Archives of current Research International

Archives of Current Research International

Volume 24, Issue 11, Page 202-209, 2024; Article no.ACRI.123709 ISSN: 2454-7077

Constraints Faced by the Farmers in the Production and Marketing of Onions in the Western Undulating Zone of Odisha, India

Sattaswarup Rout ^a, R. K. Rout ^{b*}, S.K Sahoo ^c, B. K. Mandal ^a, L.K. Das ^b, B.P. Gantayat ^b, Simly Das ^b, R. L. Moharana ^b, C. Behera ^b, L. Jena ^d, T. Badjena ^e, T. Pattnaik ^f and N. Ranasingh ^b

^a College of Agriculture, OUAT, Bhubaneswar, Odisha-751003, India.
 ^b College of Agriculture, OUAT, Bhawanipatna, Odisha-766001, India.
 ^c Faculty of Agricultural Science, SOA, Bhubaneswar, Odisha-751003, India.
 ^d Marshaghai College, Utkal University, Odisha-751004, India.
 ^e KVK, OUAT, Bhawanipatna, Odisha-766001, India.
 ^f Department of Basic Science and Humanities, GEC, Bhubaneswar, Odisha-752054, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/acri/2024/v24i11962

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here:

https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/123709

Original Research Article

Received: 24/07/2024 Accepted: 28/09/2024 Published: 11/11/2024

*Corresponding author: Email: rkrout @ouat.ac.in;

ABSTRACT

This paper aimed to investigate the limitations associated with onion farming in the western undulating agroclimatic zone of Odisha, specifically regarding production, marketing, and storage. The study employed a multi-stage purposive sampling technique. The state was divided into 10 zones based on agro-climatic characteristics, and the district from the western undulating zone, Kalahandi districts, was purposively chosen since many farmers in these regions, like others in Odisha, cultivate onions throughout the winter season. A total of 90 farmers (45 from Bhawanipatna block and 45 from Golamunda block) were selected at random and interviewed directly for the purpose of study. Furthermore, the location, climatic conditions, and soil are ideal for onion cultivation. Kalahandi was chosen in 2021-2022. According to the survey, labour shortages for weeding, ignorance of improved varieties, high labour costs, ineffective and expensive weedicides, high seed costs, etc. were the top five problems faced by onion growers. The main difficulties faced by onion growers during marketing included low prices during harvest, which were followed by sharp price fluctuations, high transportation costs, payment delays, a lack of market news and information, a lack of storage facilities, etc. in the research area. To ensure an effective produce marketing system, the government, developmental agencies, marketing boards, and NAFED should intervene by enhancing the market infrastructure at the taluk and district levels and supplying timely market information. Farmers may be able to overcome the issue of price fluctuations if government authorities intervene promptly and announce an extension or announcement of the government support price for onion crops.

Keywords: Constraints; improved practices; marketing; production; storage; suggestions.

1. INTRODUCTION

In India, vegetable farming has long been important, and now it's becoming more and more well-liked because of its major contribution to the food and nutritional security of the nation's expanding population. Onion is the most widely produced vegetable crop in India that is farmed for commercial purposes. One of the most significant vegetables in the world to be grown and consumed commercially is the onion (Allium cepa L.). Since at least 4000 BC, it has been grown and consumed nearly everywhere in the world. Around the world, onions are used for cooking a variety of cuisines or as green leaves in salads. They can also be used as immature or mature bulbs. It is referred to by Germans as the "Queen of the Kitchen" because of its significance in cooking. In addition, onions include phosphate, calcium, carbs, proteins, and vitamins B and C. They are also used to manufacture oil and pectin. Numerous illnesses and ailments can be treated with onions. The world's largest producer is India. With a production of 26.74 million tons and an average productivity of 18.65 t/ha, it accounts for 25.57% of the total global output (Data, FAO Production Quantity, 2020). The area planted to onions increased by about three times between 1991-1992 and 2017-2018, but the yield increased by almost four times (Horticultural Statistics at a Glance, 2018). The top five states in terms of onion output (915.73 thousand MT)

