



Impact of Cropping Systems on Soil Organic Carbon Levels and Enzyme Activity

Jince Mary M. Joy ^{a++*}, Jacob John ^{b#}, A. V. Meera ^{c†},
B. Sudha ^{a†}, P. Shalini Pillai ^{a#} and R. V. Manju ^{d#}

^a Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Vellayani, Thiruvananthapuram – 695522, India.

^b Department of Agronomy, Integrated Farming System Research Station, Karamana, Thiruvananthapuram – 695 002, India.

^c Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Integrated Farming System Research Station, Karamana, Thiruvananthapuram -695 002, India.

^d Department of Plant Physiology, College of Agriculture, Vellayani, Thiruvananthapuram – 695 522, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i113599

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/110064>

Original Research Article

Received: 24/09/2023

Accepted: 30/11/2023

Published: 02/12/2023

ABSTRACT

Monocropping makes agriculture more susceptible to the adverse effects of climate change. Resilient agriculture systems that can buffer crops against various agricultural vulnerabilities is the need of the hour. In this context, a study was conducted to assess the impact of various rice based cropping systems on soil health.

⁺⁺ Ph.D. Scholar;

[#] Professor and Head;

[†] Assistant Professor;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: jincymundonayil@gmail.com;

Aims: To study the effect of different rice based cropping systems on soil enzyme activity and organic carbon.

Study Design: Randomized block design, Replications – 3.

Place and Duration of Study: Integrated Farming System Research Station (IFSRS) of Kerala Agricultural University located at Karamana, Thiruvananthapuram, during *kharif*, *rabi* and summer seasons of 2019-2020.

Methodology: The experiment consists of eleven cropping systems (T_1 : rice - fallow - fallow, T_2 : rice - rice - fallow, T_3 : rice - daincha - cowpea, T_4 : (rice + daincha) - rice - green gram, T_5 : rice - cassava - amaranthus, T_6 : rice - cassava - cowpea, T_7 : rice - para grass - fodder cowpea, T_8 : rice - fodder cowpea - fodder maize, T_9 : rice - okra - culinary melon, T_{10} : rice - okra - yard long bean and T_{11} : rice - rice – amaranthus).

Results: Soil organic carbon and enzyme activities were found highest in the cropping system (rice+daincha) – rice – green gram during *kharif*. During *rabi*, soil organic carbon and activity of urease and acid phosphatase were highest in rice- daincha- cowpea while dehydrogenase activity was highest in rice- fodder cowpea – fodder maize. During summer, rice- okra – yard long bean, rice- cassava- amaranthus and rice- cassava – cowpea recorded the highest urease, acid phosphatase and dehydrogenase activity, respectively. The organic carbon was highest for the sequence rice – okra –culinary melon. The soil organic carbon and enzyme activities were least in rice- fallow-fallow cropping sequence during all the three cropping seasons.

Conclusion: Brown manuring, use of leguminous crops and cover crops in the crop sequence instead of leaving the land fallow and addition of organic sources of nutrition increase the soil organic carbon and enzymatic activity of microbes. The increase in soil organic carbon and activity of enzymes in soil owing to crop rotation of rice with arable crops relies on the crop species which are cultivated.

Keywords: Enzyme activity; rice based cropping systems; soil health; urease; dehydrogenase; acid phosphatase; organic carbon.

1. INTRODUCTION

Rice – based cropping systems form an integral part of agriculture in Kerala. Rice-rice-fallow was identified as the major rice based cropping system in the southern districts of Kerala [1]. However, rice-rice and rice- fallow systems are no longer sustainable for South Asian countries [2]. The decline in sustainability of rice-based cropping systems could be due to the reduction of soil organic matter and bio resources, as well as the lack of adequate crop rotation, which leads to a decline in soil fertility and crop productivity.

