



Adsorption of Copper and Cadmium from Wastewater Using Chemically Activated Watermelon Peels as Adsorbent

Ukeme D. Archibong ^a, Edidiong E. Ikpe ^{b*} and Osemudiamhen D. Amienghemhen ^c

^a *Department of Science Laboratory Technology, Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Benin, Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria.*

^b *Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Physical Sciences, Akwa Ibom State University, Ikot Akpaden, Nigeria.*

^c *Department of Chemistry/Biochemistry, Brigham Young University, Provo, 84602 UT, USA.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/CSJI/2024/v33i1879

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/102638>

Original Research Article

Received: 11/05/2023

Accepted: 16/07/2023

Published: 03/01/2024

ABSTRACT

Activated Carbon of high adsorption efficiency and highly active surface properties were prepared from water melon fruits peels by using 1M H₂SO₄ solution, followed by activation at 300°C for 30 minutes. The adsorption capacity of the activated carbon is considered on the following; the effect of concentration, adsorbent dosage, and contact time. The physicochemical characteristics such as ash content (18.7%), pH(6.72),moisture content (1.82), bulk density(0.36) and conductivity (32.4) were investigated to understand the adsorptive capacity of activated carbon prepared from water melon peels. The adsorptive efficiency of the adsorbent in removing Cadmium and Copper ions

*Corresponding author: E-mail: ikpeemmanueledidiong@yahoo.com;

from wastewater were compared with Langmuir and Freundlich adsorption isotherm, which proved effective removal efficiency within the range of 91.80 – 99.98 %. On the whole chemically activated watermelon peels should be used in treating our wastewaters, for a cleaner and healthier environment.

Keywords: Wastewaters; activated carbon; removal efficiency; adsorbent; watermelon.

1. INTRODUCTION

“Lack of clean water for drinking is an enormous challenge in most of the developing countries of the world due to daily contamination by excessive release of heavy metals into the environment due to industrialization and urbanization” [1,2]. “Industrial uses of metals and other domestic processes have introduced substantial amounts of potentially toxic heavy metals into the atmosphere and into the aquatic and terrestrial environments. Heavy metals are elements having atomic weights between 63.5 and 200.6, and a specific gravity greater than 5.0” [3]. “They generally refers to the elements such as Cd (cadmium), Cr (chromium), Cu (copper), Hg (mercury), Ni (nickel), Pb (lead), Fe (iron) and Zn (zinc) which are commonly associated with pollution and toxicity problems. Unlike organic pollutants, the majority of which are susceptible to biological degradation, heavy metal ions do not degrade into harmless final products” [4]. “They occur naturally in rock formation and ore minerals and so a range of normal background concentration is associated with each of these elements in soils, sediments, waters and living organisms” [5,6]. In small quantities, certain heavy metals are nutritionally essential for healthy life [7], some of these are referred to as the trace elements (e.g., iron, copper, manganese, and zinc). “These elements, or some form of them, are commonly found naturally in foodstuffs, in fruits and vegetables, and in commercially available multivitamin products” [8]. “Heavy metals are also common in industrial applications such as in the manufacture of pesticides, batteries, alloys, electroplated metal parts, textile dyes, steel, mining, refining ores, fertilizers industries, and paper industries” [3]. “Many of these products are in our homes and actually add to our quality of life when properly used. Water pollution by heavy metals is one of the most important environmental problems today because they do not degrade into harmless products, tend to accumulate and are toxic to human beings. Among the heavy metals, cadmium is one of the extremely toxic

and has been classified as a human carcinogen and teratogen impacting lungs, liver and kidney” [9]. “The main contamination sources of this element are anthropogenic: industry wastewaters, mining operations, waste incineration as well as the combustion of some coals and oils. Increasing global emission of cadmium compounds into the atmosphere, together with aqueous and solid emission lead to local contamination problems. An additional problem of Cd (II) toxicity is its accumulative character. The US Environmental Protection Agency (USA EPA) has established a maximum contaminant level of 0.005 mg/L for cadmium in drinking water, while the World Health Organization (WHO) has set a maximum guideline concentration of 0.003 mg/L” [10]. “However, efficient techniques for the removal of highly toxic organic compounds from water have drawn significant interest. A number of methods such as coagulation, filtration with coagulation, Precipitation, ozonation, adsorption, ion exchange, reverse osmosis and advanced oxidation Processes have been used for the removal of organic pollutants from polluted water and wastewater. These methods have been found to be limited, since they often involve high capital and operational costs. On the other hand ion exchange and reverse osmosis are more attractive processes because the pollutant values can be recovered along with their removal from the effluents. Reverse osmosis, ion exchange and advanced oxidation processes do not seem to be economically feasible because of their relatively high investment and operational cost” [3,6,11].