Maharashtra (8854.09 thousand MT), Madhya Pradesh (3701.01 thousand MT), Karnataka (2986.59 thousand MT), Bihar (1240.59 thousand MT), and Andhra Pradesh. The top 10 states in India produce almost 90% of the nation's onions. Each state had a different production per hectare, with Gujarat leading the way at 24.25 t/ha and Odisha trailing behind at 10.77 t/ha. Many growers don't have adequate storage facilities; therefore, they bring onions straight to market after harvest. Most of the existing units are conventional and non-scientific, and the storage capacity is currently woefully inadequate. A month after harvest, farmers typically unload their entire stock due to the substantial losses in traditional structures. Because of the market's surplus. prices are therefore extremely low during this time. After then, prices start to climb rather quickly, and occasionally there are large changes, which makes both producers and customers unhappy. Furthermore, intelligence did not foresee the knowledge of production losses during marketing and storage because crop scenarios were not timely foreseen.

Climate-related variables like temperature and moisture as well as storage management techniques, such as the kind of storage system chosen, the quantity and quality of products to be stored, the storage space, the aeration conditions, stock upkeep, the length of storage

period, and the sale time, may be to blame for these losses (Berhanu et al., 2014). Therefore, it is necessary to measure the post-harvest losses in terms of both money and physical assets at various marketing phases and storage durations, as well as the degree to which they affect the net returns that onion growers receive and the producer's net share of the consumer's rupee. Farmers view onion production as less profitable due to all these problems. Keeping in view the above facts, this study was conducted with the following specific objective:

 To identify the constraints and to seeks suggestions from onion growers to overcome

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The current study was carried out in Odisha state. The state was divided into 10 zones based on agro-climatic characteristics, and the district from the western undulating zone, Kalahandi districts, was purposively chosen since many farmers in these regions, like others in Odisha, cultivate onions throughout the winter season. Furthermore, the location, climatic conditions, and soil are ideal for onion cultivation. A total of 90 farmers (45 from Bhawanipatna block and 45 from Golamunda block) were selected at random and interviewed directly for the purpose of study of constraints in onion crop production, marketing, and storage during 2021-22. A multistage purposive sampling method was utilised to choose the ultimate sampling units for primary data collection. The information about the constraints faced by onion growers was gathered from selected respondents/onion growers as well as market intermediaries on various aspects of onion production, marketing, and storage, and ranked using the Garrett ranking technique for different constraints. Various rating scales have been created to directly evaluate attitudes (i.e., the individual is aware that their attitude is being examined). The Garrett ranking is commonly utilised. Garrett's ranking technique converts the order of constraints along with advantages into numerical scores. The main advantage of this method over simple frequency distribution is that constraints are organised according to their severity from the perspective of respondents (Zalkuwi Jimjel, et al., 2015). As a result, the same number of responses to two or more limitations may have received different rankings. Garrett's formula for converting rankings to percentages is as follows.

Per cent position =
$$\frac{100 \times (R_i - 0.5)}{N_J}$$
,

where, $R_{ij} = Ranking$ given for ith item by jth individualfarmer $N_i = Number$ of items ranked by jthindividual farmer

The percentage position of each rank was converted into scores using the table provided by Garrett and Woodworth (1969). For each component, the scores of individual respondents were put together and divided by the total number of respondents who received scores. These mean scores for all constraints were sorted from highest to lowest, and the constraints were assigned ranks appropriately.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Garrett ranking technique has been applied to assess various factors impacting onion production among the respondents. As per the results from Table 1, it is evident that the onion growers in the Bhawanipatna and Golamunda blocks of Kalahandi district face numerous challenges and constraints. The study revealed that the top five most significant obstacles encountered by onion growers in these areas are labour problems for weeding (75.47), limited knowledge about improved varieties (74.59), high labour costs (73.73), ineffective and expensive weedicides (71.4), and expensive seed costs (70.55), among others during production. Other challenges such as insufficient knowledge about seedling treatment (68.79), high expenses for pesticides (57.04), inadequate understanding of recommended fertiliser doses (35.42), difficulty in recognising pests and diseases (31.74), delayed availability of fertiliser (31.52), subpar quality of seeds (29.58), and lack of knowledge about control measures (27.56) were identified as limiting factors for onion cultivation in the study area. The results above were consistent with the results obtained by Shivam et al. (2023) Shukla et al. (2019), Vaishnavi Sangam, V. S., & Aski, S. G. (2018). These findings were also consistent with the previous research conducted by Sunil Kumar (2004) Ashok (2015) and Khating (2017).