Cropping system diversification with the introduction of diverse crop species can maintain soil fertility and productivity; suppress pest attack and disease incidence, and increase yields [3,4]. Instead of leaving the fields fallow, inclusion of vegetables, pulses, tubers, fodder crops etc. in *rabi* and summer seasons could help sustain the soil health. Inclusion of legumes and other crops in the cropping systems, as per the resource availability, could improve the productivity, profitability and soil fertility [5]. Different crops grown during different seasons under various

cropping systems enhanced the soil nutrient status, soil health and sustained crop productivity [6]. Several studies have suggested that incorporation of green manures like *Sesbania* and application of FYM increased organic C in soil [7,8].

The soil productivity primarily depends on its biological health. The composition and quantity of the microbial biomass with respect to organic carbon, soil nitrogen, and enzyme activities play a crucial role in determining the soil health. Soil microorganisms produce and release different enzymes that break down soil organic matter into smaller compounds [9]. Enzyme activity is a sensitive indicator of changes in soil environment. The changes in enzyme activity could be used to evaluate soil quality [10]. Urease enzyme degrades urea and plays a vital role in the N cycle, generating accessible N for plant growth [11]. Phosphatase enzymes are essential for mineralizing soil organic P into available P for plant uptake [12,13]. Dehydrogenase enzymes are present in live cells of microbes and are considered a direct indicator of microbial activity of soil. It helps in the biological oxidation of organic matter.

Studies have reported that enzyme secretion and activities depend on multiple factors like type and species of crop, cropping history, crop rotation, seasons, chemical nature of root exudates, organic matter content, soil depth and agricultural practices. Even though most soil enzymes are produced by soil microorganisms, plant roots also contribute to extracellular enzymes [14,15]. Organic compounds such as amino acids and sugars released by plant roots simulate activity of microorganisms in the plant rhizosphere [16,17]. Significantly higher activity of enzymes (dehydrogenase, protease, acid phosphatase, alkaline phosphatase and urease) were observed in organic system as against the conventional system in a five year old crop rotation involving sugar beet, spring barley, red clover, winter wheat and oats [18]. The use of green manure in organic farming system led to an increase in the activity of all enzymes studied in comparison to the intensive system of agriculture [19]. Legumes had a more beneficial effect on soil enzymes than cereal crops [20]. Studies suggested that use of cover crops increase organic matter accumulation that in turn improve soil biological and enzymatic activity [21].

Hence, the present study was conducted to assess the impact of various rice based cropping systems on soil health, in terms of soil organic carbon and enzyme activity.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted as part of the all India Co-ordinated Research Project on Integrated Farming Systems (ICAR) implemented in the Integrated Farming System Research Station (IFSRS) of Kerala Agricultural University located at Karamana, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala during *kharif*, *rabi* and summer seasons of 2019-2020. The experimental site, is located at 8° 28' 25" N latitude and 76° 57' 32" E longitudes and an altitude of 5 m above mean sea level. A warm humid tropical climate existed over the experimental site. The rainfall received during *kharif* (May to September 2019) was 1172.2 mm, while during *rabi* (October 2019 to January 2020) was 519.8 mm, and during summer (February to May 2020) was 622.2 mm. During the *kharif* season, the maximum temperature varied