“Given widespread cadmium contamination and the low drinking water guideline, there is considerable interest to remove it before discharge wastewaters. Several treatment methods can be used for this purpose, such as filtration, chemical precipitation, coagulation, solvent extraction, ion exchange, adsorption, membrane process, and bioremediation” [12]. Among these techniques, adsorption has been recognized as one of the most popular methods

due to its simplicity of operation, high efficiency, easy recovery and cost-effectiveness. An ideal adsorbent for this purpose should have high surface area, high adsorption capacity, mechanical stability and be easily regenerated. The process parameters such as solution pH, temperature, contact time and dosage of adsorbent also have significant impacts on the adsorption effectiveness. The aim of the study is to determine the adsorption capacity of chemically activated water melon peels.

2. METHODS OF RESEARCH

Sixty (60) fruits of Water melon were purchased from Oluku and New Benin, Benin City Edo State, Nigeria vegetable markets respectively. Thereafter, the water melons were washed and the peels collected. The water melon peels were then sun dried for 2 - 5 weeks to remove the moisture using standard analytical method described by [7,13]. The water melon peels were ground into smaller bits using an electronic blender. The water melon peels were then carbonized using a muffle furnace at 300°C. The carbonized water melon peels were then activated with 1M H₂SO₄. Thereafter, it was washed till the pH was brought to 7 using deionized water. The adsorbent was then dried at 105°C using an oven for 3 hours in order to evaporate the water (moisture content) used in washing the water melon peels.

2.1 Adsorbate Preparation

The stock solutions of Copper (Cu²⁺) and Cadmium (Cd²⁺) were prepared by weighing 3.0 g of Cu (NO₃) and 2.03 g of CdCl₂.21/2H₂O respectively into a separate 1000 ml standard volumetric flasks. The salts were later dissolved using deionized water and made up to mark of standard volumetric flask which gave a concentration of 1000 ppm (part per million). Thereafter, serial dilutions were prepared from the stock solutions of Cu²⁺ and Cd²⁺ using the following concentrations; 50 ppm, 75 ppm, 90 ppm, 115 ppm, and 140 ppm. These concentrations were prepared by diluting 5 ml, 7.5 ml, 9 ml, 11.5 ml and 14 ml of the stock solutions (Cu²⁺ and Cd²⁺) to mark of 100 ml standard volumetric flask [4].

2.2 Effect of Contact Time

The time of 20, 25, 30, 35 and 40 minutes were varied during the study of the effect of contact

time on adsorption of the heavy metals. A fixed mass of 2.5 g of adsorbent was placed into several bottles of 50 ml of 50 ppm of solutions added. Mechanical Orbital Shaker was used in shaking the solutions for various times of 20, 25, 30, 35 and 40 minutes before they were filtered, and the filtrates were analyzed using the Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer [2,14].

2.3 Effect of Adsorbent Dosage

The Adsorbent Dosage of 1 g, 1.5 g, 2 g, 2.5 g and 3 g were placed into different 100 ml polyethylene bottles with 50 ml of 50 ppm of the solutions of copper nitrate and Cadmium chloride respectively. The solutions were shaken using a Mechanical Orbital Shaker for 20 minutes, and then the filtrate of the mixture samples were analyzed using Atomic Adsorption Spectrophotometer according to standard analytical methods described by Ikpe et al. [9].

