

International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

Volume 14, Issue 7, Page 623-631, 2024; Article no.IJECC.119886 ISSN: 2581-8627 (Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)

Assessing the Impact of Groundwater Recharge on Underground Reservoir Replenishment in Eastern Uttar Pradesh, India

Vijeta Singh a* , Arpan Sherring ^a , Sumant Kumar ^b , Prashant Rai ^c and Vinod Kumar ^b

^a Vaugh Institute of Agricultural Engineering and Technology, SHUATS, Prayagraj, India. ^b National Institute of Hydrology, Roorkee, India. ^c Central Ground Water Board, Uttarakhand, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijecc/2024/v14i74301>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/119886>

Original Research Article

Received: 08/05/2024 Accepted: 10/07/2024 Published: 17/07/2024

ABSTRACT

Groundwater is considered a fresh resource of water and its uses have tremendously increased in the recent past due to an increase in population, rapid urbanization, and industrialization. In India, the groundwater level is declining in some parts of the country due to over-exploitation, low or negligible recharge of aquifer systems, and unsustainable development of groundwater resources. The groundwater modeling is an important tool for studying the past and present groundwater behavior and in the development of future strategies for sustainable groundwater management

Cite as: Singh, Vijeta, Arpan Sherring, Sumant Kumar, Prashant Rai, and Vinod Kumar. 2024. "Assessing the Impact of Groundwater Recharge on Underground Reservoir Replenishment in Eastern Uttar Pradesh, India". International Journal of Environment and Climate Change 14 (7):623-31. https://doi.org/10.9734/ijecc/2024/v14i74301.

^{}Corresponding author: E-mail: singhvijeta630@gmail.com;*

plans. To study the Impact of groundwater recharge on the replenishment of underground reservoir. Ballia district of Uttar Pradesh has been selected which is one of the districts of the most populous state of India, Uttar Pradesh. An attempt has been made to develop a groundwater model using Modflow software to simulate the groundwater trends and predict future groundwater heads. The calibration and validation of the model were done for 5 years and 3 years respectively. The correlation coefficient for calibration and validation was found 0.85 and root mean square errors vary from 2.89 to 3.2m variation in future trends of groundwater heads. The results of the study show that the developed model can be effectively used to predict the future groundwater heads. The groundwater flow was observed from the northwest to southeast direction. It was predicted from the study that groundwater draft will increase by 10% with a decrease in groundwater level by approximately 0.24 m in the north-west direction by the year 2025. However, no impact was observed in the south side of the district and it was predicted that the groundwater level would remain the same in this zone during the next 3 years.

Keywords: Modflow; groundwater; draft; modeling; ballia; Uttar Pradesh.

1. INTRODUCTION

India is facing management issues of surface and ground water resources and thus, witnessing the fresh water scarcity in many parts of the country. Therefore, it is imperative to manage the water resources and modeling is one of the powerful tools to predict the future trends and accordingly helps in taking suitable decisions to mitigate the issues related to fresh water availability [1]. India receives 1200 mm average annual rainfall which seems to be good, but due to unequal spatial and temporal distribution, various parts are water stressed in terms of surface water and groundwater availability. Declining trend of groundwater levels is due to change in recharge patterns, increased groundwater draft and ever-increasing water demand in agricultural, industrial and domestic use. There is 15% rise in per capita water demand due to rapid population growth, urbanization and industrialization [2]. Approximately 40 percent of total water use in irrigated agricultural consumption is currently being met by groundwater, and the bulk of GWD (Ground Water Depletion) regions are significant in agricultural production areas [3]. Groundwater not only plays a significant role in providing safe drinking and irrigation water, but is also essential for food security and its impact on the environment and human health cannot be ignored [4,5]. In many countries including India, the percentage of land that is irrigated with groundwater has increased significantly since the 1960s [6]. In India during the past five decades, the number of shallow tube wells and groundwater abstraction structures has increased from 3,000 in 1951 to 8.5 million in 2001 [7]. Over-extraction of groundwater has caused well yields to decline and wells are drying

up. In addition to having an adverse effect on water supply, groundwater level decline also results in land subsidence, a reduction in surface water flows, spring discharges and the loss of wetlands which also causes the deterioration of groundwater quality [8,9].

Groundwater modelling is a powerful tool that is used for anticipating the effects of hydrological changes such as the withdrawal of groundwater and recharge of the aquifer [10-12]. There are various models available for groundwater modelling. One of the globally accepted and widely used models is Modflow which utilizes the finite difference approach. Various researchers have used Modflow for simulation and prediction of groundwater heads and also studied the interactions between groundwater and surface water [13,14].