between 27.5°C and 34.5°C, while the minimum temperature varied between 22°C and 29°C. During the *rabi* season, the maximum temperature varied between 26°C and 33.5°C, while the minimum temperature varied between 21°C and 27°C and during the summer season the maximum temperature varied between 31.5°C and 38°C while the minimum temperature varied between 21°C and 29°C. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with eleven cropping sequences *i.e.*, T₁ : rice – fallow - fallow, T₂ : rice - rice - fallow, T₃ : rice – *daincha* - cowpea, T₄ : (rice + *daincha*) - rice - green gram, T₅ : rice - cassava - amaranthus, T₆ : rice - cassava - cowpea, T₇ : rice - para grass - fodder cowpea, T₈ : rice - fodder cowpea – fodder maize, T₉ : rice - okra - culinary melon, T₁₀ : rice - okra - yard long bean and T₁₁ : rice - rice – amaranthus replicated thrice. The plot size was 6 x 6 m. The recommended agronomic package of practices of the state was followed for raising different crops [22]. In treatment T₄, *daincha* was used for brown manuring. It was raised as an intercrop by sowing seeds @ 20 kg ha⁻¹ two days after transplanting rice. The crop was later incorporated by spraying 2, 4- D @ 1.0 kg ha⁻¹ at 50 per cent flowering stage (45 DAS). Well decomposed farmyard manure (FYM) containing 0.5 per cent N, 0.2 per cent P₂O₅ and 0.4 per cent K₂O was applied as the source of organic manure. Urea (46 % N), Rajphos (18 % P₂O₅) and Muriate of potash (60 % K₂O) were used as inorganic source of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium, respectively. Details of crop, variety and fertilizers and manures applied are presented in Table 1. The soil of the experimental field was isohyperthermic typic tropofluent sandy loam. After each crop season, surface soil samples (0-15 cm) were collected, shade dried, passed through 2mm sieve and analysed for soil physical, chemical and biological parameters. Soil physical and chemical properties of the experimental site are given in Table 2. Urease activity was analysed by the incubation method proposed by Tabatabai and Bremner [23]. Acid phosphatase- and dehydrogenase activity was analyzed by the procedures proposed by Tabatabai and Bremner [24] and Thalmann [25] respectively. Organic carbon was estimated by Walkley and Black's rapid titration [26]. GRAPES KAU statistical software was used to analyse the data [27].

Table 1. Details of crops, variety and fertilizers and manures applied

Crop	Variety	Fertilizers and manures applied
Rice	Uma	N:P:K - 90:45:45 kg ha ⁻¹ FYM - 5 t ha ⁻¹
Daincha	Local	-
Cowpea	Anaswara	N:P:K - 20:30:10 kg ha ⁻¹ FYM - 20 t ha ⁻¹
Green gram	CO-8	N:P:K - 20:30:30 kg ha ⁻¹ FYM - 20 t ha ⁻¹
Cassava	Vellayani Hraswa	N:P:K - 50:50:100 kg ha ⁻¹ FYM - 12.5 t ha ⁻¹
Amaranthus	Arun	N:P:K - 100:50:50 kg ha ⁻¹ FYM - 50 t ha ⁻¹
Para grass	Local	N:P:K - 80:30:30 kg ha ⁻¹ FYM - 40 t ha ⁻¹
Fodder cowpea	CO-8	N:P:K - 25:60:30 kg ha ⁻¹ FYM - 10 t ha ⁻¹
Fodder maize	African Tall	N:P:K - 120:60:40 kg ha ⁻¹ FYM - 10 t ha ⁻¹
Okra	Salkeerthi	N:P:K - 110:35:70 kg ha ⁻¹ FYM - 20 t ha ⁻¹
Culinary melon	KAU Vishal	N:P:K - 70:25:25 kg ha ⁻¹ FYM - 20 t ha ⁻¹
Yard long bean	Vellayani Jyothika	N:P:K - 20:30:10 kg ha ⁻¹ FYM - 20 t ha ⁻¹

Table 2. Physical and chemical properties of the soil at the experimental site

Mechanical composition of the soil				
Sl. No.	Fractions	Content in soil (%)	Methods adopted	
1	Coarse sand	62	International pipette method [28]	
2	Silt	10		
3	Clay	28		
Textural class:		Sandy clay loam		
Chemical properties of the soil				
Sl. No.	Parameters	Content	Rating	Method adopted
1	Soil reaction (pH)	5.8	Acidic	1:2:5 soil solution ratio using pH meter [29]
2	Electrical conductivity (dS m ⁻¹)	0.2	Normal	Using electrical conductivity meter [30]
3	Available N (kg ha ⁻¹)	288.1	Medium	Alkaline permanganate method [31]
4	Available P ₂ O ₅ (kg ha ⁻¹)	36.8	Medium	Bray colorimetric method [30]
5	Available K ₂ O (kg ha ⁻¹)	137.7	Low	Ammonium acetate method [30]

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Enzyme Activity

The enzyme activity of urease, acid phosphatase and dehydrogenase were considered for this study. All the three enzymes showed significant effect on cropping systems at the end of the crop cycle.

