



Annual Research & Review in Biology

Volume 39, Issue 8, Page 58-65, 2024; Article no.ARRB.120531

ISSN: 2347-565X, NLM ID: 101632869

(Past name: Annual Review & Research in Biology, Past ISSN: 2231-4776)

Effect of Organic Manure and NPK Consortium on Growth, Yield and Quality of Beetroot (*Beta vulgaris* L.) in Southern Rajasthan Condition

Rajesh Chandel ^{a*}, H.L Bairwa ^a, Shalini Pilonia ^a,
Vinod Saharan ^b, Pokhar Rawal ^c, R. L Meena ^a,
Aamir Shohel Ajmeri ^a and Varun Dulani ^a

^a Department of Horticulture, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, MPUAT, Udaipur- 313001, India.

^b Department of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology Rajasthan College of Agriculture, MPUAT, Udaipur- 313001, India.

^c Department of Plant Pathology, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, MPUAT, Udaipur- 313001, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/arrb/2024/v39i82109>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/120531>

Original Research Article

Received: 25/05/2024

Accepted: 29/07/2024

Published: 08/08/2024

ABSTRACT

The present investigation was carried out at Horticulture Farm, Department of Horticulture, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, MPUAT, Udaipur. The nine treatments for the beetroot crop were evaluated with three replications under randomized block design. Variety "Detroit dark red" of

*Corresponding author: E-mail: rajeshchandel819@gmail.com;

Cite as: Chandel, Rajesh, H.L Bairwa, Shalini Pilonia, Vinod Saharan, Pokhar Rawal, R. L Meena, Aamir Shohel Ajmeri, and Varun Dulani. 2024. "Effect of Organic Manure and NPK Consortium on Growth, Yield and Quality of Beetroot (*Beta Vulgaris* L.) in Southern Rajasthan Condition". *Annual Research & Review in Biology* 39 (8):58-65. <https://doi.org/10.9734/arrb/2024/v39i82109>.

beetroot was used in this experiment. The results exhibit the significant effect of various treatments on growth, yield and quality of beetroot. The growth parameters viz., Maximum germination percentage (72.23), maximum plant height at 25 DAS, 50 DAS and at harvesting (16.00, 31.77 and 32.30 cm), respectively, Number of leave per plant (6.58, 9.23 and 13.13), respectively, leaf area (195.47 cm), root length (16.30 cm), maximum root diameter (7.32 cm), Maximum root weight (147.90 g), Maximum yield per plot (7.10 kg) maximum TSS (8.59 °Brix), highest reducing sugar content (5.23 %), highest total sugar content (7.30%), highest betanine (1.96 mg/100g).

Keywords: NPK consortium; total soluble solid; betanine and total sugar.

1. INTRODUCTION

Beetroot (*Beta vulgaris* L.) is one of the winter vegetable crops of the Chenopodiaceous family and has the chromosome number of $2n=18$. This crop is biennial grown as annual. This crop falls under the category of root crops and is identified by the presence of betanin pigments (betacyanins, which are reddish-violet, and betaxanthine, which are yellow), the quantity of which in the roots is determined by the ratio of the two pigments. The bioactive substance found in Betanin pigment inhibits oxidation processes in several physiological contexts, including hemogenesis and lipid peroxidase, whereas beetroot juice also possesses toxic effects on cancer cell development. [1].

Beetroot is a health-promoting food; 100 g of edible portion of its roots contain protein (1.7g), carbohydrates (88 mg), calcium (200 mg), phosphorus (55mg), and vitamin C (88 mg). Also, the leaves are a rich source of nutrients like, iron (3.1 mg), thiamine (110 µg), ascorbic acid (50 mg) per 100 g and vitamin A (2100 I.U) [2].

Organic manure and FYM are thought to be particularly significant for root crops. Because it enhances the physical, chemical, and biological conditions of the soil and ultimately promotes better root growth and supplies some essential plant nutrients (N, P and K) and other macronutrients and micronutrients [3].

