wang H, Lu W. Adsorption of Cd by biochar derived from municipal sewage sludge: Impact factors and adsorption mechanisms, *Chemosphere*. 2015;134:286-293.
12. Sun J, Cui L, Quan G, Yan J, Wang H, Wu L. Effects of biochar on heavy metals migration and fractions changes with different soil types in column experiments, *Bio Resources*. 2020;15 (2):4388-4406.
13. Zhang X, Wang H, He L, Lu K, Sarmah A, Li J, Bolan NS, Pei J, Huang H. Using biochar for remediation of soils contaminated with heavy metals and organic pollutants, *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*. 2013;20(12): 8472–8483.
14. Ukwattage NL, Ranjith PG. Accelerated carbonation of coal combustion fly ash for atmospheric carbon dioxide sequestration and soil amendment: an overview. *Journal of Pollution Effects and Control*. 2018;6: 1-8.

© 2023 Ukwattage et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105522>