3.1.1 Urease

The results pertaining to the effect of different cropping systems on urease activity during *khari*, *rabi* and summer seasons are given in Table 3. Soil urease activity was significantly influenced by different cropping sequences during all the three seasons. (Rice + daincha) – rice – green gram showed the highest activity

after *kharif* (89.43 mg g⁻¹ h⁻¹). In *rabi*, rice – daincha- cowpea (88.36 mg g⁻¹ h⁻¹) showed the highest activity which was on par with rice - fodder cowpea –fodder maize (88.30 mg g⁻¹ h⁻¹). The fast decomposition of daincha releases organic matter that act as a source of carbon and energy for the growth and multiplication of microorganisms, thus enhancing soil enzyme activities [32,33]. Rice – okra - yard long bean (91.07 mg g⁻¹ h⁻¹) showed significantly higher urease activity in summer. Yard long bean add a huge amount of crop residue into the soil increasing the overall carbon received by microorganisms present in soil. This in turn could increase the activity of urease enzyme [34,35]. Lowest activity was observed in rice - fallow - fallow after *kharif* (78.98 mg g⁻¹ h⁻¹), *rabi* (77.35 mg g⁻¹ h⁻¹) and summer seasons (76.52 mg g⁻¹ h⁻¹).

3.1.2 Acid phosphatase

The acid phosphatase activity differed significantly among the cropping systems in all the three seasons (Table 4). The acid phosphatase activity was highest in the cropping sequence (rice + daincha)- rice- green gram after *kharif* (29.03 µg g⁻¹ h⁻¹). After *rabi*, rice – daincha-cowpea (28.90 µg g⁻¹ h⁻¹) showed highest activity of acid phosphatase. The acid phosphatase activity was highest in rice – cassava - amaranthus (31.16 µg g⁻¹ h⁻¹) which was on par with rice- okra - yard long bean (30.45 µg g⁻¹ h⁻¹) after summer season. High amount of FYM application in amaranthus might have produced organic acids during decomposition of FYM which might have resulted in enhanced soil microbial activity. Similar results were reported

by Reddy and Reddy [36]; Bhavani et al. [37]. Different plant species grown during *kharif*, *rabi* and summer produce different root exudates and secretions in the rhizosphere, which acts as a substrate for soil microorganisms. Yard long bean being a legume was found to exude higher amount of acid phosphatases by the plant roots [38-40]. The variation in nutrient requirements of crops and the quantity and quality of litter they produce might have also influenced acid phosphatase activity.

3.1.3 Dehydrogenase

The influence of cropping systems on dehydrogenase activity is presented in Table 5. The dehydrogenase activity differed significantly among the cropping systems in all the three seasons. The highest activity was observed in (rice +daincha) – rice – greengram after *kharif* (28.11 µg g⁻¹ h⁻¹). Rice -fodder cowpea-fodder maize (29.87 µg g⁻¹ h⁻¹) and rice – cassava - cowpea (34.51 µg g⁻¹ h⁻¹) recorded the highest activity after *rabi* and summer season, respectively. The lowest activity was found in the cropping sequence rice - fallow - fallow after *kharif* (24.29 µg g⁻¹ h⁻¹), *rabi* (23.06 µg g⁻¹ h⁻¹) and summer seasons (2.43 µg g⁻¹ h⁻¹). Increasing the cropping intensity of cropping systems by reducing the fallow period increases the biomass production and residue incorporation. This actually increases the soil organic carbon, stimulating soil microflora resulting in enhanced enzyme activities as reflected from the present study. This in turn increases carbon sequestration that could stimulate soil enzyme activity by microbes. Also the increased activity of dehydrogenase in all other treatments except

Table 3. Influence of cropping sequence on soil urease activity after each season, mg of urea hydrolysed g⁻¹ soil h⁻¹