Groundwater resources in the State of Uttar Pradesh caters 78 percent of the irrigation needs [15]. In the present paper, an administrative boundary (Ballia district) in the most eastern part of Uttar Pradesh was selected to study the groundwater level changes. A groundwater model was developed using Modflow software to simulate and predict groundwater heads under different scenarios.

1.1 Study Area

For the study, Ballia district was selected which is bounded by the Ghaghra and Ganga rivers in the north and south direction respectively. It is the eastern most district of Uttar Pradesh comprising 17 administrative blocks covering an area of 3008.19 sq.km lies between 25.763556 °N latitude and 84.149561°E longitude. Fig. 1 shows the location map of Ballia District. The primary sector of Ballia's economy is agriculture. The Dharighat Lift Irrigation Canal for surface water and tube wells for groundwater are the two major irrigation sources in the district, with the former providing 72.61% and the latter 27.39% of the irrigation needs. The entire area has flat topographic zone, particularly in the area where rivers Ganga and Ghaghra confluences. The majority of the central Gangetic plain areas are composed of piedmont and flood plains. The basin has been formed by dendritic drainage and recent deposits of organic muck filled the channels [16]. The Gangetic alluvium contains younger and older alluvium and a thin layer of soil in the area. These formations belong to upper Pleistocene to the Holocene [17]. The Central Ground Water Board's exploratory drilling

reveals that these unconsolidated Quaternary sediments are covered by hard rock formations from the Archean epoch [18]. The earlier alluvium in the region is characterised by nodules, yellow-tinted clays, silt with high calcareous concentrations, and nodules known locally as Kankar. It frequently seems unorganised and less permeable. The river-laid deposits and sandy layers of the aquifer zones are covered in lenticular beds of sand, gravel, and clays that are low in calcareous elements and found throughout in the Younger Alluvium Formation. The drainage pattern in the study region is dendritic and has a medium roughness. The study area experiences mean annual temperatures of 27°C, which range from 5.4°C to 41.5 \degree C, and average annual rainfall of 983 mm.

Fig. 1. Location map of the study area (Ballia District, Uttar Pradesh)

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Modelling Processes

The processes adopted in groundwater modeling are shown in Fig. 2. Defining the purpose is the first stage in the modelling process. The modeller must conceptualize the model to be used for prediction, system comprehension, general exercises and other tasks before starting the modeling exercise.

2.2 Model Discretization

A partially differentiable governing equation and initial boundary conditions which specify the mathematical model of a groundwater system was used for the study which says that in any selected domain of saturation flow, the rate of change of storage is equal to the difference between input and outflow rates. The continuity equation and Darcy's law are used to get the governing equation as shown in equations 1, 2 and 3.

$$
\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial z^2} = \nabla^2. h = \frac{Ss}{K} . \frac{\partial h}{\partial t}
$$
 (1)

This is a partial differential equation for GW flow in Saturated Media which can be solvable by Numerical Methods.

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(Kx\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(Ky\frac{\partial h}{\partial y}\right) + \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}\left(Kz\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}\right) = Ss\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \quad (2)
$$

Mass inflow rate $-$ Mass outflow rate $=$ Change in storage with time

$$
Q = - KA (dh/dl)
$$
 (3)

The partial differential equation is transformed into a series of algebraic equations, which computer programmes or codes subsequently use to solve the problem. By using the MODFLOW programme to solve the threedimensional groundwater flow equation, the groundwater head may be computed. The finite difference technique (FDM) is utilised to solve the equations. The study domain is discretised into cells (No. of columns and rows are 96 and 70 respectively) as shown in Fig. 3(A).

2.3 Model Inputs

Based on the conceptual model that has been built, a numerical model for an unconfined aquifer (single layer) was created. The dimensions of the model grids, the stress periods, the time steps, and the starting and boundary conditions are all part of the design of the numerical model. For the present case, two stress periods were considered, the 1st stress period covers 6 months i.e. June, July August, September, October, November and 2nd stress period covers 6 months i.e. December, January, February, March, April and May in a year were taken to simulate GW flow on daily basis. The single-layer, unconfined aquifer (100 m thickness) was conceptualised. The model layer was decided based on the available bore logs in the area. The geographical area of the study domain is 3008 Km² and the grid size has been taken as 1000 x 1000 m.