The data from Table 2 demonstrated the various obstacles faced by onion farmers during marketing in the Bhawanipatna and Golamunda blocks of the Kalahandi district. The study showed that the top six significant challenges experienced by these farmers were low prices at the time of harvesting (80.11), high fluctuation

Table 1. Constraints faced by onion growers during production of onion

| SI. | Constraints factors | Rank | | | | | | | | | | | Sampled | Total | Avg. | Rank | |
|-----|---|------|----|-----|----|---|----|-----|------|----|----|----|---------|-------|-------|-------|------|
| No. | | | II | III | IV | ٧ | VI | VII | VIII | IX | Х | ΧI | XII | size | score | Score | |
| 1 | Lack of knowledge about improved varieties | 55 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 7161 | 74.59 | II |
| 2 | Lack of knowledge about seedling treatment | 39 | 17 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 96 | 6604 | 68.79 | VI |
| 3 | High cost of seed | 43 | 15 | 11 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 6773 | 70.55 | V |
| 4 | Poor quality of seed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 15 | 21 | 33 | 96 | 2840 | 29.58 | ΧI |
| 5 | Non-availability of fertilizer in time | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 11 | 12 | 23 | 29 | 96 | 3026 | 31.52 | Χ |
| 6 | Lack of knowledge of recommended fertilizer doses | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 13 | 27 | 96 | 3400 | 35.42 | VIII |
| 7 | Labour problem for weeding | 59 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 7245 | 75.47 | 1 |
| 8 | Less effective and costly weedicides | 49 | 12 | 10 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 96 | 6859 | 71.45 | IV |
| 9 | High cost of labour | 47 | 23 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 7078 | 73.73 | Ш |
| 10 | Difficulty in identifying the pest and diseases | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 11 | 22 | 30 | 98 | 3047 | 31.74 | IX |
| 11 | Lack of knowledge about control measures | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 15 | 27 | 39 | 96 | 2646 | 27.56 | XII |
| 12 | High cost of pesticides | 19 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 96 | 5476 | 57.04 | VII |

Table 2. Constraints faced by onion growers during marketing of onion

| SI. No | | | Rank | | | | | | | | | | Sampled | Total | Avg. | Rank | |
|--------|---------------------------------------|----|------|----|----|----|----|-----|------|----|----|----|---------|-------|-------|-------|------|
| | Constraints factors | I | II | Ш | IV | ٧ | VI | VII | VIII | IX | Х | ΧI | XII | size | score | Score | |
| 1 | Low price at time of harvesting | 70 | 15 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 7691 | 80.11 | |
| 2 | Open auction sale fetches low price | 29 | 19 | 16 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 6617 | 68.93 | VII |
| | for onion produce | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Lack of infrastructure facility | 17 | 11 | 13 | 6 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 96 | 5517 | 57.47 | IX |
| 4 | Large distance from market | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 14 | 21 | 25 | 96 | 3399 | 35.41 | XII |
| 5 | High transportation cost | 52 | 18 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 7184 | 74.83 | Ш |
| 6 | High fluctuation in market prices | 51 | 25 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 7294 | 75.98 | II |
| 7 | Lack of appropriate credit facilities | 27 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 6278 | 65.40 | VIII |
| 8 | Lack of market information/news | 30 | 22 | 15 | 14 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 6766 | 70.48 | V |
| 9 | Lack of storage facilities | 51 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 6743 | 70.24 | VI |
| 10 | Lack of knowledge about grading | 3 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 30 | 98 | 3653 | 38.05 | ΧI |
| 11 | Assembling problem | 6 | 5 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 15 | 21 | 13 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 5246 | 54.65 | Χ |
| 12 | Delay in payments | 44 | 21 | 12 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 7079 | 73.74 | IV |