2.4 Effect of Concentration

2.5 g of adsorbents were placed into several 100 ml polyethylene bottles, 50 ml solutions with concentrations of 50, 75, 90, 115 and 140 ppm of Cu²⁺ and Cd²⁺ obtained from 1000 ppm stock solutions were added and shaken for 20 min using Mechanical Orbital Shaker. An atomic adsorption spectrophotometer was used to analyze the filtrate obtained from the mixture [15,3].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Physiochemical Properties of Activated Carbonized Water Melon Peel

The following physicochemical properties were obtained from the carbonized water melon peels;

Table 1. Result for the characterization of watermelon fruit peels

Parameters	Content
Ash content (%)	18.7
pH	6.72
Moisture content (%)	1.82
Bulk density	0.36
Conductivity(μ/S)	32.4

3.2 Results for the Adsorption of Copper and Cadmium Ion by the Chemically Activated Wear Melon Peel

The following values were obtained as result from the Atomic Adsorption Spectrophotometer (AAS) carried out on the sample filtrate using activated carbonized water melon peel on the adsorption of copper and cadmium ion.

3.2.1 Effect of concentration

With reference to both Tables 2 and 3, showing the effect of different concentration of 50 to 140 mg/L on the adsorption of the heavy metals of copper and cadmium respectively. At constant time of 20 minutes and adsorbent dosage of 2.5 g.

The efficiency of metal uptake by an adsorbent is highly dependent on the initial metal ion concentration of the solution. Fig. 1 is a graphical

representation of initial metal ion concentration against removal efficiency. The initial metal ion adsorbed onto the chemically activated carbon decreases as the initial metal ion concentration increases. The reason for the decrease in adsorption efficiency is due to the relatively smaller number of active sites available on adsorbent. The decrease in % removal efficiency can be explained by the fact that as the concentration of the adsorbate increases so does the metal loading on the adsorbent [16], so when the concentration is higher, more ion will be competing for the same adsorption sites and go through without being adsorbed. The result tell how there is a great interaction between the adsorbent and adsorbate in solutions of lesser initial concentration as 99.96% and 99.90% are the % removal efficiency for Cu (II) respectively at 50 mg/L compared to 98.81% and 98.64% with 140 mg/L.

Table 2. Effect of chemically activated water melon peel of initial concentration on adsorption of copper ion

Initial concentration (mg/L)	Equilibrium concentration (mg/L)	Amount adsorbed (mg/L)	Percentage (%) removal efficiency
50	0.02	49.98	99.96
75	0.04	74.96	99.95
90	0.17	89.83	99.81
115	1.33	113.67	98.84
140	1.67	138.33	98.81

Table 3. Effect of chemically activated water melon peel of initial concentration on adsorption of cadmium ion

Initial concentration (mg/L)	Equilibrium concentration (mg/L)	Amount adsorbed (mg/L)	Percentage (%) removal efficiency
50	0.05	49.95	99.90
75	0.32	74.68	99.57
90	0.50	89.50	99.44
115	1.65	113.35	98.57
140	1.90	138.10	98.64




Fig. 1. Plot of % removal efficiency against initial concentration

3.2.2 Effect of adsorbent dosage

With reference to both Tables 4 and 5, the effect of different adsorbent dosage of 1 to 3g respectively on the adsorption of the heavy metals of copper and cadmium respectively. At constant initial concentration of 50 mg/L, a constant time of 20 minutes. The rate of adsorption was found to increase with increase in the adsorbent dosage from 1 to 3 g for each of the test carried out. The availability and accessibility of adsorption site is controlled by adsorbent dosage [13].

The Fig. 2 shows, that the removal efficiency of both copper and cadmium increases with increase in adsorbent dosage as a result of the availability of more sorption sites for adsorption. With a higher adsorbent dosage, there would be a greater number of exchangeable sites for metal ions [1]. The results from the experiment show that Cu (II) adsorbed more efficiently than Cd (II) with chemically activated water melon peel as adsorbent with their maximum %

removal efficiency being 99.98% for Cu⁺⁺ respectively.

3.2.3 Effect of contact time

With reference to both Tables 6 and 7, showing the effect of different contact time of 30 to 40 minutes on the adsorption of the heavy metals of copper and cadmium respectively. At constant time of 20 minutes and initial concentration of 50 mg/L.

The Fig. 3 shows, with increasing contact time the amount adsorbed increases, as there is enough time for interaction and efficient contact time between the adsorbent and adsorbate. The patterns of the curve established that the adsorption is time dependent in which adsorption capacity was proportionally related to time [5]. The result indicates that Cu (II) % removal efficiency increased from 92.28% with a contact time of 20 min to a maximum point of 96.80% with a contact time of 20 mins to 95.40% when the contact time was 40 mins.