2.4 Initial and Boundary Conditions

The physical boundaries in the north and south of the study area are the Ghaghra River and the Ganga River hence "river boundary conditions" were used in these directions Fig. 3 (B). No flux boundary was assigned in the west (as plotted water table contours are somewhat parallel and therefore flow is not possible from west to east) and flux boundary was assigned in the southwest as shown in Fig. 3 (B). The flux for an unconfined aquifer was calculated based on the hydraulic gradient and hydraulic conductivity. The initial hydraulic conductivity values for the younger and older alluvium were taken as 40 m/day and 30 m/day. The initial specific yield was taken as 0.9. The initial heads were taken as observed head of model start period (May, 2012). For river boundaries, river head and river bed bottom elevations were assigned to appropriate grids. The monsoonal recharge value was computed using the rainfall infiltration factor (RIF) of GEC guidelines [19]. The monsoonal recharge value of 57,855 ha-m was converted into mm/day and 1.6 mm/day was taken recharge in the study area. The recharge boundaries were used for providing recharge to respective grids for the unconfined aquifer. The total annual groundwater draft through pumping was taken as 15,04,222 m³ /day in the study area. Accordingly, it is estimated that 500 pumps are operating uniformly in the study area and the pumping rate was provided $(-)$ 3008 m³/day/pumps in the unconfined aquifer (Fig. 3 (D)). The well package of MODFLOW was used for incorporating GW draft in the model [20].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Model Calibration and Validation

The developed model was calibrated to reproduce the field observation, i.e. groundwater heads by tweaking the input parameters. The input parameters, such as hydraulic conductivity (K) , storage coefficient/specific yield (S_v) and recharge were adjusted to calibrate the model. Simulated head values were compared with monitoring well heads using trial and error methods. The calibration was done for the period of 5 years (June, 2012 to May, 2017). Scatterplot between observed and calculated heads have been depicted in Fig. 4(A). Initially, the hydraulic conductivity values for younger and older alluvium were taken as 40 m/day and 30 m/day, respectively. These values changed to 30 m/day and 25 m/day during calibration. The calibrated value for the specified yield was 0.15 and the recharge rate was also increased by 10% from initial values. The model performance is evaluated using correlation coefficient (R),

residual mean, standard error of estimate, root mean square (RMS) and it has been presented in Table 1. The R value is 0.86, which is outstanding and the performance in terms of root mean square and standard error is very good. These findings demonstrate the model's strong prediction abilities.

Another set of field data was used to validate the model to check the predicting capability. If the model is not producing good results, recalibration could be required. The calibrated model should be able to forecast head. For the present case, the model was validated for 3 years (June, 2017 to May, 2020). The scatter plots between observed and computed heads shows a good match for various stress periods (Fig. 4 (B).

Fig. 2. Flowchart showing modelling processes

Fig. 3. (A): Grid generated for modelling domain; (B): Map showing boundary conditions in the study area; (C): The location of observation wells in the model area; (D): The distribution of pumping well in the study area

Fig. 4. Scatter-Plot between observed and calculated heads for unconfined aquifer during (A) Calibration; (B)Validation

3.2 Simulation and Prediction of Groundwater level Heads

The calibrated and validated model was used to assess the impact of increased groundwater pumping on the water table and anticipate probable future changes. The groundwater

pumping was increased by 10% in the next 5 years (2% draft increase in each year) in the study area and groundwater heads were predicted. The predicted groundwater head at $2992th$ day (0th day), and predicted head at 4748th day (after 5th year) are shown in Fig. 5. Initially the groundwater heads vary

Singh et al.; Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change, vol. 14, no. 7, pp. 623-631, 2024; Article no.IJECC.119886

Fig. 5. Predicted Groundwater Level heads (a) at 0th day of prediction and (b) after 5 years (4748 days)

from 44.84 m above mean sea level to 69.60 above mean sea level after 2992th day, and on 0th day of prediction during validation. The groundwater heads changed from 44.84 m amsl to 69.36 m above mean sea level after 5 years (4748 days). There was only a slight fluctuation between initial conditions even after 5 years of model run in the south-eastern side of the model. However, the groundwater level decline was found approximately 0.24 m in the north-western part after an increase of 10% draft in five years.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Results of the study during calibration and validation of the developed model indicate good agreement between observed and predicted