Microorganisms connected to plants are crucial to agricultural productivity. It is becoming more and more clear that when two or more interacting microorganisms form a microbial consortium, additive or synergistic effects can be anticipated, despite the fact that numerous studies have demonstrated that single bacteria can have positive impacts on plants [4].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted at the Horticulture farm, College of Agriculture, MPUAT, Udaipur During final week of December to

second week of April 2024 The experiment, was designed in a randomized complete block design with nine treatments and three replications. The total nine treatments consist of i.e., T₁-Control, T₂-Recommended dose of fertilizer (100:50:70), T₃- FYM 20t/ha., T₄- Neem cake 2t/ha., T₅- NPK Consortium, T₆- FYM 10t/ha + Neem cake 1t/ha., T₇- FYM 10t/ha + NPK Consortium, T₈-Neem cake 1t/ha + NPK Consortium, T₉- FYM 5t/ha. + Neem cake 0.5t/ha. + NPK Consortium. Detroit dark red was the variety under investigation. To ensure spacing seeds were seeded at 40 cm x 10 cm and size of plot was kept 1.2 x 2.4 m² Thinning was done 10 days following sowing. Urea, SSP, and MOP were used to provide nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium in RDF respectively, As per treatment before planting. Organic manure such as FYM and neem cake was given before sowing and NPK consortium was given through seed treatment (5ml/kg seed).

Growth, yield and quality characteristics were recorded on five plants per treatment per plot in each. Germination % , Plant height, number of leaves per plant, leaf area, days require for harvesting, root length, root diameter, root yield per plant, root yield per plot, TSS, reducing sugar, total sugar and betanine content were all recorded. The data were statistically examined using analysis of variance (ANOVA) for RBD according to Panse and Sukhatme's standard approach (1985).

2.1 Observations

Germination percentage was estimated on the base of number of seed germinated in per plot after one week from the date of sowing.

$$\text{Germination(\%)} = \frac{\text{No.of seed germinated per plot} \times 100}{\text{Total no.of seed per pot}}$$

The plant's height was taken from its base, which is just above the soil's surface, to its head. The height was recorded at (i) 25 day after sowing, (ii) 50 day after sowing, (iii) At harvest. The number of leaves of selected plants was counted

and average was calculated out at (i) 25 days after sowing, (ii) 50 days after sowing, (iii) At harvest

Leaf area of leaves was counted at randomly selected five plant under each treatment at an 80 days after sowing using leaf area meter. Total number of days required for harvesting counted from date of sowing to harvesting.

The length of root was measured individually of five randomly selected plants from each plot and average length of root was calculated in cm. The diameter of root was measured individually of five randomly chosen plants from each plot and average diameter of root was calculated in centimetres. The weight of root was measured individually of five randomly chosen plants from each plot and average weight of root was computed in gram.

After harvesting the number of roots each net plot were weighted in a lot individually after harvesting. The crop was harvested replication wise and root yield was calculated in kilogram.

TSS of the root was determined by using a Pocket refractometer of 0-53^o Brix range. For reducing sugar determination, the method was given by Nelson Smogyi. In this method DNS reagent prepared by dissolving 1 g of di-nitro salicylic acid, 200 mg of crystalline phenol, and 50 mg of sodium sulphate in 100 ml of 1% NaOH was used immediately after preparation and absorbance was noted at 510 nm and accordingly graph plotted by standard glucose solution. Reducing sugar was calculated using the following formula [5].

$$\text{Reducing sugars (\%)} = \frac{\text{Factor value} \times \text{Dilution}}{\text{Titre value} \times \text{Weight of sample}} \times 100$$

The Dunois technique was used to calculate the total amount of sugar. In this procedure, analysis was performed using 96% conc. H₂SO₄ and 5% phenol. The sample's absorbance was measured at 490 nm, and graph results were plotted using the method below to determine the final total sugar value.

$$\text{Total sugar (\%)} = \text{Fehling's solution factor} \times 100 \times \text{Dilution} / \text{Volume of sample used} \times 1000$$

Betanine content of roots was determined at harvest with the procedure suggested by Singh et al. (2017) and was expressed in (mg 100 g⁻¹) sample.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Effect of Organic Manure and NPK Consortium on Germination Percentage of Beetroot

Evaluation of data Table 1 showed that application of different treatments failed to show any significant effect on the germination percentage. Though maximum germination percentage at (72.23) was recorded with T₉(FYM 5t/ha. + Neem cake 0.5t/ha. + NPK Consortium) and minimum (68.60) was found with T₁(control). The similar result was found by Ingole et al. [6] and Jagadeesh et al. (2015) in beetroot.