Table 4. Effect of chemically activated water melon peel of adsorbent dosage on copper ion

Initial concentration (mg/L)	Equilibrium concentration (mg/L)	Amount adsorbed (mg/L)	Percentage (%) removal efficiency
1.0	2.70	47.30	94.60
1.5	1.20	48.80	97.60
2.0	0.22	49.78	99.98
2.5	0.08	49.92	99.98
3.0	0.06	49.94	99.98

Table 5. Effect of chemically activated water melon peel of adsorbent dosage on cadmium ion

Ion. Initial concentration (mg/L)	Equilibrium concentration (mg/L)	Amount adsorbed (mg/L)	Percentage (%) removal efficiency
1.0	3.41	46.59	93.15
1.5	2.85	47.15	94.30
2.0	2.74	47.26	94.52
2.5	2.57	47.43	94.80
3.0	22.30	47.70	95.40

Table 6. Effect of chemically activated water melon peel of contact time on copper ion

Time Elapsed (min.) (20 min.)	Equilibrium concentration (mg/L)	Amount Adsorbed (mg/L) (50 mg/L)	Percentage (%) removal efficiency
20	3.86	46.14	92.28
25	2.90	47.10	94.20
30	2.65	47.35	94.70
35	1.80	48.20	96.40
40	1.60	48.20	96.80




Fig. 2. Plot of % removal efficiency against adsorbent dosage

Table 7. Effect of chemically activated water melon peel of contact time on cadmium ion

Time Elapsed (min.) (20 min.)	Equilibrium concentration (mg/L)	Amount adsorbed (mg/L) (50 mg/L)	Percentage (%) removal efficiency
20	4.10	45.90	91.80
25	3.55	46.45	92.90
30	3.25	46.75	93.50
35	2.60	47.40	94.80
40	2.30	47.70	95.40




Fig. 3. Plot of % removal efficiency against contact time

3.3 Adsorption Isotherm

In successful representation of equilibrium adsorption behaviour, it is important to have a satisfactory description of the equation between the various phases composing the adsorption systems. The equilibrium data obtained for copper and cadmium for their adsorption using chemically activated water melon peel was analyzed using the Langmuir and Freundlich Adsorption Isotherm [12]

Adsorption isotherm studies were carried out to determine an approximate estimation of adsorption capacity of an adsorbent and the intensity of heavy metal ions uptake using adsorbent dosage at constant concentration of 50 mg/L of metal ion. With the Langmuir's equation to analyze copper adsorption isotherm, the graph shows the relationship between c_0/q_0 in the y-axis and c_0 in the x-axis while the Freundlich equation shows the relationship between $\log c_c$ on the x-axis and $\log q_0$ on the y-axis.

3.3.1 Langmuir adsorption isotherm for copper and cadmium metal ion




Fig. 4. Langmuir Adsorption Isotherm for Cu (II) ion




Fig. 5. Langmuir adsorption isotherm for Cd (II) ion

3.3.2 Freundlich adsorption isotherm for copper and cadmium metal ion




Fig. 6. Freundlich adsorption isotherm for Cu (II) ion




Fig. 7. Freundlich adsorption isotherm for Cd (II) ion

Table 8. Estimated parameters for Cu²⁺ and Cd²⁺ ion adsorption using chemically activated water melon peel

Dosage (g)	Qe		Ce		Log Qe		Log Ce	
	Cu ²⁺	Cd ²⁺	Cu ²⁺	Cd ²⁺	Cu ²⁺	Cd ²⁺	Cu ²⁺	Cd ²⁺
1	2.37	2.33	2.70	3.41	0.38	0.37	0.43	0.53
1.5	1.63	1.57	1.20	2.85	0.21	0.20	0.079	0.46
2	1.25	1.18	0.22	2.74	0.10	0.07	-0.66	0.44
2.5	1	0.95	0.080	2.57	0	0.02	-1.097	0.41
3	0.83	0.80	0.062	2.30	-0.08	-0.10	-1.208	0.36

