



Risk Factors during Pregnancy for Asymptomatic Bacteriuria

**Sikander Ali Sial¹, Amir Bux Detho¹, Fouzia Rasool Memon², Kousar Parveen¹,
Shabana Memon¹, Sadia Bukhari¹ and Arslan Ahmer^{3*}**

¹ *Departemnt of Pathology, People's University of Medical and Health Sciences for Women (PUMHSW), Nawabshah, Sindh, Pakistan.*

² *Consultant Obstetrician and Gynaecologist, North Cumbria University hospital, United Kingdome.*

³ *Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, People's University of Medical and Health Sciences for Women (PUMHSW), Nawabshah, Sindh, Pakistan.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author SAS designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors ABD, FRM, KP, SM, SB and AA managed the analyses of the study and managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JPRI/2021/v33i28B31532

Editor(s):

(1) Dr. Giuseppe Murdaca, University of Genoa, Italy.

Reviewers:

(1) Samuel Pam, Federal Medical Centre, Keffi, Nigeria.

(2) Anslem Ajugwo, Madonna University, Nigeria.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/68438>

Original Research Article

Received 02 March 2021

Accepted 07 May 2021

Published 08 May 2021

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnancy (ABU) is poorly understood and neglected in developing countries. It causes certain lethal maternal and fetal consequences if left untreated including low weighted births, premature delivery, full-blown urinary tract infection and pyelonephritis.

Objective: Our aim was to explore such factors having bad impact on pregnancy associated with asymptomatic bacteriuria and aware our community about these unspoken perils so that ABU likelihood may be reduced. Prevalence varies country to country. Developing and low economic countries are suffered more than developed so thereby upgrading and providing basic amenities of life the risk factors may be reduced.

Materials and Methods: This was a cross sectional study carried out in department of pathology

*Corresponding author: E-mail: arslan.ahmer@gmail.com;

PUMHSW. Sample size was 377, all selected were 18 years and above. All urinary samples were collected in sterile container and labeled and immediately transported to microbiology laboratory. Dipstick test, wet mount microscopy and Gram's staining were done. Blood, MacConkey's agar was inoculated and streaked with inoculi. Data were analyzed using SPSS version 23.

Results: Samples found unlabeled (02) and contaminated (03) were dropped from study. A total of 372 were study samples. The most of patients were Gram negative 65(90.20%) predominantly Enterobacteriaceae. When cultured, 72 were found growth positive (mixed plus pure) while 300 were negative. Risk factors were assayed in culture positive patients (ABU). A large number of patients (74%) belonged to lower economic class. Most common age group varied from 26-30 years (43%). Third trimester was the most common found in 37 patients ((49.3%). Gravid (G₃ or more) were strong risk factors and were 55(73.3%). Most of patients were illiterate 65 (90.27%). The past history of UTI was a significant risk 46(63.88%) while anemia was found statistically insignificant 17(23.61).

Conclusion: Asymptomatic bacteriuria is frequent in pregnant females and significantly increased when compounded by past history of UTI and low socioeconomic status. Therefore, it is necessary for mothers to be screened for ABU. There is a need of rapid and reliable screening methods so that upcoming disease burden can be reduced.

Keywords: Asymptomatic Bacteriuria (ABU); gravidity; Midstream Urine (MSU).

1. INTRODUCTION

The Infections of urogenital tract (UTIs) are the most frequent prokaryotic infections around the world inflicting 150 million people each year [1] Urinary tract infections occur preferentially in females however can affect a substantial male population.[2]. Even though men and women both are affected, these infections are conventionally thought as a disease of women [3]. These infections are comparatively usual issues in pregnancy. Urinary tract infection (UTI) may be symptomatic or asymptomatic. Asymptomatic UTI is termed as asymptomatic bacteriuria (ABU) [4]. Asymptomatic bacteriuria is characterized as the absence of clinical symptoms and signs in a patient, although mid-stream clean catch urine contains bacterial growth of $\geq 10^5$ colony forming units(cfu) per ml.[4] Symptomless bacteriuria is more common in females than males [5] ABU occurs in both pregnant and non- pregnant females, however it is more common in pregnancy [6] Overall prevalence rate is 3-14% and it is 2-9% in pregnancy [7].