groundwater heads during the five years future trends. The predicted groundwater level heads for an unconfined aquifer range from 44.84 m above mean sea level to 69.60 m above mean sea level. When pumping rate was increased by 10 %, it was observed that the predicted groundwater heads vary from 44.84 m above mean sea level to 69.36 m above mean sea level. There is very less fluctuation between the initial condition and after 5 years of model run in the south-eastern side of the model. However, the groundwater level decline was found approximately 0.24 m in the north-western part. The study reveals that the groundwater draft should be decreased in the district for sustainable management of groundwater resources.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Kumar S, Dwivedi AK, Ojha CSP, Kumar V, Pant A, Mishra PK, Mainuddin M. Numerical groundwater modelling for studying surface water-groundwater interaction and impact of reduced draft on groundwater resources in central Ganga basin. Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering. 2022;19(11):11114-11136.
- 2. Kapupara PP, Dholakia SP, Patel VP, Suhagia BN. Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research preparations. Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research. 2011;3(4):287–294.
- 3. Wada Y, Van Beek LPH, Van Kempen CM, Reckman JWTM, Vasak S, Bierkens MFP. Global depletion of groundwater resources. Geophysical Research Letters. 2010; 37(20):1–5. Available:https://doi.org/10.1029/2010GL0
- 44571 4. Gleeson T, Befus KM, Jasechko S, Luijendijk E, Cardenas MB. The global volume and distribution of modern groundwater. Nature Geoscience. 2016; 9(2):161–164.
- Available:https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2590 5. Ali HM. Quantifying Natural Groundwater Recharge Using Tracer and Other Techniques. Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology. 2017;5(1):1-12. Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/AJEE/201 7/36811.
- 6. Konikow LF. Contribution of global groundwater depletion since 1900 to sealevel rise. Geophysical Research Letters. 2011;38(17):1–5. Available:https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL0 48604
- 7. Singh DK, Singh AK. Groundwater situation in India: Problems and perspective. International Journal of Water Resources Development. 2002;18(4):563– 580.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1080/07900620 22000017400

- 8. Konikow LF, Kendy E. Groundwater depletion: A global problem. Hydrogeology Journal. 2005;13(1):317–320. Available:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040- 004-0411-8
- 9. Kumari, Reena, Babloo Sharma, and Pratibha Kumari. Impact of Watershed Management on Stream Flow and Build-up Water Storage in Parasai-Sindh Watershed of SAT Region, India. Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International. 2022;26(8):54-62. Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/jgeesi/202 2/v26i830368
- 10. Kujur AR, Akhtar H. Application of Ground Water Modeling in Development of Sustainable Water Resources Framework. International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications. 2014;4(6):1–4.
- 11. De Vries JJ, Simmers I. Groundwater recharge: an overview of processes and challenges. Hydrogeology journal. 2002; 10:5-17. Available:https://link.springer.com/article/1 0.1007/s10040-001-0171-7
- 12. Sahin Y, Tayfur G. 3D modelling of surface spreading and underground dam groundwater recharge: Egri Creek Subbasin, Turkey. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment. 2023;195 (6):688. Available:https://link.springer.com/article/1

0.1007/s10661-023-11248-z

- 13. Oliveira PTS, Wendland E, Nearing MA, Scott RL, Rosolem R, Da Rocha HR. The water balance components of undisturbed tropical woodlands in the Brazilian cerrado. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences. 2015;19(6):2899–2910. Available:https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-19- 2899-2015
- 14. Malekinezhad H, Banadkooki FB. Modeling impacts of climate change and human activities on groundwater resources using modflow. Journal of Water and Climate Change. 2018;9(1):156–177. Available:https://doi.org/10.2166/wcc.2017. 147
- 15. CGWB, Central Ground Water Board. Government of India, GROUND WAT; 2021. Available:http://cgwb.gov.in/faq.html

16. Ravenscroft P, Burgess WG, Ahmed KM, Burren M, Perrin J. Arsenic in groundwater of the Bengal Basin, Bangladesh: Distribution, field relations, and hydrogeological setting. Hydrogeology Journal. 2005;13(5–6):727–751. Available:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040- 003-0314-0

- 17. Chappell WR, Abernathy CO, Eds RC, Science E. In: Arsenic Exposure and Health Effects. 2001;IV(5):1–20.
- 18. MoWS, Report of the Central Team on Arsenic mitigation in rural drinking water sources in Ballia district , Uttar Pradesh State. September. 2011;25. Available:http://www.indiaenvironmentport al.org.in/files/file/ballia-finalreport.pdf
- 19. GEC. Report of the Ground Water

Resource Estimation Committee, Ministry of Water Resources, River Development & Ganga Rejuvenation Government of India; 2015.

20. Mukherjee C, Saha D, Harvey CF, Taylor RG, Matin K, Bhanja SN, Systems G, Mukherjee A, Saha D, Harvey CF, Taylor RG, Matin K, Bhanja SN. MIT Open Access Articles Groundwater systems of the Indian Sub-Continent Publisher∶ Elsevier Terms of use∶ Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License Groundwater systems of the Indian. 2015;4:1–14.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/119886>*