Table 9. Adsorption isotherm constant

LANGMIUR	
Cu ²⁺	Cd ²⁺
K = 8141.4	K = 2.21
N = 1.03	N = 2.49
R ² = 0.958	R ² = 0.9767
FRUENDLICH	
Cu ²⁺	Cd ²⁺
K = 8141.4	K = 2.21
N = 1.03	N = 2.49
R ² = 0.958	R ² = 0.9767

4. CONCLUSION

From the result and discussion of this research work, it can be inferred that the chemically activated water melon peel used as activated carbon is a good alternative source for activated carbon production. It is effective in the adsorption of both heavy metals – copper and cadmium and the adsorption process is dependent on contact time, adsorbent dosage and the concentration of the solution

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Agbaire PO, Akporid SO, Akporhonor EE. Water Quality Index Assessment of Borehole Water in the Hostels in One of the Higher Institutions in Delta State. Research Journal of Chemical Sciences. Department of Chemistry, Delta State University, Abraka, Nigeria. 2014;4(7):78-81.
2. Ikpe EE, Ubong UU, Archibong U. Proximate analysis, heavy metals and total hydrocarbon content of *Callinectes sapidus* obtained from Ibaka River, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. REJOST. 2022;1-43.
3. Ubong UU, Ekwere IO, Ikpe EE. Risk and toxicity assessment of heavy metals in *Tympanotomus fuscatus* and sediment, Iko River, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2020;10(3):1-10
4. Ikpe EE, Esua E, Ekwere I, Willie I, Olumide A. Analytical assessment on the removal of phenol from aqueous solution using orange peel based activated –carbon. American Journal of Engineering Research. 2017;6(12):129–133.
5. Ubong UU, Ikpe EE, Ekanem AN, Jacob JN, Archibong UD. Heavy metals profile of the proposed dumpsite at Ntak- Inyang Itam, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International. 2023;27(2): 17-28.
6. Ukpong EC, Okon BB. Comparative analysis of public and private borehole water supply sources in urban local government area of Akwa Ibom State. International Journal of Applied Science and Technology. Department of Civil Engineering. Faculty of Engineering, University of Uyo, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. 2013;3(1):30-45.
7. Ikpe EE, Willie IE, Nsi EW, Esua EE. Qualitative analysis of oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*) bunch refuse ashes. International Journal of Advanced and Innovative Research. 2017;6(2): 49-52.
8. Imoisi OB, Ukhun ME, Ikpe EE. Quality parameter of olein, palm kernel oil and its blends subjected to thermal stress using photometric technology. European Journal of Agriculture and Food Science. 2020;2(5):1-18.
9. Ikpe EE, Ekwere IO, Ukpong EG, Effiong JO, Okon OE. Assessment of heavy metals and hydrocarbons in *Rhizophora mangle*, *Callinectes sapidus*, and sediment in Qua-Iboe River, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. Global Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology. 2020;7(3)1-8.
10. Krystyna P. Removal of cadmium from wastewaters with low-cost adsorbents. Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering. 2019;7(1)
11. Hanjing Y, Chenchen L, Jin Y, Yaoqiang M, Xinyu Z, Wanquan Y, Huiying K, Qi M, Ruosong X, Peng D. A review on adsorption characteristics and influencing mechanism of heavy metals in farmland soil. Royal Society of Chemistry. 2023; 13:3505-3519.
12. Akpakpan AE, Nsi EW, Ekpenyong A, Ikpe EE. Equilibrium, kinetics and Isotherm studies on the adsorption of eosin and malachite green using activated carbon from *Huracrepitans* seed shells. AASCIT Journal of Environment. 2018; 3(2):24-28.
13. Othman N, Mohd Asharudin S. Adv. Mat. Res. 2013;93:266.
14. Uwanta EJ, Nicholas ES, Ikpe EE, Ocheni A. Comparative spectrophotometric determination of Neodymium(III), Samarium(III) and Terbium(III) in aqueous and micelle media. Science Journal of Chemistry. 2023;11(2): 64-76.

15. Huang YH. Thermodynamics and kinetics of adsorption of Cu (II) onto waste iron oxide. Journal of hazardous materials. 2007;144(1):406-411.
16. McKay G. Use of adsorbents for the removal of pollutants from wastewaters. CRS Press. Boca Raton, FL; 1995.

© 2024 Archibong et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/102638>