Table 3. Suggestions by onion growers to overcome constraints

| SI. No. | Constraints factors | | | | | Ra | nk | Sampled size | Total score | Avg. Score | Rank | | | | |
|------------|---|---------------|----|----|----|----|----|--------------|-------------|---------------|------|------|-------|-------|------|
| 140. | | $\overline{}$ | II | Ш | IV | ٧ | VI | VII | VIII | IX | Х | 3126 | 30010 | 30016 | |
| 1 | Availability of sufficient and timely credit facilities | 58 | 22 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 7252 | 75.54 | IV |
| 2 | Demonstration of package of practices needs to be done | 19 | 18 | 15 | 13 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 96 | 5863 | 61.07 | IX |
| 3 | Facilities for proper storage | 31 | 18 | 14 | 12 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 96 | 6355 | 66.20 | VII |
| 4 | High market price for produce | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 7872 | 82.00 | I |
| 5 | More subsidized supply of inputs | 40 | 20 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 6636 | 69.13 | VI |
| 6 | Proper marketing facilities | 63 | 24 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 7355 | 76.61 | Ш |
| 7 | Provision of extra incentives to onion growers | 27 | 18 | 12 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 96 | 5834 | 60.77 | Χ |
| 8 | Provision of training programmes | 24 | 19 | 16 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 96 | 6018 | 62.69 | VIII |
| 9 | Timely input availability at cheaper rate | 70 | 20 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 7513 | 78.26 | II |
| 10 | Usage of labour-saving improved implements | 43 | 18 | 14 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 6768 | 70.50 | V |

in market prices (74.98), high transportation costs (74.83), delayed payments (73.74), scarcity of market information and news (70.48), and insufficient storage facilities (70.24). Other challenges, such as open auction sales resulting in low prices for onion produce (68.93), lack of appropriate credit facilities (65.40), inadequate infrastructure facilities (57.47),assembling difficulties (54.65), lack of knowledge about grading (38.05), and distant location from the market (35.41), were also identified as limiting factors for onion growers. The results above were consistent with the study conducted by Shivam, et al. (2023) supported by Vaishnavi Sangam, V. S., and Aski, S. G. (2018). These findings were also consistent with the previous research conducted by Sunil Kumar (2004) Ashok (2015) and Khating (2017).

The suggestions provided by the respondents for overcoming the constraints they face in adopting improved technologies in onion cultivation are presented in Table 3. These suggestions include:

- The majority of respondents indicated that high market prices for their produce (82.00) are a constraint, as onion crops are vulnerable to price fluctuations due to the wide variation in demand and supply in the market, timely availability of inputs at affordable prices (78.26) was also identified as a challenge, as all farmers carry out the same operations during the same time of the season making it difficult to ensure input availability for all farmers at peak times.
- Most farmers (76.61) suggested that having access to proper markets to sell their produce is essential, as factors such as the intervention of middlemen, loss of quality during storage and transport, and other market dynamics can result in lower prices for their produce.
- The availability of efficient credit facilities to cover crop production expenses was identified as a constraint by most farmers (75.54). This is because onion is a highly price-sensitive crop, and farmers may be uncertain about the profit or loss they will incur after selling their final produce, making credit facilities crucial to helping them manage any potential financial losses.
- A sizable portion of farmers (70.50) recommended using labour-saving upgraded tools to deal with labour scarcity to get around it at the agricultural production peak.

- Low-income farmers suggested suggestions such as providing a greater subsidised supply of inputs (69.13) to overcome their lack of resources.
- In addition to these suggestions, farmers should have facilities for safe storage to guard against damage to their crop, as onions are perishable (66.20).
- Training programs regarding better production techniques should also be provided (62.69),
- A package of practices that need to be demonstrated (61.07) and
- Additional incentives should be given to onion growers (60.77).

The results above were consistent with those of Laxmi (2017) Roman (2015) Vaishnavi Sangam, V. S., & Aski, S. G. (2018) and Shivam et al. (2023).

4. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDA-TIONS

The current research study was carried out for sustainable onion production in the study area. It focused on the main difficulties encountered by growers during production and selling, as well as the recommendations made by the respondents to get over those difficulties while adopting new technologies. The study found that during onion production, the top five biggest challenges faced by onion growers were labour issues related to weeding, followed by ignorance of improved varieties, high labour costs, expensive and ineffective weedicides, high seed costs, etc. The study also found that the biggest challenges faced by onion growers were low prices at harvest time, which were followed by high price fluctuations, high transportation costs, payment delays, a lack of market information and news, a lack of storage facilities, etc. in the study area. Recent years have seen a tremendous advancement in agricultural technologies, which has increased the chance for onion growers to reap greater financial rewards. However, some of the previously mentioned barriers have made the adoption of modern agricultural technologies sluggish. The following suggestions were made considering the conclusions mentioned above:

 The agriculture department ought to take the lead in educating farmers about enhanced cultivars and production techniques (such as storage and pre- and post-harvesting technology) so that farmers may sell their food at a premium and at the right time. Since the anticipated market price of onions will likely be greater in this instance and thus balance the storage cost, the cost-benefit ratio is likely to be more favourable.

- These limitations deter onion growers from using good farming practices and intensive production, which lowers productivity and causes dissatisfaction among farmers and traders. Onions are also a perishable and price-sensitive extremely agricultural product. The huge variation in pricing finally hurt the economics of respondents; consequently, the government needs to make suitable preparations for storing substantial quantities of the onion harvest. This can be minimised by the intervention government, the developmental agencies, marketing boards, and NAFED by giving timely market information and building the market infrastructure at the taluk and district levels to enable an efficient marketing system for the output. benefit from Farmers may prompt government agency action, such as prolonging or announcing a government support price for onions, which may help farmers overcome the problem of price fluctuation.
- At the tehsil level, it is advised that a wholesale or terminal market established. In addition to giving the onion farmer a closer market for his produce, this significantly reduce marketing expenses. By taking this action, producers will have a permanent facility nearby, and there may be further advantages in the form of improved market connections, opportunities for storage inside production area, and potential contracts.
- For farmers to minimise losses, they must receive training on modern storage technologies.
- It might be challenging for farmers to grow their crops on small and medium-sized farms because it costs a lot of money. It is possible to prioritise lowering input costs through subsidies.
- It is recommended that extension agents maintain constant communication with farmers during the harvest seasons.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Authors hereby declare that NO generative Al technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image

generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Ashok, K. B. (2015). A study on knowledge and adoption of recommended cultivation practices of black gram growers in the North-eastern region of Karnataka (Master's thesis). University of Agricultural Sciences.
- Berhanu, M. A., & Berhanu, G. A. (2014). Constraints of onion (*Allium cepa* var. cepa L.) yield production and food preference to shallot (*Allium cepa* var. aggregatum) in the case of Bibugn Woreda, Amhara Regional State, Ethiopia. *Constraints*, 32.
- FAO. (2020). FAO production quantity. Food and Agriculture Organization. Available at: http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data (Accessed on 26 March 2021).
- Garrett, H. E., & Woodworth, R. S. (1969). Statistics in psychology and education. Vakils, Feffer and Simons Pvt. Ltd.
- Khating, S. M. (2017). Knowledge and adoption of recommended cultivation practices of onion by the growers (Master's thesis). Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani.
- Kumar, S. G. M. (2004). A study on farmers' knowledge and adoption of production and post-harvest technology in tomato crop of Belgaum district in Karnataka (Master's thesis). University of Agricultural Sciences GKVK, Dharwad.
- Roman, P. A. (2015). Study on adoption of onion production technology in Satara district (Master's thesis). Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri.
- Sangam, V., & Sangam, V. S. G. (2018).

 Constraints faced by onion growers in adopting improved practices and suggestions from onion growers to overcome constraints. *Unpublished manuscript*, 355-358.
- Shivam, et al. (2023). Production, marketing and storage constraints in onion cultivation in Haryana. *Unpublished manuscript*, 136-140.
- Shukla, K., Singh, N., & Kumari, M. V. (2019). Constraints experienced by onion growers of Nashik district of Maharashtra.

International Journal of Farm Sciences, 9(1), 57-60.

Tagore, L. (2017). A study on adoption behavior of improved onion production technology of onion growers in Alirajpur district in Madhya Pradesh (Master's thesis). RVSKVV, Gwalior.

Zalkuwi, J., et al. (2015). Analysis of constraints influencing sorghum farmers using Garrett's ranking technique: A comparative study of India and Nigeria. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Management (IJSRM)*, 3(3), 2435-2440.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/123709