Cropping sequence	<i>Kharif</i>	<i>Rabi</i>	Summer
T ₁ : Rice - fallow - fallow	78.98d	77.35g	76.52e
T ₂ : Rice - rice - fallow	82.18c	82.82f	81.58d
T ₃ : Rice - daincha - cowpea	85.93b	88.36bc	86.80bc
T ₄ : (Rice + daincha) – rice –green gram	89.43a	86.32ab	90.13ab
T ₅ : Rice – cassava – amaranthus	82.76c	83.27ef	81.74d
T ₆ : Rice – cassava – cowpea	84.60b	85.71cd	86.41bc
T ₇ : Rice – para grass – fodder cowpea	82.82c	83.40def	84.02cd
T ₈ : Rice – fodder cowpea – fodder maize	84.95b	88.30def	85.74c
T ₉ : Rice - okra - culinary melon	85.68b	85.21cde	87.69abc
T ₁₀ : Rice - okra - yard long bean	88.91a	83.37a	91.07a
T ₁₁ : Rice - rice - amaranthus	85.09b	84.31cdef	84.3cd
SEm(±)	0.590	0.799	1.272
CD (0.05)	1.75	2.356	3.754

Table 4. Influence of cropping sequence on soil acid phosphatase activity after each season, μg of p- nitro phenol released g^{-1} soil h^{-1}

Cropping sequence	Kharif	Rabi	Summer
T ₁ : Rice - fallow - fallow	22.22d	21.58g	22.46bc
T ₂ : Rice - rice - fallow	25.81b	25.20d	26.87ab
T ₃ : Rice - daincha - cowpea	22.52cd	28.90f	20.86c
T ₄ : (Rice + daincha) - rice -green gram	29.03cd	22.13fg	22.78bc
T ₅ : Rice - cassava - amaranthus	28.71a	22.26a	31.16a
T ₆ : Rice - cassava - cowpea	23.48c	23.68e	22.14c
T ₇ : Rice - para grass - fodder cowpea	23.08cd	23.34e	24.06bc
T ₈ : Rice - fodder cowpea - fodder maize	23.43c	25.95c	23.29bc
T ₉ : Rice - okra - culinary melon	28.50a	27.35b	29.24a
T ₁₀ : Rice - okra - yard long bean	22.66a	27.35a	30.45a
T ₁₁ : Rice - rice - amaranthus	26.55b	28.73b	29.56a
SEm(\pm)	0.368	0.211	1.533
CD (0.05)	1.086	0.621	4.524

Table 5. Influence of cropping sequence on dehydrogenase activity after each season, μg of TPF released g^{-1} soil 24 h^{-1}

Cropping sequence	Kharif	Rabi	Summer
T ₁ : Rice - fallow - fallow	24.29d	23.06g	22.43d
T ₂ : Rice - rice - fallow	24.44d	23.25g	23.85cd
T ₃ : Rice - daincha - cowpea	25.00d	28.70g	23.52cd
T ₄ : (Rice + daincha) - rice -green gram	28.11ab	25.59ef	26.31bcd
T ₅ : Rice - cassava - amaranthus	26.57bc	27.11c	27.33bc
T ₆ : Rice - cassava - cowpea	27.52ab	26.57cd	34.51a
T ₇ : Rice - para grass - fodder cowpea	25.46cd	24.84f	25.74cd
T ₈ : Rice - fodder cowpea - fodder maize	24.72d	29.87de	25.98cd
T ₉ : Rice - okra - culinary melon	26.78a	23.45b	30.63ab
T ₁₀ : Rice - okra - yard long bean	27.57a	25.75a	30.75ab
T ₁₁ : Rice - rice - amaranthus	25.42cd	24.99ef	25.67cd
SEm(\pm)	0.408	0.28	1.521
CD (0.05)	1.203	0.826	4.488

rice – fallow – fallow might be due to the formation of humic acids that enhanced the activity of microorganisms due to the organic and inorganic nutrients applied to the crops. Similar results on dehydrogenase activity were reported by Bajpai et al. [41].