There are different risk factors in pregnant females that predispose them to asymptomatic bacteriuria. These factors may be anatomical or physiological [8]. Additionally, other well established risk factors for asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnancy have been identified as gestational diabetes, past urinary tract infection, multiparity, advanced maternal age, lower level of education, advanced gestational age and lower socioeconomic status [9-10]. Anemia in pregnancy is a known risk for ABU.

Our aim was to explore such factors having bad impact on pregnancy associated with asymptomatic bacteriuria and aware our community about these unspoken perils so that ABU likelihood may be reduced. Prevalence varies country to country. Developing and low economic countries are suffered more than developed so thereby upgrading and providing basic amenities of life the risk factors may be reduced.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A Cross-Sectional study conducted in the Pathology Department, Peoples University of Medical & Health Sciences for Women Shaheed Benazirabad (SBA).The sample size 377 was calculated by using Raosoft calculator. Pregnant women without genitourinary signs and symptoms were included in the study while subjects currently on antibiotics or urinary antiseptic were excluded from the study and those with up to 2 weeks' history of antibiotic used or antimicrobials were also excluded from the study. After achieving informed consent, all subjects were instructed to collect their urine samples aseptically. A clean catch midstream urine (MSU) samples were obtained into sterile uricol container [11] from all pregnant women visiting the out-patient department of the Gynecology and Obstetrics of Government tertiary Hospital (PMCH) and private prenatal clinics Chandka Polyclinic and Shoaib Medical Center Nawab Shah. The research was conducted from 18-11-2015 to 18-5-2016, after an approval of ethical committee. The samples

were processed in the laboratory within 1-2 hours of collection. Dipstick analysis was performed. All samples were examined physically and Gram's stained smears [12] were observed under microscope. Dipstick urine analysis was followed by culture method. Although antibiotic sensitivity is essential however it was not performed in this study because of irrelevance to the title. Standardized microbiological methods were used for isolation of pure growth. Positive pure culture was defined as colonies of one type of bacteria for more than 10^5 /ml of urine sample [13] surface streaking culture method was used on sheep blood agar, Mac Conkey's agar followed by biochemical reactions by TSI agar [13]. Data were analyzed using SPSS version 23.

3. RESULTS

Sample size was 377 and the mean age was 29.32 ± 5.74 years. The mean gestational age and parity were 25.84 ± 11.80 weeks and 2.66 ± 2.42 respectively. The most of patients (74%) belonged to low socioeconomic class Table. 1.

Out of 377 samples, 02 were unsterile and unlabeled so excluded from the study. On the basis of culture, 03 samples were identified as contaminants so dropped from this study. Hence 72 samples were exactly positive and identical. Out of 72 positive cultures 70 and 02 were found pure and mixed growths consecutively. Table.2.

Most of the isolated bacteria 65(90.28%) belonged to Enterobacteriaceae and were Gram negative, while 7(9.720%) were Gram positive Table.3.

Among the risk factors associated with asymptomatic bacteriuria with significant growth, the most common age groups were 26-30 years (43%) followed by 31-35 years (14.9%). Third trimester was the most common found in 37 patients ((49.3%). Gravid (G_3 or more) were strong risk factors and were 55 (73.3%). Most of the patients 64 (85.3%) belonged to lower socioeconomic class. Most of patients were illiterate 65 (90.27%) Table. 4. The past history of UTI was a significant risk 46 (63.88%) while anemia was found statistically insignificant 17(23.61).

4. DISCUSSION

Prevalence of ABU in pregnancy varies (4% to 23.9%) across the world [14]. It is higher in developing(1-30%) countries than developed(2-7%) [15]. In this study the prevalence of ABU in pregnant females was found as 19.20%(72/377), this is in agreement with most of studies conducted in developing countries [15]. however in Iran and Egypt it was 8.9%,10% respectively [16-17]. This variation may be due to differences in sample size, study type population geographical, socioeconomic and educational status [15]. The mean age was 29.32 ± 5.74 years closely found in a study conducted by Goruntla et al [18].