3.2 Effect of Organic Manure and NPK Consortium on Growth Attributes of Beetroot

The data showed in the Table 1 showed that Plant height at 25 DAS, 50 DAS and harvesting were found statistically significant. Application of T₉ (FYM 5t/ha. + Neem cake 0.5t/ha. + NPK consortium) registered maximum plant height (16.00, 31.77 and 32.30 cm) atDAS, 50 DAS and harvesting, respectively. However, the minimum plant height (13.13, 16.77 and 27.40 cm) was observed with T₁ (control). Number of leaves at 25 DAS, 50 DAS and harvesting were found statistically significant. Application of T₉ (FYM 5t/ha. + Neem cake 0.5t/ha. + NPK consortium) registered maximum number of leaves (6.58, 9.23 and 13.13) at DAS, 50 DAS and harvesting, respectively. However the minimum number of leaves (5.07, 7.18 and 11.33) was observed with T₁ (control). Average leaf area recorded at harvest is presented in Table 1. It showed that the effect of different treatments on average leaf area was found to be statistically significant. The treatment T₉ (FYM 5t/ha + Neem cake 0.5t/ha + NPK consortium) registered the maximum average leaf area (195.47 cm) followed by T₈ (187.30) indicating significantly superior overall other treatments whereas the lowest leaf area (150.13 cm²) was observed with T₁ which was control. Application of different treatments failed to show any significant effect on the days required for harvesting. Though minimum days require for harvesting (86.77) was recorded with T₆ (FYM 10t/ha + Neem cake 1t/ha) and maximum days require (90.87) was found with T₁ which was control. The significant effect on these parameters, as consequence of organic manures and NPK consortium was increased nutritional status of soil resulting into increased growth of the crop. This may be favorable effect of organic sources on microbial activity and root

proliferation in soil which caused solubilizing effect on native nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and other nutrients and organic manures also decreases exploitation of micronutrients. Mounika et al. [7] Baria et al. [8] and Jagadeesh et al. (2015) in beetroot. Boroujerdnia and Ansari [9] and Rana et al. [10] in palak.

3.3 Effect of Organic Manure and NPK Consortium on Yield Attributes of Beetroot

The data showed in the Table 2 showed that the highest root length (16.30 cm) was recorded with treatment T₉ (FYM 5t/ha + Neem cake 0.5t/ha + NPK consortium) followed by T₈ (15.80 cm). The treatment T₁ (control) noted the lowest root length of 13.27 cm. The data pertaining root diameter to recorded at harvest is presented in Table 2. It showed that the effect of different treatments on average root diameter was found to be statistically significant. The treatment T₉ (FYM 5t/ha + Neem cake 0.5t/ha + NPK consortium) registered the maximum average root diameter (7.32 cm) followed by T₈ (6.72 cm) indicating significantly superior overall other treatments whereas the lowest average root diameter (5.50 cm²) was observed with T₁ which is control. The result of data analysis is presented in Table 2 indicated that different treatments significantly increased the root weight. The maximum root weight (147.90 g) was recorded with T₉ (FYM 5t/ha + Neem cake 0.5t/ha + NPK consortium) followed by T₈ (140.50 g) and minimum (110.50 g) were found with T₁ (control). Root weight under T₉ (FYM 5t/ha + Neem cake 0.5t/ha + NPK consortium) found significantly higher to overall treatment. The data presented in Table 2. Showed that the effect of different treatments on root yield per plot (kg) were found to be significant. The highest yield (7.10 kg) was recorded in T₉ (FYM 5t/ha + Neem cake 0.5t/ha + NPK consortium) and it was at par with T₈ (7.00 g) and T₇ (6.90 g). The minimum (6.40 g) were found with T₁ which was control. This might be due to the reason that combine application of FYM, neem cake and NPK consortium increase the root diameter. Decrease in bulk density and increase in porosity and water holding capacity of the soil due to organic manures might have contributed in increasing the root diameter of the plants. The root diameter may be attributed to solubilization of plant nutrients by addition of FYM and neem cake leading to increase uptake of NPK. Similar close result was reported by Vaghela and Nadoda [11] in onion, Mounika et al. [7] Jagadeesh et al. (2018), in beetroot and

Shrivastava et al [12] and Shahia et al. [13] in radish.