3.2 Organic Carbon

Soil organic carbon content under different cropping systems during the *kharif*, *rabi* and summer seasons is presented in Table 6. Perusal of data revealed that the soil organic C showed significant variation among different cropping systems in *kharif*, *rabi* and summer seasons. In *kharif*, significantly higher organic C

was observed in (rice + daincha) - rice -green gram (1.88 %). In *rabi*, highest value of organic C was obtained in rice – daincha – cowpea (1.71%) which was on par with rice – fodder cowpea – fodder maize (1.64%). In summer, significantly higher organic C was observed in rice – okra – culinary melon (1.94 %). Residual effect of root and shoot biomass returned to soil and regular application of FYM along with recommended inorganic fertilizer may be the reason for high soil organic carbon in these treatments. [42,43]. Rice- fallow- fallow showed the least soil organic C in all the three seasons. A significant improvement in soil organic C was observed at the end of each cropping cycle.

Table 6. Influence of cropping system on organic carbon after each season (%)

Cropping sequence	Kharif	Rabi	Summer
T ₁ : Rice - fallow - fallow	1.43c	1.29e	1.36c
T ₂ : Rice - rice - fallow	1.44c	1.41de	1.40c
T ₃ : Rice - daincha - cowpea	1.46bc	1.71a	1.56bc
T ₄ : (Rice + daincha) - rice -green gram	1.88a	1.45cd	1.50bc
T ₅ : Rice - cassava - amaranthus	1.45bc	1.49cd	1.51bc
T ₆ : Rice - cassava - cowpea	1.51bc	1.52bcd	1.58bc
T ₇ : Rice - para grass - fodder cowpea	1.53b	1.43cd	1.73ab
T ₈ : Rice - fodder cowpea - fodder maize	1.54b	1.64ab	1.69ab
T ₉ : Rice - okra - culinary melon	1.48bc	1.55bc	1.94a
T ₁₀ : Rice - okra - yard long bean	1.52bc	1.51cd	1.68ab
T ₁₁ : Rice - rice - amaranthus	1.51bc	1.43cd	1.51bc
SEm (±)	0.032	0.042	0.089
CD (0.05)	0.095	0.123	0.262

3.3 Correlation Studies

Correlation of organic carbon with enzyme activities at the end of crop cycle is presented in Table 7. A positive correlation was observed between organic carbon and urease, acid phosphatase and dehydrogenase. The positive correlation of enzyme activities with organic carbon may be due to enhanced organic matter decomposition and nutrient cycling by soil enzymes and micro flora [44].

Table 7. Correlation of organic carbon with enzyme activities at the end of crop cycle

	Organic Carbon
Acid phosphatase	0.226
Urease	0.559
Dehydrogenase	0.528

4. CONCLUSION

From the findings, it can be concluded that brown manuring with daincha, use of leguminous crops or cover crops in the crop sequence instead of leaving the land fallow and addition of organic sources of nutrition like FYM could increase the soil organic carbon and enzymatic activity of microbes. Microorganisms that produce different enzymes prefer certain environment in the root zone, and the plant species play an important role. The increase in SOC in the soil owing to crop rotation of rice with arable crops relies on the crop species which are cultivated due to the quality and quantity of their residue added.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the Kerala Agricultural University and the Indian Institute of Farming Systems Research (ICAR) for the research grant and facilities provided for undertaking the study.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. John J, Rajasekharan P, Rajasree G, Bindu, P. Cropping systems in Kerala. State Planning Board, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala. 2014;46.
2. Lal B, Gautam P, Panda BB, Raja R, Singh T, Tripathi R, et al. Crop and varietal diversification of rainfed rice based cropping systems for higher productivity and profitability in Eastern India. PLoS One. 2017;12(4):e0175709.
3. Shibu ME, Van Keulen H, Leffelaar PA, Aggarwal PK. Soil carbon balance of rice-based cropping systems of the Indo-Gangetic Plains. Geoderma. 2010;160: 143-154. Available:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2010.09.004>
4. Karlen DL, Varvel, GE, Bullock, DG, Cruse, R. M. Crop rotations for the 21st century. Adv. Agron. 1994;53:1–45.
5. Gangwar B, Ram Baldev. Effect of crop diversification on productivity and profitability of rice (*Oryza sativa*)–wheat