The most common gestational age was the 3rd trimester with or without ABU accounting for 37 (49.3%), while non-gestational age with mean was 29.32 ± 5.74 years. These Age variables are close with a study by Tadesse et al [19-20]

Table 1. Demographic variables of patients (n=377)

Variables	Mean \pm SD
Age (yrs.):	29.32 ± 5.74
Gestational age(wks.):	25.84 ± 11.80 (3 rd trimester)
Parity:	2.66 ± 2.42
Socioeconomic status	Frequency (%)
Lower	279(74.0)
Middle	92(24.4)
Higher	6(1.6)

Table 2. Bacterial growth-based results of patients (n=375)

Growth	Number	Frequency (%)
Culture positive		
Significant Pure culture:	70	18.66
Mixed growth	2	0.54
Contamination	3	0.80
Culture negative (sterile)	300	80.0
Total	375	100

Table 3. Distribution of culture positive patients (n=72)

Variable	Number	Frequency (%)
Gram positive	7	9.72
Gram negative	65	90.28
Total	70	100

Table 4. Risk factors associated with significant pure culture positive asymptomatic patients (n=72)

Risk factors	Asymptomatic bacteriuria (%)	P-value
Age(Years)		
17-25years	15 (20%)	0.001
26-30years	33 (44%)	
31-35years	08 (10.6%)	
36-40years	14 (18.6%)	
41-45years	05 (6.6%)	
Gestational age		
First trimester	22 (29.3%)	0.078
Second trimester	16 (21.3%)	
Third trimester	37 (49.3%)	
Gravidity		
Gravida(G ₁ P ₀)	08 (10.6%)	0.022
Gravida (G ₂ P ₁)	12 (16%)	
Gravida (G3 or more)	55 (73.3%)	
Socioeconomic status(SES)		
Lower	64 (85.3%)	0.034
Middle	11 (14.6%)	
Higher	00 (00%)	
Literacy		
Illiterate	65 (90.27%)	0.073
Primary	02 (2.7%)	
Middle	00 (00%)	
Matric(SSC)	01 (1.38%)	
Intermediate (HSC)	03 (4.16%)	
Graduate	01 (1.38%)	
Previous H/O UTI:		
Yes	32(45.0)	<0.05
Anemia(<11g/dl):		
Yes	7 (10.0)	>0.05

While speaking of all study patients 74% (279/377) related to lower SES class, whereas frequency further increased to 85.3% (64 /72) when associated with ABU. This rise in frequency shows an association with socio-economic level [21]

Gravida (G3 or more) was the most common observed in the current study collaborating with a study by Roy et al. depicted the incidence to be higher in multigravida [22]

Our study reveals that 65 (90.27%) were illiterate and same was reported by Haider et al [23]

Past history of UTI is an important predictor of asymptomatic bacteriuria, it was seen in 32(45.0 %) with a strong statistical significance (p< .05) similar finding was conveyed by Rajaratnam et al [3] and Aalia Tayyba, Gul- E- Raana [8].

Anemia was detected in 7 (10.0%) patients showing statistically insignificance corroborates with Indian study [18,24].

5. CONCLUSION

Asymptomatic bacteriuria is frequent in pregnant females and significantly increased when compounded by past history of UTI and low

socioeconomic status. Therefore, it is necessary for mothers to be screened for ABU. There is a need of rapid and reliable screening methods so that upcoming disease burden can be reduced.

CONSENT

As per international standard or university standard, respondents' written consent has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