3.4 Effect of Organic Manure and NPK Consortium on Quality Attributes of Beetroot

The result of data analysis is presented in Table 3 indicated that different treatments significantly increased the total soluble solid. The maximum total soluble solid (8.59 °Brix) was recorded with T₆(FYM 10t/ha + Neem cake 1t/ha) and it was at par with T₄ (8.38 °Brix) and minimum were found with T₁ (6.41 °Brix) which is control. Under T₆ (FYM 10t/ha + Neem cake 1t/ha) was found significantly higher overall the treatments. The total sugars content of beetroot roots was significantly affected due to application of different treatments. The results are presented in Table 2. The highest reducing sugar content (5.23 %) was recorded in T₆ (FYM 10t/ha + Neem cake 1t/ha.) followed by T₄ (Neem cake 2t/ha) by noting 4.90% reducing sugar. Whereas the lowest reducing sugars content (4.37 %) was recorded with control (T₁). Under T₄ (Neem cake 2t/ha) was found significantly higher over all treatments.

The total sugars content of beetroot roots was significantly affected by the application of different organic manure and NPK consortium. The results are presented in Table 2 The highest total sugar content (7.30 %) was recorded in T₆ with the application of FYM 10t/ha + Neem cake 1t/ha which was at par with T₉ (7.10 %) with FYM 5t/ha. + Neem cake 0.5t/ha. + NPK Consortium and were significantly superior to all other treatments. The lowest total sugars content (6.30) was recorded in T₁ which was control. The Betanine content of beetroot roots was significantly affected by the different treatments applied to the experiment. The results are presented in Table 2. The highest betanine (1.96 mg) was recorded in T₆ with the application of FYM 10t/ha + Neem cake 1t/ha followed by T₉ (1.90 %) with FYM 5t/ha. + Neem cake 0.5t/ha. + NPK Consortium which were significantly superior to all other treatments. The lowest betanine content (1.49 %) was recorded in T₁ which was control. This may be because of better availability and uptake of nitrogen and other nutrients with the application of FYM and neem cake in combination which might have led to balanced C/N ratio and increased activity of plant metabolism. Similar findings were made by Kiran et al. [14] and Emura and Hosoya [15] in carrot, Jagdeesh et al. (2016) in beetroot and Shankar et al. [16] in onion.

Table 1. Effect of organic manure and NPK consortium on germination percentage, number of leaves per plant, plant height (cm), leaf area (cm), days require for harvesting

Treatment	Germination %	Number of leaves per plant			Plant height			Leaf area	Days require for harvesting
		25DAS	50DAS	Harvest	25 DAS	50DAS	Harvest		
T ₁	68.60	5.07	7.18	10.53	13.13	26.87	27.40	150.1	90.30
T ₂	70.17	5.44	7.41	10.83	14.00	27.33	28.53	155.2	88.53
T ₃	71.17	5.61	7.30	11.37	14.03	27.87	28.90	156.3	87.80
T ₄	71.07	5.82	7.20	11.41	14.13	28.67	29.73	160.5	87.20
T ₅	71.56	5.24	7.20	10.41	13.27	26.93	27.33	152.7	88.87
T ₆	72.11	5.97	8.10	12.19	14.53	29.17	30.53	173.7	86.77
T ₇	70.60	5.85	8.42	12.87	15.60	29.87	30.50	180.5	87.60
T ₈	71.30	6.15	9.14	12.60	15.93	30.87	31.07	187.3	91.67
T ₉	72.23	6.58	9.23	13.13	16.00	31.77	32.30	195.5	90.77
SE(m)±	0.75	0.20	0.11	0.21	0.22	0.41	0.52	2.64	1.20
CD at 5%	NS	0.61	0.34	0.63	0.66	1.22	1.57	7.60	NS

Table 2. Effect of organic manure and NPK consortium on root length (cm), Root diameter (cm), Root weight (g), Yield per plot (kg), net return, B:C ratio