- (*Triticum aestivum*) system. Indian J Agr Sci. 2005;75:435-438.
6. Singh, RD, Shivani, Khan, AR, Chandra N. Sustainable productivity and profitability of diversified rice-based cropping systems in an irrigated ecosystem. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science. 2012;58(8):859-869.
 7. Ghuman, BS, Sur, HS. Effect of manuring on soil properties and yield of rainfed wheat. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 2006;54(1):6-11.
 8. Hiremath, R, Usha, KE. Effect of different rice based cropping systems on soil health under organic management. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2019;8(1):1121-1124.
 9. Burns RG, DeForest JL, Marxsen J, Sinsabaugh RL, Stromberger ME, Wallenstein MD, Weintraub MN, Zoppini A. Soil enzymes in a changing environment: current knowledge and future directions. Soil Biology and Biochemistry. 2013;58:216-234.
 10. Skowronska M, Bielinska EJ, Szymanski K, Futa B, Antonkiewicz J, Kołodziej B. An integrated assessment of the long-term impact of municipal sewage sludge on the chemical and biological properties of soil. Catena. 2020;189:104484.
 11. Sinsabaugh RL, Follstad Shah JJ. Ecoenzymatic stoichiometry and ecological theory. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics. 2012;43:313-343.
 12. Li CS, Xie RZ, Huang G, Chun WU, Li SK, Tang YL. Effects of nitrogen management on rice growth and grain yield under conservation tillage in rice-wheat cropping system. Plant Nutrition & Fertilizer Science. 2010;16:528-535.
 13. Rahmansyah M, Antonius S, Sulistinah N. Phosphatase and urease instability caused by pesticides present in soil improved by grounded rice straw. ARPN Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science. 2009;4:56-62.
 14. Juma NG, Tabatabai, MA. Phosphatase activity in corn and soybean roots: conditions for assay and effects of metals. Plant and Soil 1988;107:39-47.
 15. Knauff U, Schulz M, Scherer HW. Arylsulfatase activity in the rhizosphere and roots of different crop species. European Journal of Agronomy. 2003;19:215-223.
 16. Bird JA, Herman DJ, Firestone MK. Rhizosphere priming of soil organic matter by bacterial groups in a grassland soil. Soil Biology and Biochemistry. 2011;43:718-725.
 17. Brzezinska M, Stepniewski W, Stepniewska Z, Przywara G, Włodarczyk T. Effect of oxygen deficiency on soil dehydrogenase activity in a pot experiment with triticale cv. Jago vegetation. International Agrophysics. 2001;15:145-150.
 18. Kwiatkowski CA, Harasim E, Feledyn-Szewczyk B, Antonkiewicz J. Enzymatic activity of loess soil in organic and conventional farming systems. Agriculture, 2020;10(4):135. DOI: 10.3390/agriculture10040135
 19. Rieznik S, Havva D, Chekar O. Enzymatic activity of typical chernozems under the conditions of the organic farming systems. Scientific Papers. Series A. Agronomy. 2021;LXIV(2):114-119.
 20. Wozniak A. Chemical properties and enzyme activity of soil as affected by tillage system and previous crop. Agriculture. 2019;9:262.
 21. Rankoth LM, Udawatta RP, Veum KS, Jose S, Alagele S. Cover crop influence on soil enzymes and selected chemical parameters for a claypan corn-soybean rotation. Agriculture. 2019;9:125.
 22. KAU (Kerala Agricultural University). Package of practices recommendations: Crops (15th Ed.). Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur. 2016;393.
 23. Tabatabai MA, Bremner JM. Assay of urease activity in soils. Soil Biol. Biochem. 1972;4(4):479-487.
 24. Tabatabai MA, Bremner JM. Use of p-nitrophenylphosphate for assay of soil phosphatase activity. Soil Biol. Biochem. 1969;1:301-307.
 25. Thalmann A. The determination of dehydrogenase activity in soil by means of T.T.C. (tryphenyl tetrazolium chloride). Soil Biol. 1966;6:46-47.
 26. Walkley A, Black IA. An examination of method for determining organic carbon in soils: effect of variations in digestion conditions and of inorganic soil constituents. Soil Sci. 1934;63:251-263.
 27. Gopinath PP, Parsad R, Joseph B, Adarsh VS. grapesAgri1: Collection of shiny apps for data analysis in