ETHICAL APPROVAL

As per international standard or university standard written ethical approval has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Flores-Mireles AL, Walker JN, Caparon M, Hultgren SJ. Urinary tract infections: epidemiology, mechanisms of infection and treatment options. *Nature reviews microbiology*. 2015;13(5):269-84.
2. Lawal K. Asymptomatic and symptomatic urinary tract infections: magnitude, special settings and diagnostic testing. *Journal of Continuing Education Topics & Issues*. 2012;14(3):88-92.
3. Rajaratnam A, Baby NM, Kuruvilla TS, Machado S. Diagnosis of asymptomatic bacteriuria and associated risk factors among pregnant women in Mangalore; 2013.
 - a. Karnataka, India. *Journal of clinical and diagnostic research: JCDR*. 2014; 8(9):OC23.
4. McLellan LK, Hunstad DA. Urinary tract infection: pathogenesis and outlook. *Trends in molecular medicine*. 2016; 22(11):946-57.
5. Available: <https://www.nebraskamed.com/documents/asp/uti-asbu-guidance-final,july;2014>.
6. Labi AK, Yawson AE, Ganyaglo GY, Newman MJ. Prevalence and associated risk factors of asymptomatic bacteriuria in ante-natal clients in a large teaching hospital in Ghana. *Ghana medical journal*. 2015;49(3):154-8.
7. Hecker MT, Donskey CJ. Q: Is antibiotic treatment indicated in a patient with a positive urine culture but no symptoms?. *Cleveland Clinic journal of medicine*. 2014; 81(12):721-4.
8. Aalia Tayyba, Gul- E- Raana .Risk Factors and Frequency of Asymptomatic Bacteriuria during Pregnancy. *PJ MHS*. 2013;4 (7):996-998.
9. Schnarr J, Smaill F. Asymptomatic bacteriuria and symptomatic urinary tract infections in pregnancy. *European journal of clinical investigation*. 2008;38:50-7.
10. Perera J, Randeniya C, Perera P, Gamhewage N, Jayalatharchchi R. Asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnancy: prevalence, risk factors and causative organisms. *Sri Lankan Journal of Infectious Diseases*. 2012;31:2(1).
11. Imade PE, Izekor PE, Eghafona NO, Enabulele OI, Ophori E. Asymptomatic bacteriuria among pregnant women. *North American journal of medical sciences*. 2010;2(6):263.
12. Mekapogu NP, Gundela S, Avula RD. Diabetes mellitus has no significant influence on the prevalence of antenatal asymptomatic bacteriuria. *Journal of clinical and diagnostic research: JCDR*. 2016;10(4):DC16.
13. Bose AM, Sreekumary PK, WZŭšŪŹŧŭ SK. Microbiological ĐrŹŭĜ of ĀËyĐŹm ĀŒbacteriuria in pregnancy. *Crit Care Obst&Gyne*. 2016;2:26.
14. Verma A, Baheti S, Sharma M. Asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnancy and its relation to perinatal outcome. *Int J Reprod ContraceptObstet Gynecol*. 2016;5(12):4390-6.
15. Nguefack CT, Ebongue CO, Chokotheu CN, Ewougo CE, Njamen TN, Mboudou E. Clinical presentation, risk factors and pathogens involved in bacteriuria of pregnant women attending antenatal clinic of 3 hospitals in a developing country: a cross sectional analytic study. *BMC pregnancy and childbirth*. 2019;19(1):1-6.
16. Enayat K, Fariba F, Bahram N. Asymptomatic bacteriuria among pregnant women referred to outpatient clinics in Sanandaj, Iran. *International braz j urol*. 2008;34(6):699-707.
17. Elzayat MA, Barnett-Vanes A, Dabour MF, Cheng F. Prevalence of undiagnosed asymptomatic bacteriuria and associated risk factors during pregnancy: A cross-sectional study at two tertiary centres in Cairo, Egypt. *BMJ open*. 2017;7(3): e013198.

18. Goruntla N, Jampala S, Mallela V, Bandaru V, Thamineni R, Bhupalam P. Epidemiology and antibiotic sensitivity pattern of asymptomatic bacteriuria during pregnancy: A cross-sectional study. *Journal of Health Research and Reviews*. 2019;6(1):5.
19. Tadesse E, Teshome M, Merid Y, Kibret B, Shimelis T. Asymptomatic urinary tract infection among pregnant women attending the antenatal clinic of Hawassa Referral Hospital, Southern Ethiopia. *BMC research notes*. 2014;7(1):1-5.
20. Rezavand N, Veisi F, Zangane M, Amini R, Almasi A. Association between Asymptomatic Bacteriuria and Pre-Eclampsia. *Glob J Health Sci*. 2015; 8(7):235-9.
DOI: 10.5539/gjhs.v8n7p235.
PMID: 26925912; PMCID: PMC4965687.
21. Available: <https://www.uptodate.com/contents/urinary-tract-infections-and-asymptomatic-bacteriuria-in-pregnancy>.
22. Chandel LR, Kanga A, Thakur K, Mokta KK, Sood A, Chauhan S. Prevalence of pregnancy associated asymptomatic bacteriuria: A study done in a tertiary care hospital. *The journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology of India*. 2012;62(5):511-4.
23. Haider G, Rani S, Ghaffar S, Haider A. Asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnancy. *Pafmj*. 2018;59(4):484-487.
Available: <https://pafmj.org/index.php/PAFMJ/article/view/1884>
24. Verma A, Baheti S, Sharma M. Asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnancy and its relation to perinatal outcome. *Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet nGynecol*. 2016;5:4390-6.

© 2021 Sial et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/68438>*