Treatment	Root Length	Root Diameter	Root Weight	Yield per plot	Net returns (Rs/ha)	B:C Ratio
T ₁	13.27	5.50	110.5	7.7	139300	2.00
T ₂	14.77	6.06	125.7	8.3	153160	2.02
T ₃	14.40	6.31	127.3	8.5	144640	1.61
T ₄	14.98	5.77	130.9	8.7	141310	1.29
T ₅	13.10	5.66	124.5	8.0	141271	2.03
T ₆	15.37	6.73	135.1	8.8	183590	1.70
T ₇	15.60	7.04	138.9	9.0	231431	2.90
T ₈	15.80	6.72	140.5	9.2	232871	2.38
T ₉	16.30	7.32	147.9	9.5	249161	2.80
SE(m)±	0.33	0.08	2.18	0.13		
CD at 5%	0.99	0.25	6.53	0.39		

Table 3. Effect of organic manure and NPK consortium on reducing sugar (%) total sugar (%), betanine content (mg/1000g) and TSS (°Brix)

Treatment	Reducing Sugar	Total Sugar	Betanine	TSS
T ₁	4.37	6.30	1.5	6.41
T ₂	4.40	6.43	1.6	6.86
T ₃	4.50	6.57	1.7	7.53
T ₄	4.90	6.93	1.8	8.38
T ₅	4.83	6.53	1.7	7.65
T ₆	5.23	7.57	2.0	8.59
T ₇	4.60	6.87	1.7	7.83
T ₈	4.80	7.00	1.7	8.29
T ₉	4.80	7.10	1.9	8.33
SE(m)±	0.08	0.09	0.02	0.11
CD at 5%	0.25	0.27	0.05	0.33

3.5 Effect of Organic Manure and NPK Consortium Oneconomics of Treatments of Beetroot

Data pertains to economics of beetroot for per hectare area under various treatments are shown in Table 2. Treatment T₉(FYM 5t/ha + Neem cake 0.5t/ha. + NPK Consortium) and T₈ (Neem cake 1/ha + NPK Consortium) gave maximum net return 249161 and 232871 rupees respectively. Minimum net return of Rs. 139300 was recorded with T₁ (Control). However, maximum B:C (2.93) was recorded with T₇- (FYM 10t/ha + NPK consortium) and minimum B:C (1.29) with T₄ (Neem 2t/ha.). Maximum net return might be due to the more yield of beetroot fruit and resulted in higher net return while high benefit cost ratio may be due to lower cost of cultivation for that particular treatment application. Similar findings were made by Sharma et al. [17] in carrot and Patel et al. (2021) in fenugreek.

3.6 Effect of Organic Manure and NPK Consortium on Economics of Treatments of Beetroot

Data pertains to economics of beetroot for per hectare area under various treatments are shown in Table 2. Treatment T₉ (FYM 5t/ha + Neem cake 0.5t/ha. + NPK Consortium) and T₈ (Neem cake 1/ha + NPK Consortium) gave maximum net return Rs. 249161 and 232871. Minimum net return of Rs. 139300 was recorded with T₁ (Control). However, maximum B:C (2.93) was recorded with T₇- (FYM 10t/ha + NPK consortium) and minimum B:C (1.29) with T₄(Neem 2t/ha.). Maximum net return might be due to the more yield of beetroot fruit and resulted in higher net return while high benefit cost ratio may be due to lower cost of cultivation for that particular treatment application. Sharma et al. (2003) in carrot and Patel et al. [18] in fenugreek.

4. CONCLUSION

On the basis of present investigation entitled "Effect of Organic Manure and NPK Consortium on Growth, Yield and Quality of Beetroot (*Beta vulgaris* L.) In Southern Rajasthan Condition". It may be concluded that among the various treatments T₉ (FYM 5t/ha. + Neem cake 0.5t/ha. + NPK Consortium) as found superior in different growth and yield attributes viz., germination percentage plant height at 25, 50 DAS and at harvesting, number of leaves per plant at 25, 50

DAS and harvesting, leaf area (cm), days require for harvesting, root length (cm), root diameter (cm), root yield per plant (g), and root yield per plot (kg).The quality parameter viz. TSS (^obrix), reducing sugar (%), total sugar (%), and betanine content (mg/100) found significantly higher in treatment T₆ (FYM 10t/ha + Neem cake 1t/ha). Maximum B:C (2.93) was recorded with T₇ (FYM 10t/ha + NPK Consortium) and minimum B:C (1.29) with T₄ (Neem cake 2t/ha.). Whereas, highest net return was found in T₉- (FYM 5t/ha.+ Neem cake 0.5t/ha. + NPK Consortium) Rs. 249161.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

I hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (Chat GPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Alenzi RWAAA, Manea AI. Effect of organic and bio-fertilization on growth and yield of beetroot (*Beta vulgaris* L.). Indian Journal of Ecology. 2020;47:275-280.
2. Bhatt KL. Minor vegetables un-tapped potential. Kalyani publishers, New Delhi. 2007;145.
3. Sapkota A, Sharma MD, Giri HN, Shrestha B, Panday D. Effect of organic and inorganic sources of nitrogen on growth, yield, and quality of beetroot varieties in Nepal. Nitrogen. 2011;2(3):378-391.
4. Santoyo G, Gamalero E, Glick BR. Mycorrhizal-bacterial amelioration of plant abiotic and biotic stress. Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems. 2021;5:672881.
5. Ranganna S. Manual of analysis of fruits and vegetables products. Tata MaGraw Hill publishing company limited, New Delhi, 1979;1112.
6. Ingole V, Sheridan SC, Juvekar S, Achebak H, Moraga P. Mortality risk attributable to high and low ambient temperature in Pune city, India: A time series analysis from 2004 to 2012. Environmental Research. 2022;204:112304.
7. Mounika V, Lakshminarayana D, Srinivas J, Sathish G, Gouthami P. Effect of Integrated

- nutrient management on quality and economics of beet root (*Beta vulgaris* L.) cv. Crimson globe under alkaline conditions. International Journal of Current Microbiol. And Applied Science. 2020;9(10): 2565-2569.
8. Baria VK, Sitapara HH, Thounaojam AS, Goswami PB. Influence of integrated nitrogen management on growth and yield of beetroot (*Beta vulgaris* L.) var. Crimson globe. International Journal of Plant and Soil Science. 2023;35: 123-130.
 9. Boroujerdnia M, Ansari NA. Effect of different levels of nitrogen fertilizer and cultivars on growth, yield and yield components of romaine lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.). Middle Eastern and Russian Journal of Plant Science and Biotechnology, 2007;1(2): 47-53.
 10. Rana KS, Prasad R, Singh MK, Singh DK, Narayan S, Vishwanath. Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth yield and seed production of spinach (*Beta vulgaris* L.) var. Pusa Jyoti. Journal of Natural Resource and Development. 2022;37-40.
 11. Vaghela KS, Patel KM, Nadoda SR. Effect of organic, inorganic and biofertilizer on growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cv. GJRO-11. International Journal of Current Science. 2019;7(4): 2358-2361.
 12. Shrivastava R, Bisen RK, Verma SK, Agrawal HP, Keshry PK, Chaure NK, Thakur S. To study the effects of integrated nutrient management on the growth and yield of Radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2022;11(5):120-123.
 13. Shahia P, Pathaka R, Poudela PK, Bhandaria G, Baralb B. Effect of different organic manures on growth and yield of radish at kailali district. Journal of Wastes and Biomass Management. 2022;4(2):92-95.
 14. Kiran M, Jilani MS, Waseem K, Marwat SK. Response of carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) growth and yields to organic manure and inorganic fertilizers. American-Eurasian Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Science. 2016;16(6):1211-1218.
 15. Emura K, and Hosoya T. Effect of fertilizer on the qualities and yield of the carrot at spring seeding. Bulletin of the Saitama Horticultural Experiment Station (Japan). 1979;8:0385-3675
 16. Sankar V, Veragagavathatham D, Kannan M. Organic farming practices in white onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Journal of Eco-friendly Agriculture. 2009;4(1):17-21.
 17. Sarma I, Phookan DB, Boruah S. Influence of manures and biofertilizers on carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) cv. early Nantes growth, yield and quality. Journal of Eco-friendly Agriculture. 2015;10:25-27.
 18. Patel R, Shroff PM, Parmar PM, Shah SN. Effect of varying fertility levels and bio-fertilizer on growth, yield and economics of fenugreek. In Biological Forum-An International Journal. 2021;13: 210-213.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/120531>