- agriculture. Journal of Open Source Software. 2021;6(63):3437.
28. Piper CS. Soil and plant analysis: A laboratory manual of methods for the examination of soils and the determination of the inorganic constituents of plants. Hans Publications, Bombay; 1966.
 29. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice hall of India (Pvt.) Ltd., New Delhi. 1967;498.
 30. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice hall of India (Pvt.) Ltd., New Delhi. 1973;498.
 31. Subbiah BV, Asija GL. A rapid procedure for the determination of available nitrogen in soils. Curr. Sci. 1956;25: 259-260.
 32. Bhattacharyya R, Das TK, Sudhishri S, Dudwal B, Sharma AR, Bhatia A. Conservation agriculture effects on soil organic carbon accumulation and crop productivity under a rice-wheat cropping system in the western Indo-Gangetic Plains. Eur J Agron. 2015;70:11–21.
 33. Rai TN, Janardan Y. Influence of inorganic and organic nutrient sources on soil enzyme activities. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 2011;59(1):54-59.
 34. Jokela WE, Grabber JH, Karlen DL, Balsler TC, Palmquist DE. Cover crop and liquid manure effects on soil quality indicators in a corn silage system. Agronomy Journal. 2009;101:727-737.
 35. Saviozzi A, Levi-Minzi R, Cardelli R, Riffaldi R. A comparison of soil quality in adjacent cultivated, forest and native grassland soils. Plant Soil. 2001;233:251-259
 36. Reddy RU, Reddy MS. Influence of integrated nutrient management on dehydrogenase activity of soil in tomato–onion cropping system. Journal of Research ANGRAU. 2012;40(2):75-76.
 37. Bhavani S, Shaker KC, Jayasree G, Padmaja B. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2017;6(5):1107-1110.
 38. Ndakidemi PA. Manipulating legume/cereal mixtures to optimize the above and below ground interactions in the traditional African cropping systems. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 2006;5:2526-2533.
 39. Yadav RS, Tarafdar JC. Influence of organic and inorganic phosphorous supply on the maximum secretion of acid phosphatase by plants. Biol. Fertil. Soils. 2001;34:140-143.
 40. Li Y, Guohua M, Fanjun C, Jianhua Z, Fusuo Z. Rhizosphere effect and root growth of two maize (*Zea mays* L.) genotypes with contrasting p ef#ciency at low p availability. Plant Sci. 2004; 167:217–223.
 41. Bajpai RK, Chitale S, Upadhyay SK. Urkurkar JS. Long-term studies on soil physico-chemical properties and productivity of rice-wheat system as influenced by integrated nutrient management in Inceptisol of Chhattisgarh. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 2006;54(1):24-29.
 42. Mandal B, Majumder B, Bandyopadhyay PK, Hazra GC, Gangopadhyay A, Samantaray RN, et al. The potential of cropping systems and soil amendments for carbon sequestration in soils under long-term experiments in subtropical India. Global Change Biology. 2007;13 (2):357-369.
 43. Merante P, Dibari C, Ferrise R, Sánchez B, Iglesias A, Lesschen JP Bindi M. Adopting soil organic carbon management practices in soils of varying quality: implications and perspectives in Europe. Soil and Tillage Research. 2017;165:95–106.
 44. Wang Q, Xiao F, He T, Wang S. Responses of labile soil organic carbon and enzyme activity in mineral soils to forest conversion in the subtropics. Ann. of For. Sci. 2013;70:579-587.

© 2023 Joy et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/110064>